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Introduction

Unraveling the Mystery of 9/11

September 11, 2001, was a horrific and tragic day — nearly three thousand people perished in the terrorist attacks that drove a knife straight into the heart of America. Apart from being a brutal blow to the pride and stature of the most powerful nation on earth, the events of 9/11 were also a major wake-up call for many Americans and others around the world. For many reasons, the attacks of 9/11 inspired a great number of people to begin investigating major world events, attempting to ascertain what sinister force lies behind such atrocities. By looking at historical events more deeply and with a more critical eye, many millions of people across the globe have come to know the truth. In patriot circles it is often said that the engine driving the madness that has engulfed our planet since 9/11 is the ‘New World Order’ — a coalition of rich and powerful ‘globalists’ hell-bent on transforming the world into a tyrannical prison society. This popular idea represents only a portion of the truth. The real powers behind the curtain, pulling the puppet-strings of this ‘New World Order,’ revealed their true identity on 9/11 when they staged this monstrous deception to trick the American people, and indeed the people of the world, into passively accepting their diabolical agenda of world conquest, which they have pursued under the guise of “fighting terrorism.”

The shocking images of the unbelievable violence that took place on 9/11 have been seared into the memories of every American citizen. The remarkable precision and coordination of the heinous aerial assaults on the World Trade Center Twin Towers and the Pentagon was a surreal sight for many. The unthinkable collapses of the Twin Towers and WTC-7 at nearly free-fall speed raised some immediate questions. Before the dust of the collapsed Twin Towers had even settled on the ground, many critical thinkers were already asking hard questions as to what really happened and who was really behind the attacks. The official explanation of the Bush administration, which was fully endorsed by the mainstream media, that nineteen Arabs with box-cutters directed by a man in a cave in Afghanistan miraculously made all of this carnage happen, despite a multi-billion dollar U.S. military, security and defense system standing in their way, is an outrageous contention — an insult to our intelligence.

The official story of 9/11 is believed by fewer and fewer people every year. Polls are beginning to show that there are many more people who are skeptical of the U.S. government’s story than those who blindly accept it. (“Americans Question Bush on 9/11 Intelligence,” Angus Reid Global Monitor, Oct. 14, 2006.) The objective of this work is not to explain or uncover
exactly how 9/11 was done in the physical sense, since there is already an abundance of literature and documentary films that highlight the scientific impossibilities of the official story. Rather, this book is an attempt to elucidate who did it and why. I confidently contend that the official explanation of what happened on 9/11 has been dismantled, disproved and debunked on every level. The official story of the attacks, as the governments and mass media of the Western world would have us all believe, is riddled with holes and blunders. The seemingly endless number of flaws, contradictions and absurdities of the U.S. government’s official conspiracy theory are painstakingly detailed in such films as Zero – An Investigation Into 9/11, 9/11 Mysteries and Painful Deceptions. Like a sinking ship, the official version of 9/11 has rapidly descended into the gutter of fictitious fantasies.

I am firmly convinced of the notion that the Twin Towers and WTC-7 were demolished with explosives. The theory that the collapse of the towers and WTC-7 was highly improbable without the use of explosives has been credibly established as a scientific reality. This assertion is not argued merely by ‘conspiracy theorists’ sitting in their basements watching re-runs of the X-Files, but by thousands of professional architects and engineers who have put their careers and reputations on the line to get the truth out to the public about the controlled demolition of the three gigantic structures that fell to pieces before our very eyes in New York City on 9/11. (See: AE911truth.org) If the Twin Towers and WTC-7 were brought down with explosives, which all of the evidence seems to indicate, then we are left with no other choice but to discount the official story. If explosives were used, then the tall tale of nineteen suicidal Muslim hijackers must be a fabrication, indeed an invention, of the true authors of this terrorist conspiracy. I contend that the wrong people are still, to this day, falsely being blamed for an atrocity that they did not commit. Since 9/11, Muslims have been vilified in much the same way that the Germans have been brutally bad-mouthed and abused in the press and Hollywood entertainment media following the events of World War Two. I believe that the same group behind the demonization of the German people is behind the propaganda campaign to make us all believe that Islam and the Muslims are attacking our civilization and are dangerous enemies that we need to fight. In the following pages I shall explore in fine detail the overwhelming historical guilt of this group of people — or this cult of criminals I should say.

With that out of the way, the only questions that remain to be answered are the following:

1. Who did 9/11 and for what purpose?

2. Who had the means, motive, and opportunity to carry out these acts and have it blamed on somebody else?

3. Which group or organization had enough control over the American government and news media to have been able successfully to orchestrate this colossal hoax and initiate a massive cover-up of their foul deeds?

4. Who had the money to finance this tremendously sophisticated operation?
5. Who had the ability to infiltrate the Pentagon and disable U.S. air defenses to provide four hijacked passenger planes a clear path to make their way to their designated targets without getting shot down?

6. Who had the capability to operate planes by remote control?

7. Who had control of the U.S. justice system to make sure that the perpetrators of this act were not prosecuted?

8. Who had the power to control the NYPD and the FBI to ensure that the true organizers of this attack were not investigated?

9. Who had the power to allow hundreds of suspects escape to a foreign country?

10. Who had the expertise and know-how in explosives to be able to bring down high-rise buildings that were specifically constructed to withstand fires and plane impacts?

11. Who had the advanced computer knowledge to coordinate the attacks?

12. Who was most familiar with and had political control over the city of New York where the attacks took place?

13. Who had a network of spies operating in the United States that could facilitate the attacks?

14. Who has a history of unprovoked attacks and false-flag provocations, some of which that have been directed against the United States?

15. Who stood to gain from the attacks — cui bono?

16. Did Islamic religious fanatics really carry out the attacks of 9/11, as our media and governments tell us, or could some other form of religious and/or political fanaticism stand behind these events?
CHAPTER ONE

Terrorism: A Jewish Tradition

Jewish extremists have, many times in the past, committed violent acts of terrorism and even genocide to further their political ambitions. When engaging in these monstrous criminal activities Jewish supremacists have often cunningly cloaked themselves as members of other ethnic and religious groups. It is necessary to establish some historical precedents in order to demonstrate to the reader that Jews are indeed capable of heinous acts of terrorism and mass murder. In this section I will give a brief overview of some of the atrocities that Jewish extremists have inflicted upon the various nations and peoples of the world. To attain certain political ends, Jewish extremists have engineered a plethora of “false flag” attacks as a means of deceptively disguising their crimes as the work of others. I would first like to point out that the Zionist program of terror, deception and myth-making is outlined in The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, which states:

“It must be noted that men with bad instincts are more in number than the good, and therefore the best results in governing them are attained by violence and terrorisation, and not by academic discussions. [...] Our State, marching along the path of peaceful conquest, has the right to replace the horrors of war by less noticeable and more satisfactory sentences of death, necessary to maintain the terror which tends to produce blind submission. Just but merciless severity is the greatest factor of strength in the State: not only for the sake of gain but also in the name of duty, for the sake of victory, we must keep to the programme of violence and make-believe. … IT IS FROM US THAT THE ALL-ENGULFING TERROR PROCEEDS.” (Protocols of Zion 1:3; 1:23; 9:4)

This type of pathological criminal madness was first transcribed in the Jewish Bible, the Tanakh, thousands of years ago. The genocidal declarations of the Jewish ‘God’ appear frequently in the books of Deuteronomy, Genesis, Exodus, Isaiah, Psalms, Samuel, Numbers, Moses, Joshua and other Jewish Old Testament texts. For instance, take note of these psychopathic, abhorrent passages:

“And when the LORD thy God shall deliver them before thee; thou shalt smite them, and utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor show mercy unto them.” — Deuteronomy 7:2

“But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth.” — Deuteronomy 20:16-18

“You shall wipe out the memory of Amalek from under the heavens; you shall not forget.” — Deuteronomy 25:19

“… And now, go and smite Amalek and destroy everything that is theirs; do not have mercy on them, but kill every man and woman, child and infant, ox, sheep, camel and donkey.” — Samuel I 15:3
“He will judge nations, heaping up the dead and crushing the rulers of the whole earth.” — Psalms 110:6

“I will make your oppressors eat their own flesh; they will be drunk on their own blood, as with wine.” — Isaiah 49:26

“For the nation or kingdom that will not serve you will perish; it will be utterly ruined.” — Isaiah 60:12

“Their children shall be dashed to pieces before their eyes! Their houses spoiled, and their wives raped...Dash the young men to pieces...have no pity on the fruit of the womb, the children shall not be spared.” — Isaiah 13:16-18

“... They shall fall by the sword: their infants shall be dashed in pieces, and their women with child (pregnant) shall be ripped up!” — Hosea 13:16

To elucidate the Jewish extremist penchant for bloodlust, violence and terrorism, one merely has to consult the historical record. Here are a few examples:

1) Author Juri Lina, in his book, *Under the Sign of the Scorpion* (pp. 66-68), describes a few of the bloody and savage massacres committed by Jews in ancient times:

“The Greek historian Dio Cassius described in detail how the Jews in the eastern provinces of the Roman Empire, in the year 116 A.D., during a rebellion began to murder various races they lived among. Judaists killed both women and children, at times using terrible torture. The most infamous bloodbaths were committed in the city of Cyrene and the province Cyrenaica (in the eastern part of present-day Libya) and on Cyprus and above all in its capital Salamis. The Greek historian Eusebius confirmed this. Mass murders were also perpetrated in Mesopotamia and Palestine. In Cyrenaica alone, the Jews killed 220,000 Romans and Greeks. On in Cyprus, their victims were estimated at 240,000. The Roman Emperor Marcus Ulpius Traianus (53-117 A.D.) sent troops to stop the killing. It took Rome a year to rein in the bloodlust of the Jews. Dio Cassius tells us how the Jews even ate their victims and smeared themselves with their blood. (William Douglas Morrison, “The Jews Under Roman Rule”, London and New York, 1890, pp. 191-193.) The most brutal murders were committed in Egypt. Dio Cassius describes how the Jews even attacked the ships in which fear-stricken people tried to escape.”

2) During and just after World War One, the Young Turks — an organization comprised of crypto-Jews and Freemasons who had seized power in Ottoman Turkey — led and facilitated the butchery of nearly two million Armenian, Greek and Assyrian Christians in a brutal campaign of mass murder in the Ottoman Empire. This largely unknown attempted genocide is brought under a microscope in a book titled *The Jewish Genocide of Armenian Christians* by Christopher Jon Bjerknes.
3) Jewish supremacists — under the guise of Bolshevism — carried out the greatest campaign of mass murder in human history, laying waste to over 40,000,000 human beings in the Soviet Union from 1917 to 1991. Using gulag slave labor and death camps, man-made famines, mass executions by hanging or shooting, and other cruel, barbaric and torturous methods of mass murder, the Jewish communists slaughtered millions to satisfy their lust for gentile blood and quest for world power. The truly horrifying, tragic and depressing history of the communist destruction of Russia and its people is fully documented by the Estonian author Juri Lina in his wonderful book entitled “Under the Sign of the Scorpion”. Other books like “Behind Communism” by Frank L. Britton and “The Rulers of Russia” by Denis Fahey do the subject justice, revealing the Jewish origins and agenda of Communism and the Soviet experiment.

4) Jewish Zionists, in their perilous quest to chase all non-Jews from the soil of Palestine to establish a state of their own, have systematically carried out a genocidal policy of ethnic cleansing against the indigenous Arabs which, according to the official Al Nakba website, entailed the “mass deportation of a million Palestinians from their cities and villages, massacres of civilians, and the razing to the ground of hundreds of Palestinian villages.” In Palestine during the 1930s and 1940s, Zionist Jews mobilized murderous terror brigades such as the Irgun, Stern Gang, Haganah and Lehi, who committed scores of bombings and assassinations, ransacked entire villages, slaughtered women and children, and even attacked British Mandate forces in a carnivorous campaign to drive all non-Jews from the land of Palestine. The Israeli historian Ilan Pappe uncovers the full story of the Zionist rape of Palestine in his text “The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine”. Ralph Schoenman also covers the issue of the grotesque injustice that has befallen the Palestinians in his book “The Hidden History of Zionism”.

5) On July 22, 1946, a group of Jewish terrorists from the Irgun — commanded and led by Menachem Begin who would later become the Prime Minister of Israel — disguised themselves as Arabs and bombed the King David Hotel in Jerusalem, which was serving as the headquarters for the British Military and Administrative Command at the time. The Information Clearing House website provided this brief but potent synopsis of the attack:

“The King David Hotel explosion of July 22, 1946 (Palestine), which resulted in the deaths of 92 Britons, Arabs and Jews, and in the wounding of 58, was not just an act of “Jewish extremists,” but a premeditated massacre conducted by the Irgun in agreement with the highest Jewish political authorities in Palestine — the Jewish Agency and its head David-Ben-Gurion. According to Yitshaq Ben-Ami, a Palestinian Jew who spent 30 years in exile after the establishment of Israel investigating the crimes of the “ruthless clique heading the internal Zionist movement,” the Irgun had conceived a plan for the King David attack early in 1946, but the green light was given only on July first. According to Dr. Sneh, the operation was personally approved by Ben-Gurion, from his self-exile in Europe. … The Jewish Agency’s motive was to destroy all evidence the British had gathered proving that the terrorist crime waves in Palestine were not merely the actions of “fringe” groups such as the Irgun and Stern Gang, but were committed in collusion with the
Haganah and Palmach groups and under the direction of the highest political body of the Zionist establishment itself, namely the Jewish Agency.”

The BBC produced and aired a documentary featuring a play-by-play re-enactment of the King David Hotel bombing in which Izahk Zadok, a Jewish Irgunist who took part in the bombing, is showing the camera crew how he carried the bomb into the hotel hidden in a milk crate. Grinning at the camera, Zadok proudly confessed: “I wasn’t dressed as a civilian or a soldier. I was dressed as an Arab with a gilaba and a keffiyeh with that ring on your head like. . . Arafat.” (“Zionist Bombing In Jerusalem 1946,” YouTube)

6) On November 6, 1944, Lord Moyne, the British Minister resident in Egypt, was assassinated by members of the Jewish Stern Gang. On September 17, 1948, Jewish terrorists of the Lehi group assassinated Swedish diplomat and nobleman Count Folke Bernadotte, the U.N.’s mediator in Palestine.

7) In 1954, in what has been dubbed the “Lavon Affair,” a group of Egyptian Jews recruited by the Israeli AMAN (military intelligence) disguised themselves as Muslims and fire-bombed American and British installations in Egypt in a false-flag attack. Wikipedia, a Jewish controlled outlet, admitted the following regarding the Lavon Affair:

“The Lavon Affair refers to a failed Israeli covert operation, code named Operation Susannah, conducted in Egypt in the Summer of 1954. As part of the false flag operation, a group of Egyptian Jews were recruited by Israeli military intelligence for plans to plant bombs inside Egyptian, American and British-owned targets. The attacks were to be blamed on the Muslim Brotherhood, Egyptian Communists, “unspecified malcontents” or “local nationalists” with the aim of creating a climate of sufficient violence and instability to induce the British government to retain its occupying troops in Egypt’s Suez Canal zone. … The operation became known as the Lavon Affair after the Israeli defense minister Pinhas Lavon, who was forced to resign because of the incident…."

The Mid East Web internet site covers the Lavon Affair in great detail in an article entitled “The Lavon Affair — Israel and Terror in Egypt”. The article explained that,

“In 1954, the Israeli secret service set up a spy ring in Egypt, with the purpose of blowing up US and British targets. The operation was code-named “Susannah.” The terrorist hits were to be blamed on the regime of Egyptian President Gamal Abdul Nasser, with the purpose of alienating the US and Britain from Egypt and Nasser and somehow preventing Egyptian nationalization of the Suez canal. The ring was discovered. […] The group was activated in July of 1954. The first bombs were placed in post offices on July 15, followed by the USIA libraries in Cairo and Alexandria on July 14. On July 23, more bombs were exploded in two Cairo cinemas, in the railway terminal and central post office. The entire ring was soon rounded up, either because of information divulged under interrogation or because it had been betrayed. Ostensibly, they were caught when, by chance, incendiary bombs went off in the pocket of Phillip Nathanson while he was waiting in line outside the British owned Rio theater in Alexandria on July 23…."
For five decades the arrogant, eternally deceitful Jews refused to admit responsibility for the attack, claiming it was just an “anti-Semitic conspiracy theory.” In 2005, however, the Israeli government not only admitted responsibility, but held a ceremony honoring the Jewish terrorists who took part in the operation with medals of appreciation for their “service” to the Jewish state! Reuters reported:

“Egypt is astonished at Israel’s tribute to nine Egyptian Jews recruited by the Jewish state to carry out “terrorist operations” in Cairo and Alexandria in the 1950s, the foreign minister said. Israeli President Moshe Katsav last week honored the Egyptian Jews recruited by Israel as agents to ruin Cairo’s rapprochement with the United States and Britain by firebombing sites frequented by foreigners visiting the cities. Israel hoped the attacks, which caused no casualties, would be blamed on local insurgents. But the young Zionist bombers were caught and confessed at public trials. Two were hanged and the rest served jail terms and immigrated to Israel.” (“Egypt questions Israel’s tribute to ‘spies’,” Ynet News, April 03, 2005.)

8) On June 8, 1967, while patrolling in international waters in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea, an American surveillance ship called the USS Liberty (AGTR-5) was heinously attacked by the air and naval forces of Israel. Using unmarked fighter jets and torpedo boats, the Israelis pummeled the Liberty with machine-gun fire, napalm, torpedoes and rockets for over an hour. To ensure that no American aboard the ship escaped alive, the Israeli pilots fired on the life-rafts. The Israelis failed to sink the ship, as they had intended, but managed to cause a considerable amount of damage and harm. When the Israeli terror forces finally ceased attacking the vessel, 34 American sailors were dead and 174 other crewmen were seriously wounded. The full story of what happened to the Liberty and its crew is described in a book by Liberty survivor James Ennes, Jr., called “Assault On The Liberty”. Writers Victor Thorn and Mark Glenn also delve into the subject in fine detail in their book “Ship Without a Country — Eyewitness Accounts of the USS Liberty Attack”. To cut through the Zionist spin about the event, people can go to GTR5.com, a website dedicated to telling the true story of the USS Liberty attack from the perspective of those who survived this deadly Israeli assault.

9) Mossad defector Victor Ostrovsky reported in his book “By Way of Deception” (St. Martin’s Press, 1990) that Israel’s Mossad had foreknowledge of the bombing attacks on the U.S. and French marine barracks in Beirut, Lebanon in 1982 which killed 299 American and French servicemen. He says that the Mossad deliberately withheld the information they had about plans to bomb the barracks from their American and French “allies,” thus allowing the attack to proceed. (Joe Sobran, “Did Israel Deliberately Allow 241 American Marines to Die?”) Ostrovsky tends to tell partial truths in order to hide the larger, more sinister crimes of his Israeli brethren, which leads me to believe he is still working for Israel. Mossad not only had foreknowledge of the Beirut barracks bombings, they most likely perpetrated the act. By reporting that Israel only had “foreknowledge” of these attacks Ostrovsky is trying to conceal a more disturbing truth, which is that Israel planned and carried out the attack. An article titled “The Myth of the Suicide Bomber” (Liberty Forum, July 19, 2005.) succinctly explicates the Zionist strategy of camouflaging their covert terrorist operations by inventing and perpetuating propaganda about “suicide bombings.” The author of the article opined:
“[Israel uses the suicide bomber myth as a cover] to attack those who think themselves to be allies, but are not, e.g. America, Spain, Britain.

In 1983 Israel invaded and occupied Lebanon. The US and France had peacekeeping forces in place near the Beirut airport. The US had a naval presence off the coast. Early one Sunday morning, simultaneously, both the French and American Marine barracks were bombed. The story was that a truck had come at high speed through a gate and exploded, killing hundreds of Marines. As the two bombings were simultaneous one wonders why so little is said about the French barracks especially if we are to think there were simultaneous “truck bombs”; it would have been a neat trick.

Suicide was not mentioned in the original reports, in 1983, but in the twentieth anniversary reports the bombing of the US Marine barracks was said to have been done by a “suicide bomber”, and elsewhere the words “terrorist suicide bombing” were used. So by 2003 a simple bombing had become a suicide bombing. I think that change is significant.

Using Professor Pape’s logic of suicide terrorism applied to the situation in Lebanon we should wonder why the occupying Israeli force was not targeted rather than the French and Americans who were on the periphery as peacekeepers. Just because a target is handy does not make it strategically worthwhile. If the planning was so tight that simultaneous truck bombs could hit these two marginal targets, why not use that expertise and tonnage to hit the one perfect target?

The Israelis had prior knowledge of a truck being outfitted to carry a very large bomb but did not warn the Americans. (déjà vu) This was reported by Mossad operative, Victor Ostrovsky in his book By Way of Deception. The odd thing about this story is not that the Israelis neglected to warn the Americans, but that they could even imagine that the Americans would be the target rather than themselves. Why would they think that? The Israelis use the deception of admitting some knowledge as a way of deflecting suspicion away from themselves as perpetrators. In this case the admission of prior knowledge reveals more than disregard, it reveals an inconsistency that makes me believe they were the bombers.

… If Israel did invent the suicide bomb [myth], why did they wait so long to use the tactic at home? The invention of a myth does not happen in a meeting of the Knesset, nor does it come out of the imagination of one person or a think tank. It is an organic process that is a mix of reality, fantasy, and purpose.

A good example of this organic process is the example I gave earlier of the bombings in 1983 of the US and French military barracks at the Beirut airport. I believe it was Israel that carried out the attacks. The Israelis did not want the US and France to intermeddle in Israel’s attack on the Palestinians who had fled to Lebanon. The US and France were their allies, so an attack could not be seen to come from the Israelis. The bombing was done under the pretense that two truck bombs were detonated simultaneously as they ran into the barracks. Of course the trucks, if there were any, were demolished, and the
drivers, if there were any, were blown up. The story of who did the bombing was in the hands of the Israelis who fabricated the whole thing to cover themselves.

So the Israelis had the purpose to make their meddlesome allies leave. The reality was the bombing, and the fantasy was the fabrication that hid the truth. Today this would be called a “suicide bombing” in 1983 it was not. You can see that it is only the characterization that has changed, not the action.”

10) In 1986 Israel’s Mossad, and its pro-Zionist allies in the CIA, orchestrated a deadly bomb attack at La Belle discothèque in West Berlin, Germany, an entertainment venue that was commonly frequented by U.S. soldiers stationed in the area. (“German TV exposes CIA, Mossad links to 1986 Berlin disco bombing,” Lebanon Wire, Aug. 27, 1998.) A bomb placed under a table near the disk jockey’s booth exploded at 1:45 am on April 5, 1986, killing three people and injuring around 230 others, including 79 American servicemen. U.S. President Ronald Reagan immediately blamed Libya for the terrorist bombing and ten days later dispatched U.S. war planes that dropped over sixty tons of bombs on the Libyan cities of Tripoli and Benghazi. They destroyed the home of Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi and killed at least 30 civilians, including many children. Gaddafi himself, the main target of the air strike, was not hurt.

Just prior to the bombing in Germany, the Mossad planted a “Trojan” device in a Libyan apartment building in Tripoli. In his book “The Other Side of Deception,” Victor Ostrovsky explained that a Trojan is a special communication device that could be planted by naval commandos deep inside enemy territory. The device would act as a relay station for misleading transmissions made by the disinformation unit in the Mossad called LAP, which was intended to be received by American and British listening stations. Using this device, the Mossad made it appear that the Libyan leadership was transmitting terrorist directives from Tripoli to their embassies worldwide. This treacherous Jewish ploy successfully induced the Americans to bomb Libya after the Mossad’s false-flag attack at the West Berlin disco in 1986.

11) The first bombing of the World Trade Center occurred on February 26, 1993, when a truck bomb was detonated below the North Tower of the WTC in New York City, killing six people and injuring more than a thousand others. A group of Islamist dupes supposedly led by Ramzi Yousef and the so-called ‘blind sheik’ Omar Abdel-Rahman were blamed for the attack, but all of the evidence points to an orchestrated false-flag event stage-managed by the Israeli Mossad as well as pro-Zionist elements in the American FBI. (See: George Pumphrey, “Types of Terrorism and 9/11,” Global Research, June 19, 2003 / M. Amir Ali, “World Trade Center Bombing — Who Did It And Why?,” Ilam, Feb. 26, 1993)

This terrorist attack was initiated by a female Mossad operative posing as an Islamic radical named Josie — or Guzie — Hadas. She had hired two Arabs, who were later arrested, Mohammed Salameh, a Palestinian, and Nidal Ayyad, and then framed them for the bombing of the WTC. Salameh was directed by Hadas to rent a transport van. The telephone number and apartment listed on the rental contract were those belonging to Hadas. After the bombing, investigators found incriminating bomb-making materials inside Hadas’ apartment but she had already disappeared, most likely back to Israel. It was revealed by investigative reporter Robert Friedman that Ahmad Ajaj, a Palestinian who was indicted as one of the “masterminds” of the
1993 WTC bombing, was a Mossad asset who was recruited in an Israeli prison. (Michael Collins Piper, “Mossad Link To 1st WTC Bombing Raises Eyebrows,” American Free Press, Sept. 16 2001.) The Zionist-controlled FBI was using an informant named Emad Ali Salem (a former Egyptian army officer) to recruit and provocateur Islamists to commit attacks on the Lincoln and Holland tunnels, the WTC, the UN and other targets. Salem recorded all of the conversations he had with his FBI handlers which reveal that they deliberately allowed the attack on the WTC to take place, and prevented Salem from exchanging the real explosives with a harmless powder in what he thought would be a sting operation. (Ralph Blumenthal, “Tapes Depict Proposal to Thwart Bomb Used in Trade Center Blast,” New York Times, Oct. 28, 1993.)

Jewish writer Ralph Schoenman exposed the role of Mossad agent Guzie Hadas and FBI asset Emad Salem in organizing this false-flag attack and revealed that Israeli Intelligence had prior and advanced knowledge of the bombing. The Israelis did not attempt to share the information they had with U.S. authorities, which could have prevented the attack, because the bombing was their handiwork all along. Schoenman writes:

“Both the telephone number and the apartment were listed (on the rental agreement for the Ryder Truck alleged to have contained the explosives), and indeed, the apartment had been rented by “a woman identified as Josie Hadas” (Ibid.). Her name was flaunted, almost as a signal, “the latest name on the mailbox was Josie Hadas… who moved into the apartment before Christmas” (Los Angeles Times, March 7, 1993).

It was during her occupancy of this apartment that the chemicals and bomb components were supposedly stored there. Salameh, moreover, was being “handled” by mysterious others whose relationship to the crucial evidence would become important. “The authorities say several associates of Mr. Salameh who may have been involved in the bombing have dropped out of sight. … One of the people being sought for questioning is an unidentified man who accompanied Mr. Salameh on two visits to the rental agency. Another is Josie Hadas, whose name was listed for a telephone at an apartment at 34 Kensington Avenue in Jersey City” (New York Times, March 8, 1993). The name placed on the rental agreement as a reference was Josie Hadas. […]

ISRAELIS’ ADVANCE KNOWLEDGE

Who then was the woman in whose name the telephone and apartment were listed and where the incriminating evidence was “found”? Josie or Guzie Hadas was not unknown. The International Herald Tribune (March 8, 1993) quoted FBI spokesman Joe Valiquette’s familiar response to their reporter’s query about the role of Hadas in the Israeli secret service, Mossad: “Even if it were true, we wouldn’t tell you anyway.”

The London Periodical Impact (March 12, 1993 and April 8, 1993) revealed, in an article titled “Who Bombed the World Trade Center,” that on February 26, the day the bomb exploded, an Israeli intelligence group sent an urgent communiqué over a telephone access computer network about the event. The communiqué was picked up when it was discovered accidentally on a confidential government “information
Either leaked or accessed by news sources, the communiqué states that Israeli Intelligence had advance knowledge of the timing and target of the World Trade Center bombing and that it would be attributed to “known activists from the Occupied Territories.” Three days after the bombing, “Anne,” an Israeli operative of the Shin Bet, Israel’s FBI, was cited by journalists in Israel “boasting of Israeli Intelligence capabilities.” She stated that Israel had advance knowledge of the bombing, confirming the communiqué disclosed on Matara.

The next day, an Israeli Defense Force spokesperson responded to a direct question from a Jerusalem reporter concerning Israeli governmental involvement in the bombing of the world Trade Center. The Jerusalem journalist (whose name will be protected here) told Irfan Mirza, the author of the Impact articles, how he had confirmed that “Israeli intelligence knows more about the bombings than they are ever going to disclose at this time.” This author discussed the data with journalist Lorraine Mirza, who confirmed that Irfan Mirza’s investigation led to bomb threats which were taped. He has left London. On March 6, an article in the London Times confirmed that “Israeli intelligence has detailed information” about the World Trade Center bombing, adding that “the FBI has given no explanation as to why Israel has not come forward to the U.S. authorities with information.”

Zafar Bangas, editor of Crescent International, an Ontario, Canada-based journal conversant in Islamic politics and one of the most widely read newspapers in the Muslim world, confirmed to this author that Guzie (Josie) Hadas was long-established as a Mossad operative. She had penetrated Islamic circles in New York, as had another intelligence operative, Emad Ali Salem, a colonel in Egyptian intelligence.

Bangas confirmed investigative work of Irfan Mirza concerning the role of Emad Ali Salem. It was he who rented the van in the name of Mohammad Salameh, purchased and disseminated chemical and bomb materials in various apartments and who tipped his employers, the FBI, as to his handiwork.

The serial number released by the ATF after, supposedly, coming upon a metal fragment, nominally buried under five stories and tons of debris, came from “undercover operative, Emad Ali Salem” (Ibid.). Salameh had attempted to report the theft of the van rented in his name previous to the bombing of the World Trade Center. Despite the public impact of the explosion, Salameh, unaware of the significance of the van, showed up asking for the return of the deposit.” (Ralph Schoenman, “Who Bombed the U.S. World Trade Center? — 1993 Growing Evidence Points to Role of FBI Operative,” Prevailing Winds Magazine, Number 3, 1993.)

In 1991, two years prior to the bombing, Israeli security officials inspected the garage of Zim American Israeli Shipping Co., which was located underneath the Twin Towers, and
concluded that it was vulnerable to a car bomb. ("Early Warning," Time Magazine, Mar. 22, 1993.) This suspiciously coincidental ‘inspection’ of the WTC’s basement shortly before it was bombed in 1993 is another significant indication of malevolent Israeli planning and orchestration of this false-flag provocation.

12) In 1994, on the day of the Jewish hate-festival of Purim, an orthodox Jew named Baruch Goldstein walked into a crowded mosque in Hebron, West Bank, with an automatic assault rifle, locked the door behind him and opened fire. As they were kneeling in prayer, Goldstein shot his victims in the back with his army assault rifle until he was finally subdued and killed by survivors. When the murderous rampage was finished, 29 Palestinian Muslims had succumbed to Goldstein’s bullets; 125 others were seriously wounded. Israeli soldiers — possibly possessing foreknowledge of the attack — quickly amassed outside the mosque and shot dead a number of Palestinians fleeing Goldstein’s onslaught. Rioting ensued shortly thereafter, and another 19 Palestinians were murdered by Israeli soldiers within 48 hours. ("Baruch Goldstein,” Wikipedia / “Cave of the Patriarchs Massacre,” Wikipedia)

Astonishingly, the mass murderer Baruch Goldstein is hailed as a hero by many Jews in Israel and abroad to this very day. ("Graveside Party Celebrates Hebron Massacre,” BBC News, Mar. 21, 2000.) Before Goldstein’s funeral procession commenced, well-known rabbis eulogized Goldstein and commended his murderous deed. Rabbi Dov Lior of Kiryat Arba stated, “since Goldstein did what he did in God’s own name, he is to be regarded as a Righteous Man,” asserting that Goldstein was “a martyr of God.” (Auerbach, Jerold S. Hebron Jews. 2009, p. 128) Rabbi Yisrael Ariel expressed similar sentiments, proclaiming:

“The holy martyr, Baruch Goldstein, is from now on our intercessor in heaven. Goldstein did not act as an individual; he heard the cry of the land of Israel, which is being stolen from us day after day by the Muslims. He acted to relieve that cry of the land! The Jews will inherit the land not by any peace agreement but only by shedding blood!” (Israël Shahak, Norton Mezvinsky. Jewish Fundamentalism in Israel. Pluto Press, 1999, p. 102)

Hundreds of rabid Jewish settlers were recorded on video celebrating and praising Goldstein’s massacre of Arabs. ("Goldstein’s Massacre At The Mosque,” Vimeo) One particular Jew, Leonard Goldberg, was asked to comment on the incident and said that Goldstein “did a tremendous deed, an act of self-sacrifice to try to save the Jewish people.” (Ibid.) In the weeks following the massacre, thousands of Israelis traveled to Goldstein’s grave to venerate his devilish deed. Some Hasidim danced and sang around his grave. Other visitors kissed and hugged the gravestone, or even kissed the earth under which Goldstein was buried, declaring him a “saint” and “hero of Israel.” ("Hundreds Gather to Honor Hebron Killer,” New York Times, April 01, 1994.)

13) The U.S. embassy bombings were a series of attacks that occurred on August 7, 1998, in which hundreds of people were killed, and thousands more wounded, in what is claimed to be simultaneous truck bomb explosions at the U.S. embassies in the East African capitals of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, and Nairobi, Kenya. Officially, the U.S. government accused Osama bin Laden and Al-Qaeda of having directed and facilitated the attacks, but no solid proof has ever
been offered to support this allegation. On the other hand, several interesting facts have come to light which strongly point to Israeli involvement in these vicious twin assaults.

The Spotlight reported that weeks before the bombings the Israeli secret service had persuaded U.S. authorities to ignore intelligence reports that warned of an imminent attack against the embassies. As a result, there had been no follow-up precautions taken to protect the embassies from an attack. Thanks to the Mossad’s ill-boding “advice” to ignore raw intelligence that spoke of impending danger, hundreds of innocent people were murdered in two terrorist bombings. Here is a portion of The Spotlight’s interesting report:

“We don’t know yet who was at the wheel of those car bombs; but we do know that the long fuse leading to these terrorist flare-ups was lit in Israel,” asserted Capt. Aurelio dell Acqua, a retired Italian Carabinieri officer. Previously specializing in diplomatic security, he is now a loss prevention consultant for a group of European corporations on Wall Street.

The Mossad, Israel’s secret service, literally set up the U.S. embassy in Nairobi, Kenya, for the August 7 truck bombing by persuading the CIA and the White House to disregard American intelligence reports. Those reports warned that a terrorist raid against this facility was imminent, it was learned as this issue of The SPOTLIGHT went to press.

Four months worth of tips and alert signals that the Nairobi embassy was facing a potential disastrous explosives attack were sent to Washington last month by the U.S. ambassador in Kenya, Florence Bushnell, and by intelligence controllers of the U.S. Central Command. But nothing was done to protect this poorly shielded facility because, on the standard operating procedure inaugurated in the Reagan era, the FBI had to turn to the Israelis for a definite evaluation of these early warnings.

“Ignore them,” the Mossad reassured the U.S. government, “it’s just another false alarm.” This information, delivered to Washington just weeks before the monstrous explosion, was the key factor in persuading the U.S. to let its guard down, resulting in the loss of life of at least 250 victims including 11 Americans, angry Washington intelligence sources told The SPOTLIGHT.

Interviewed in the immediate aftermath of the huge explosions that shattered the U.S. embassies in Nairobi, Kenya and Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania, on August 7, causing horrendous devastation and tragic loss of life, dell Acqua was expressing conclusions widely shared by counterterrorist specialists, diplomatic sources and other expert observers, an exclusive SPOTLIGHT survey has found.

“At this point in time, Israel is the only country that can expect to benefit from such an atrocious act of political warfare,” commented a senior national security official from a leading Gulf nation, who asked not to be identified by name. 'For the first time in history, the [Israeli] government finds itself embroiled in simultaneous hostilities with the White House, the CIA, the entire European community of nations and the
Arab League as well as the Vatican – even with NASA, (the American space agency). It is desperately trying to get out of this quandary by staging a new regional crisis.” (Warren Hough, “Zionists Target Iran,” The Spotlight)

Moreover, the U.S. embassy building in Dar es Salaam had once been an Israeli compound and the first soldiers to arrive at the site of the bombings to ‘control’ and ‘handle’ the crime scene were special units of the Israeli armed forces and high level agents of the Mossad. (“Rescue Gives Hope To Those Still Trapped,” Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, Aug 10, 1998 / “Bombing Toll Rises As Rescue Effort In Nairobi Intensifies,” New York Times, Aug. 9, 1998 / Ralph Schoenman, “Resist US Aggression! Who are the Real Terrorists?”) Michael Ross, a Canadian traitor who joined Israel’s Mossad, serving the terrorist institution for nearly a decade, admitted on page 209 of his trashy book, “The Volunteer: The Incredible True Story of an Israeli Spy on the Trail of International Terrorists”, that officials of the Israeli Shin Bet were first on the scene in the aftermath of the U.S. embassy bombing in Nairobi and had taken a video of the devastating carnage. Other suspicious occurrences surrounding the bombings were noted by George Pumphrey:

“The US ambassador [symbol of US presence abroad] in Kenya was not on the premises at the time, and the ambassador to Tanzania had been absent for months. (“An Ordinary Day, Then Horror” Washington Post, Aug. 10, 1998) The building in Dar Es Salaam had once been an Israeli compound (diplomatic relations had been suspended after the 1973 war). The truck carrying the bomb had been the Embassy’s water truck used to deliver fresh water to embassy staff around town, and had been actually parked on the Embassy compound. (“149 Confirmed Dead in Embassy Blasts” Washington Post Aug. 9, 1998) Neither the surveillance cameras in Dar Es Salaam nor in Nairobi were set to film. Who planted the bomb in the truck? Who shut down the cameras? Bin Laden? Hardly.” (“US Embassy Bombings In East Africa (1998),” Global Research, Dec. 21, 2002.)

This brief and compact list of terrorist atrocities and false-flags committed by Jews and their helpers is by no means complete, but it plainly demonstrates that Jews have historically lived up to the Mossad’s sick motto, “By Way of Deception Thou Shalt Do War.” All of these salient facts establish the grim historical reality of Jewish terrorism and violence, vividly illustrating the extreme lengths that they will go to in order to frame their enemies. Taken together, these examples of Jewish trickery and deceit are a warning sign to the world, not only showing what Jews are capable of, but also what they are capable of getting away with. Failing to recognize the significance of these historical facts would be a catastrophic mistake, and will only embolden Jews to increase their subversive schemes, believing that gentiles are too ignorant or apathetic to uncover the truth about these events.

Jewish extremists have routinely threatened to mass murder millions of people in order to get their way in the world. For example, the savage Jewish-Bolshevik leader Vladimir Lenin staunchly advocated terrorism and the wholesale slaughter of entire populations as a means to fulfill the sinister aims of Communism, which was the enforcement of international Jewish dominion over gentiles. Lenin once stated that he didn’t care if ninety percent of the Russian people perished during his reign of terror so long as the remaining ten percent would help him
bring about a “world revolution.” (“Foreign News: The Trail of Lenin,” Time Magazine, Feb. 11, 1924.) In 1918, another Jewish communist leader named Grigory Zinoviev (born Radomyslsky) called for the annihilation of ten million Slavs to ensure the victory of Bolshevism in Russia, stating:

“To overcome our enemies we must have our own Socialist Militarism. We must win over to our side, 90 millions out of the 100 millions of population of Russia under the Soviets. As for the rest, we have nothing to say to them; they must be annihilated.” (Russia No. 1: A Collection of Reports on Bolshevism in Russia. Great Britain: Foreign Office, (1919), p. 99)

In 1941, a twisted Jewish zealot from New Jersey, USA named Theodore Kaufman, penned and published a hate-filled text outlining a bloodcurdling plan for the complete extermination and extinction of Germany and its people. Writing in his genocidal book “Germany Must Perish!”, Kaufman called for a "final solution" of sterilization of all German gentiles as well as the territorial breakup of the German nation. He forcefully exclaimed:

“A final solution… Thus we find that there is no middle course; no act of mediation, no compromise to be compounded, no political or economic sharing to be considered. There is, in fine, no other solution except one: That Germany must perish forever from this earth!” (Germany Must Perish! Newark, NJ: Argyle Press, 1941.)

In 1986, the chief chaplain (spiritual leader) of the Israeli army in the occupied territories took a page out of Kaufman’s book when he commanded Israeli soldiers to exterminate all Germans as “enemies of Israel.” This hate-crazed rabbi exhorted the Israeli army to slay all Germans, even though there are no German gentiles in occupied Palestine. Journalist Christopher Hitchens was a witness to this affair and wrote about it in an article for Slate Magazine:

“I remember being in Israel in 1986 when the chief army “chaplain” in the occupied territories, Rabbi Shmuel Derlich, issued his troops a 1,000-word pastoral letter enjoining them to apply the biblical commandment to exterminate the Amalekites as “the enemies of Israel.” Nobody has recently encountered any Amalekites, so the chief educational officer of the Israeli Defense Forces asked Rabbi Derlich whether he would care to define his terms and say whom he meant. Rather evasively—if rather alarmingly—the man of God replied, “Germans.” There are no Germans in Judaea and Samaria or, indeed, in the Old Testament, so the rabbi’s exhortation to slay all Germans as well as quite probably all Palestinians was referred to the Judge Advocate General’s Office. Forty military rabbis publicly came to Derlich’s support, and the rather spineless conclusion of the JAG was that he had committed no legal offense but should perhaps refrain in the future from making political statements on the army’s behalf.” (C. Hitchens, “An Army of Extremists: How some military rabbis are trying to radicalize Israeli soldiers,” Slate Magazine, Mar. 23, 2009.)

Most recently, a Jewish professor of military history at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem enunciated his diabolical desire to neutralize all opposition to the Zionist aim to
ethnically cleanse all Arabs from the land of Palestine by unleashing Israel’s nuclear arsenal against the capitals of Europe. Martin Van Creveld wickedly announced:

“We [Israel] possess several hundred atomic warheads and rockets and can launch them at targets in all directions, perhaps even at Rome. Most European capitals are targets for our air force. Let me quote General Moshe Dayan: “Israel must be like a mad dog, too dangerous to bother.” I consider it all hopeless at this point. . . Our armed forces, however, are not the thirtieth strongest in the world, but rather the second or third. We have the capability to take the world down with us. And I can assure you that that will happen before Israel goes under.” (Hirst, David. The Gun and the Olive Branch: The Roots of Violence in the Middle East: With a New Foreword. London: Faber, 2003, p. 119.)

If those of us who are not Jewish are not compliant with the insane global aims and ambitions of these villainous eternal foes of humanity, then we are as good as dead in their eyes. In fact, they are willing and able to wipe out the entire planet if need be, including themselves. No appeals to reason will sway them from their deathly course of action in this world. Believing they have a divine right to dominate and control the riches and resources of this planet, the Jewish supremacists are on a war path of total global chaos and destruction. The outspoken world chess champion Bobby Fischer once said that supremacist Jews are driving the world toward extinction.

The controlled mass media has deliberately ignored and worked to whitewash, downplay and distract from every last one of the aforesaid historical crimes. The seemingly endless instances of bloody Jewish transgressions have been consistently hidden from the public. The persistent suppression of these facts is made possible by the same forces behind all of this monstrous malfeasance. They control our media, run the publishing industry, and dominate the academic arena of the Western world. After digesting this incredible information about the truly astronomical level of Jewish misconduct and the deceptive tactics that they have frequently utilized to conceal their crimes, does it still come as a surprise that Jewish supremacists could be ruthless and cunning enough to murder nearly three thousand civilians on 9/11 and have it blamed on their enemies to further their globalist agenda? If they butchered tens of millions of innocent Russians and others under Bolshevism, if they called for and endeavored to wipe out all Germans, do you honestly believe that they’d hesitate to kill thousands of Americans for political advantage?
CHAPTER TWO

Cui Bono — Who Benefits?

The foremost question that must always be asked following an event of huge historical importance is: “who benefits from this?” The answer to that question with regard to 9/11 emerged the following day from the lips of the fanatical Zionist Jew who heads Israel’s militant Likud Party, Benjamin Netanyahu. The New York Times published an article on September 12, 2001, with the revealing title, A DAY OF TERROR: THE ISRAELIS; Spilled Blood Seen as Bond That Draws Two Nations Closer, which reported:

“Israelis took cold comfort in concluding that Americans now share more of their fears. … Israeli leaders, who chafed at American criticism of their measures against Palestinians, said the day’s attacks would awaken the United States to the threat of global terrorism.”

The article further reported that when asked what the attack meant for U.S.-Israeli relations, Netanyahu joyfully proclaimed: “It’s very good... Well it’s not good, but it will generate immediate sympathy [for Israel's cause].” Netanyahu then predicted that the attack would “strengthen the bond between our two peoples, because we’ve experienced terror over so many decades, but the United States has now experienced a massive hemorrhaging of terror.” Years later, Netanyahu continued to boast mockingly about how beneficial the attacks were for Israel and its war against the Palestinians and the Arab world in general. Israel’s Haaretz newspaper quoted the cold-blooded Israeli leader as having sadistically said: “We [Israelis] are benefiting from one thing, and that is the attack on the Twin Towers and Pentagon, and the American struggle in Iraq… [The 9/11 attacks] swung American public opinion in our favor.” (“Report: Netanyahu Says 9/11 Terror Attacks Good for Israel,” Haaretz, April 16, 2008.) Israel’s Prime Minister on 9/11 was the former Haganah terrorist, Ariel Sharon. Shortly after the attacks, the infamous war criminal and his terrorist colleagues in the Israeli military-intelligence establishment were overjoyed, concluding that the events of 9/11 were nothing less than a “Hanukkah miracle” of good fortune for Israel. Israeli journalist Aluf Benn described the jubilant reaction of Israel’s political and military leadership to 9/11, writing:

“The Israeli political-security establishment is coming to the conclusion that the terror attacks on September 11 were a kind of “Hanukkah miracle” for Israel, coming just as Israel was under increasing international pressure because of the ongoing conflict with the Palestinians.
Osama bin Laden’s September 11 attacks placed Israel firmly on the right side of the strategic map with the U.S., and put the Arab world at a disadvantage as it now faces its own difficult decisions about its future. That’s the impression left by the speeches given by Mossad chief Ephraim Halevy and National Security Council chairman Maj. Gen. Uzi Dayan, at this week’s Herzliya conference on national security.

Dayan said yesterday that the global reality resulting from September 11 gives Israel and the U.S. “the chance for victory over a common enemy.” Halevy spoke about “a world war different from all its predecessors” and about global agreement that “combined all the elements of Islamic terror into one clear and identifiable format,” creating ‘a genuine dilemma for every ruler and every state in our region. Each one must reach a moment of truth and decide how he will position himself in the campaign.” (“Israel Strives to Import America’s War on Terror,” Haaretz, Dec. 18, 2001.)

Open celebration of the September 11 mass murder was commonplace among Israel’s leaders. This was even confirmed by a former high-ranking Israeli Intelligence official. In an interview with the French daily Le Monde, Ami Ayalon, the former chief of Israel’s internal security service Shabak, or Shin Bet, from 1996-2000, informed us that “[s]ince September 11, our leaders have been euphoric. With no more international pressures on Israel, they think, the way is open.” (“An unconditional withdrawal from the territories is urgently needed,” Le Monde, Dec. 22, 2001.) Israel’s leading “academics” were also jumping for joy. Ehud Sprinzak, a prominent Israeli professor who specializes in the subject of terrorism, boastfully announced: “From the perspective of the Jews, it [9/11] is the most important public relations act ever committed in our favour.” (Alan Philips, “Lost Sympathy Worries Arafat,” The Telegraph, Sept. 13, 2001.)

The picture here couldn't really get any clearer: the Jewish supremacist elite benefited tremendously from the 9/11 attacks. 9/11 was an Israeli victory – this reality was articulated by Israel's leaders with exuberant grins on their faces. Revealing over the deaths of nearly three thousand innocents reveals that these hardened Jewish psychopaths are infected with a supremacist and inhuman mindset. Considering that Judaism teaches its adherents of the inferiority of non-Jews (the Goyim), who are said to be worthless cattle whose only purpose on earth is to serve the Jews as slaves, it is hardly surprising to see Jewish supremacists celebrate murder and death when it benefits their agenda. Rabbi Ovadia Yosef, the spiritual leader of Israel's third largest political party “Shas,” obnoxiously articulated this supremacist view in a sermon that he delivered in 2010, blurring out:
“Goyim were born only to serve us. Without that, they have no place in the world – only to serve the People of Israel. … In Israel, death has no dominion over them… With gentiles, it will be like any person – they need to die, but [God] will give them longevity. Why? Imagine that one’s donkey would die, they’d lose their money. This is his servant… That’s why he gets a long life, to work well for this Jew. …Why are gentiles needed? They will work, they will plow, they will reap. We will sit like an effendi and eat. That is why gentiles were created.” (“Yosef: Gentiles exist only to serve Jews,” The Jerusalem Post, Oct. 18, 2010.)

Rabbi Yosef did not really say anything out of the ordinary. He was merely expressing the supremacist doctrines of his insidious religion – a set of abhorrent principles that all devoted religious Jews adhere to. (Christopher Jon Bjerknes, “The Universal Enslavement of the Non-Jew, Part 2: Jewish Supremacism in the Torah Proper,” Jewish Racism Blogspot, Dec. 6, 2008.) This kind of rhetoric is prevalent among World Jewry’s most revered rabbis and sages. Take, for instance, Rabbi Shneur Zalman of Liadi (1745-1812), the founder of the extremist Jewish sect “Chabad Lubavitch,” who said: “Gentile souls are of a completely different and inferior order. They are totally evil, with no redeeming qualities whatsoever… All Jews are innately good, all Gentiles are innately evil.” (Foxbrunner, A. Roman. Habad: the Hasidism of R. Shneur Zalman of Lyady. University of Alabama Press, 1992, p. 108) Rabbi Kook the Elder, a prominent and revered fundamentalist Jewish spiritual leader, proclaimed: “The difference between a Jewish soul and souls of non-Jews—all of them in all different levels—is greater and deeper than the difference between a human soul and the souls of cattle.” (Shahak, Israel & Mezvinsky, Norman. Jewish Fundamentalism in Israel. London: Pluto Press, 1999, p. 176)

The mass murder of non-Jews is enthusiastically celebrated by Jews every year in their ludicrous revenge holiday of Purim – a commemoration of the massacre of 75,000 Persians which Jews organized several thousand years ago, according to the book of Esther in the Old Testament. During the festival of Purim, Jews prepare triangular baked pockets and chopped meat which represents the ears and beaten flesh of Haman, the ancient Persian Prime Minister who had fallen victim to Jewish terrorism. All of this symbolizes Jewish revenge upon their perceived enemies. (See: David Duke's videos “The Purim Celebration of Hate” and “Purim II Promoting Genocide”) It shouldn't come as a surprise that Manis Friedman, a leading Chabad rabbi, flagrantly advocated the slaughter of non-Jews like cattle, including women and children. He coldly stated that “[t]he only way to fight a moral war is the Jewish way: Destroy their holy sites. Kill men, women and children (and cattle).” (“Chabad rabbi: Jews should kill Arab men, women and children during war," Haaretz, June 9, 2009.) Another prominent rabbi in Israel, Yitzhak Shapira, published a book entitled The King’s Torah which has been described as “230 pages on the laws concerning the killing of non-Jews, a kind of guidebook for anyone who ponders the question of it and when it is permissible to take the life of a non-Jew.” In the book, Rabbi Yitzhak Shapira says:

“Non-Jews are uncompassionate by nature,” and should be killed in order to, “curb their evil inclinations. …If we kill a gentile who has violated one of the seven commandments… there is nothing wrong with the murder. … There is justification for killing babies if it is clear that they will grow up to harm us, and in such a
situation they may be harmed deliberately, and not only during combat with adults.” (Max Blumenthal, “How to Kill Goyim and Influence People: Israeli Rabbis Defend Book’s Shocking Religious Defense of Killing Non-Jews,” Alternet, Aug. 30, 2010.)

Killing non-Jews is, of course, advocated in the Babylonian Talmud which commands the slaying of “the best of the Gentiles.” (Michael Hoffman, “The Truth About the Talmud,” Revisionist History) The aforementioned rabbi, Ovadia Yosef, publicly announced his bloodthirsty dreams of genocide when he called for the annihilation of all Arabs, stating that “[i]t is forbidden to be merciful to them. You must send missiles to them and annihilate them… The Lord shall return the Arabs’ deeds on their own heads, waste their seed and exterminate them, devastate them and vanish them from this world!” (“Rabbi Calls for Annihilation of Arabs,” BBC News, April 10, 2001.) Another supremacist rabbi, Yaacov Perrin, once said, “One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail.” (NY Daily News, Feb. 28, 1994, p.6)

These disturbing Jewish quotes will be shocking to many reading this considering that they are never, ever publicized by the Jewish-occupied media of the West. It is of the utmost importance to shine a light on the demented things that these Jewish supremacists have said openly in order to delineate the ruthless mindset and ethnic supremacy of many Jews, most especially Jewish leaders, who care nothing for innocent human life if it is non-Jewish. This all goes to show that an attack on the USA (blamed on Arabs) was very much welcomed and desired by many powerful Jews in Israel and America, as it served to benefit and advance their pernicious agenda of war and destruction exponentially.
CHAPTER THREE

Media Manipulation: Zionist Jews Pointing Fingers On 9/11

Andreas von Bülow, a former German politician and author, pointed out that the primary motivation of the perpetrators of false-flag attacks is to bomb or assassinate for the express purpose of molding public opinion against their enemy. This is one method for terrorists to elicit public support for their political objectives. Thus a large part of the operation, besides the actual attack, is focused on shaping the media broadcasts to immediately disseminate the prescribed narrative that has been designed to turn the public against the enemies of those actually committing the atrocity. Recall Netanyahu’s serpentine pronouncement that 9/11 "swung American public opinion in [Israel's] favor," as well as the callous remarks of Ehud Sprinzak that 9/11 from the perspective of Israeli Jews “was the most important public relations act ever committed in our favor.”

Accomplishing this task undoubtedly required an absolute death-grip on America’s media, both television and print. Only one group on earth wields the kind of influence over the American mass media that was essential to achieve this propaganda feat: Zionist Jews. To illustrate the reality of Jewish media control in America, all one has to do is quote what Jews themselves have said about it. For example, a Jewish journalist named Joel Stein wrote an article entitled “How Jewish is Hollywood?” (Los Angeles Times, Dec. 19, 2008) in which he arrogantly boasts about Jewish domination of Hollywood and the news media, stating:

“I have never been so upset by a poll in my life. Only 22% of Americans now believe “the movie and television industries are pretty much run by Jews,” down from nearly 50% in 1964. … It just shows how dumb America has gotten. Jews totally run Hollywood.

… How deeply Jewish is Hollywood? When the studio chiefs took out a full-page ad in the Los Angeles Times a few weeks ago to demand that the Screen Actors Guild settle its contract, the open letter was signed by: News Corp. President Peter Chernin (Jewish), Paramount Pictures Chairman Brad Grey (Jewish), Walt Disney Co. Chief Executive Robert Iger (Jewish), Sony Pictures Chairman Michael Lynton (surprise, Dutch Jew), Warner Bros. Chairman Barry Meyer (Jewish), CBS Corp. Chief Executive Leslie Moonves (so Jewish his great uncle was the first prime minister of Israel), MGM Chairman Harry Sloan (Jewish) and NBC Universal Chief Executive Jeff Zucker (mega-Jewish). If either of the Weinstein brothers had signed,
this group would have not only the power to shut down all film production but to form a minyan with enough Fiji water on hand to fill a mikvah.

The Jews are so dominant, I had to scour the trades to come up with six Gentiles in high positions at entertainment companies. When I called them to talk about their incredible advancement, five of them refused to talk to me, apparently out of fear of insulting Jews. The sixth, AMC President Charlie Collier, turned out to be Jewish.

As a proud Jew, I want America to know about our accomplishment. Yes, we control Hollywood. … I don’t care if Americans think we’re running the news media, Hollywood, Wall Street or the government. I just care that we get to keep running them.”

Ben Stein, a famous television personality of Jewish background, is rather proud that Hollywood is run lock, stock and barrel by his tribe. When the legendary actor Marlon Brando appeared on Larry King Live and said that “Hollywood is run by Jews, it's owned by Jews,” Stein penned an article in response with the condescending title of “Do Jews Run Hollywood? You Bet They Do -- And What of It?”. In the article Stein affirmed Brando’s assertion that Jews reign supreme in the entertainment business. The famed Hollywood movie director of Jewish extraction, Oliver Stone, himself said that Jews dominate the mass media in the U.S. (“Oliver Stone: Jewish control of the media is preventing free Holocaust debate,” Haaretz, July 27, 2010.) Stone was certainly in a position to know this to be true as one of Hollywood’s biggest directors, which makes his comments rather uncontestable. He was consequently pressured to apologize and retract his truthful remarks fearing that the Zionist Jews who run the media and Hollywood would destroy his career. A chauvinistic Jewish writer named Elad Nehorai authored a remarkably frank article for the Times of Israel (July 1, 2012) with the rather blunt title of “Jews DO Control The Media”. In a display of overcharged braggadocio, Nehorai gloats about Jewish control of the media, Hollywood, and the American government in a program akin to the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, and openly expressed his supremacist attitude that the Jews are a superior people who have a divine right to lead the world in a direction of their choosing.

Now, you might be thinking that Hollywood and the news media are two distinctly different things. The sad reality is that there is not much of a difference between the two
industries seeing as our ‘news’ is shaped by cunning propagandists who value political expediency far above historical truth. Much of what is presented as ‘factual news’ is actually purely contrived fiction and fantasy fashioned around a political or economic agenda. John Swinton, an American journalist who lived during the 1800s, harshly criticized the thoroughly corrupted nature of his profession, stating:

“There is no such thing as an independent press. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinions out of the paper I am connected with. … The business of the journalist is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell the country for his daily bread. We are the tools and vassals of the rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes.” (Richard O. Boyer and Herbert M. Morais. Labor’s Untold Story. United Electrical, Radio & Machine Workers of America, NY, 1955/1979.)

Regardless of the slight difference between Hollywood and news industry, Jews most certainly own and control the news media too! Brother Nathanael Kapner, a former Jew who became an outspoken activist against Organized Jewry, aptly documented that Jews run much of the American mass media on his website Real Jew News. In his April 11, 2010, article “Who Owns The Media?”, Brother Nathanael made note of the small army of Zionist Jews sitting atop America’s major media companies. Some of these Jewish media barons include:

“… Jeff Zucker, a Jew, was appointed the CEO of NBC Universal, of which, Comcast is now in charge. The owner of Comcast is the Jew, Brian Roberts, whose supporting staff includes a host of Jewish media functionaries. The Executives of NBC Universal, now a division of Comcast, is also, like its parent company, predominated by a cadre of Jews.

… Sumner Redstone — a Jew, born Murray Rothstein — took controlling interest in Westinghouse Broadcasting’s CBS television. The merger between Redstone’s Viacom and Westinghouse Broadcasting’s CBS network installed a new Board of Directors at CBS, mostly Jews, with Redstone as Chairman. Redstone, probably the richest man in America, is currently the Chairman of both CBS Corporation and Viacom.

Rounding out the list of Jewish media moguls, we find Jews in HIGH propaganda places, such as: ABC, CNN, Newsweek, Time, Washington Post, NY Times, Wall Street Journal, and US News & World Report.

ABC is owned by the Walt Disney Company, with the Jew, Robert Iger, installed as President. Sidney Bass, a Jew, along with his brothers, are the largest shareholders of The Walt Disney Company. CNN is a holding of Time-Warner, of which, the Jewish Bronfman family has controlling interest. Time Magazine is also owned by Bronfmans’ Time Warner Corporation. Newsweek and the Washington Post are owned by the Eugene Isaac Meyer “Graham” family. … Rupert Murdoch, a full-fledged Zionist,
whose origins are Jewish, is the owner of News Corporation, whose holdings include the Fox News Channel and the Wall Street Journal.

The New York Times is owned by the powerful Jewish Sulzberger family, the major influence behind Columbia University. Arthur Sulzberger Jr is the current Chairman of the newspaper. US News & World Report is owned by the real estate mogul, Mortimer Zuckerman....”

In another article detailing the Jewish ownership of the news media, Brother Nathanael informs us that in addition to national news outlets, Jews have completely taken over most of the ‘local’ newspapers of America! Writing in “Newhouse Family: A Zionist Media Empire” (Real Jew News, June 19, 2008), Kapner observed that,

“Jews have taken over the “local newspaper” in America. One might think that because of the large number of local newspapers across America a safeguard against Jewish control would be in place. However most “local newspapers” are owned by companies controlled by Jews whose offices are hundreds of miles away. The Newhouse Empire of the Jewish brothers Samuel, Donald, & Theodore Neuhaus (surname changed to Newhouse) illustrates the insatiable appetite that Zionists have for opinion control. Today the Newhouse Empire, under the parent corporation of Advance Publications, (owned by descendents of Samuel & Donald Newhouse), owns 40 “local newspapers” across the USA. These include the Newark Star Ledger, the Cleveland Plain Dealer, & the Portland Oregonian.”

Author Texe Marrs writing in his exposé of Jewish media influence entitled “Do the Jews Own Hollywood and the Media?” noted:

“And who, pray tell, is the current owner of the Tribune Company [which owns 23 television stations, a baseball team, and many major newspapers, including the Chicago Tribune and the Los Angeles Times]? Why, that would be Jewish billionaire Sam Zell. Zell is a major donor to Israeli, Zionist and Jewish causes. His own rabbi proudly reports that Zell is “a committed Zionist, a generous supporter of Israel, and a member in good standing of the synagogue.”

It is vital to highlight who owns the media because the media played an important role in the function of propagating the official 9/11 script to an extremely dumbed-down and credulous American public. Undeniably, Jews own and control America’s media — both television and print — essentially forming a pervasive media monopoly in the most powerful nation on earth. This explains why the media is so slanted in favor of Jews and Israel, and so brutally biased against the historical and present-day opponents of Jewish supremacism. Through this death-grip on the press and television news industry, Zionist Jews promulged a series of lies, distortions and falsehoods that were intended to mislead the American people — and the people of the world for that matter — about the true nature of the events of 9/11 and the actual culprits behind them. Within the mainstream media broadcasts on the very day and evening of the September 11 attacks, we find plenty of substantial clues that are strongly suggestive as to who the true authors
of this conspiracy really were. The former Pakistani spy chief Hamid Gul eloquently explained that,

“Within 10 minutes of the second twin tower being hit in the World Trade Center CNN said Osama bin Laden had done it. That was a planned piece of disinformation by the real perpetrators. It created an instant mindset and put public opinion into a trance, which prevented even intelligent people from thinking for themselves.”

(United Press International, Sept. 26, 2001.)

On 9/11, in what was assuredly a pre-scripted propaganda campaign designed to hypnotize and mesmerize the American people, mainstream media talking heads across all the major networks were — in unison — chanting the name “Osama bin Laden” over and over again. The time-tested technique of repetition was utilized expertly by the Jewish media to reduce the masses of America into a trance-like state of abject gullibility. Soon enough, the sleeping masses of America would be repeating — like parrots — the name of “Osama bin Laden” and “Al-Qaeda”. Within a few days of 9/11 the media whipped up such a lunatic frenzy with their relentless propaganda onslaught against bin Laden that a majority of Americans were already hungrily demanding that revenge be exacted upon the entire Muslim world.

When individuals frivolously point their finger at others, loudly and aggressively making accusations and casting blame in every direction other than on to themselves, it is usually because they are guilty of something and are trying to project their own guilt onto someone else. This is precisely what Jewish supremacists did on 9/11 and what they have always done in the past. Thus, it should come as no surprise to the reader that the first individual to point the finger at Osama bin Laden and Al-Qaeda as the parties responsible for what happened on 9/11 was none other than Ehud Barak, a prominent member of Israel’s government and military establishment since the very early days of the Zionist state.

On the morning of the September 11 attacks, very shortly after the Twin Towers had collapsed, Barak appeared in person at the BBC’s London studio where he proceeded to present a narrative to the public. The core message of this Israeli war criminal was that Osama bin Laden is responsible for the attacks and that a “global war on terror,” led by the United States, must be launched in response to it. Specifically, Barak said:

“How the world will not be the same from today on, it's an attack against our whole civilization. ... if it is the kind of Bin Laden organization, even if it's something else, I believe that this is the time to deploy a globally concerted effort led by the United States, UK, Europe and Russia,
against all sources of terror. …

It’s going to be a tough struggle. There will be many tough and painful moments along the way, but I believe that if we will coordinate diplomatic, operational, intelligence and economic activities that will not let them land at any airport and will isolate automatically any nation that is ready to host terror or support them. **And by doing this consistently along six or ten years we will reduce automatically this challenge to our whole way of life… We have no way but to stand firm facing terror otherwise all our way of life will be threatened. …**

And to stand firm means to isolate from the world every nation that is hosting them and calling every terror thug with the accurate name and be ready with all the pain that comes with it, to act upon our observations. … It’s time for action, facing such as attack we cannot but act and these terror thugs and rogue leaders are highly skillful in identifying the slightest cracks in the power of will in the leaders of the free world. … **It’s a time to launch an operational, complete war against terror even if it takes certain pains from the routine activities of our normal society. …** I believe that the world intelligence community in a concerted effort can identify within few months the sources of this terror. It can identify the places where they are deployed on earth. Every such a place within certain country. *The, ehh, Bin Laden sits in Afghanistan… [We should act preemptively against] five states, they are Iran, Iraq, Libya, North Korea… these kind of states should be treated as rogue states.*” (“A chief architect of 9-11, Ehud Barak, interviewed on BBC an hour after attacks,” YouTube)

Immediately assigning blame to your enemy is a tell-tale sign of a false-flag in action. Lo and behold, later in the day another Israeli war criminal would duplicate Barak’s finger pointing initiative. Israel’s Prime Minister *Ariel Sharon*, who described 9/11 as a “Hanukkah miracle” for his rogue nation, held a press conference on 9/11 in which he too blamed Islamists for the assault and called for an “international war on terror,” stating:

“The war against terror is an international war. A war of a coalition of the free world against all of the terror groups… This is a war between the good and the bad, between humanity and those who are bloodthirsty. The criminal attack today on innocent civilians in the United States, is a turning point in war against international terror. Even if the war against terror is long and even if the terror will try to raise its head again there won’t be any room in the world in which terrorists, their assistants and those who send them to be able to find a shelter or a refuge… The fight against terror is an international struggle of the free world against the forces of darkness who seek to destroy our liberty and our way of life. I
believe that together we can defeat these forces of evil…” (“9/11 Ariel Sharon “Turning Point on Terror” Press Conference 5:00 pm,” YouTube)

On 9/11, the BBC aired an evening program called Newsnight which featured as commentators Ehud Barak in the studio and Jewish neocon kingpin Richard Perle on the phone. During the broadcast Barak and Perle unleashed a carefully choreographed Zionist propaganda blitz, brazenly outlining their Jewish war agenda by forcefully advocating American military strikes against Iraq, Iran, Syria, Libya, Afghanistan, Hezbollah and Hamas — coincidentally all of whom are enemies of Israel and World Zionism! These Zionist ideologues made it a point to stress that the “states that sponsor terrorism” must be dealt with by brute force. (See: “BBC – Zionists pointing fingers on 911,” YouTube) The two greatest state-sponsors of terror, Israel and the United States, were strangely absent from their bogus list of nations that supposedly “sponsor terrorism.” On September 12, 2001, The Jerusalem Post quoted Israeli Likud zealot Benjamin Netanyahu as stating that the Palestinian Authority should be considered an “enemy” — not only of Israel but the entire world! Netanyahu also added that the so-called ‘international community’ (i.e., nations who serve Jewish interests) should join forces with Israel to wipe out all groups and nations in the Middle East that Netanyahu has deemed an “enemy.” (“Netanyahu: Designate PA ‘enemy’,” The Jerusalem Post, Sept. 12, 2001.) Netanyahu firmly believes that the Jews are destined to rule the world, and thus an enemy of the Jews is an enemy of the world in his twisted Talmudic mind. A video from 1990 features Netanyahu meeting and speaking with Menachem Mendel Schneerson, the revered Jewish supremacist “Lubavitcher Rebbe,” who pleads with Netanyahu to hasten the coming of the Jewish “King Messiah.” (“Benjamin Netanyahu Ordered to Hasten Jewish Messiah’s Coming,” YouTube) Netanyahu agreed to Schneerson’s delusional demands, and plans to do this by creating cataclysmic events like 9/11 and blaming them on the enemies of Jewry.

In another media broadcast on the morning of 9/11, a truly mind-boggling exchange took place between a Zionist Jew named Jerome Hauer and CBS’s Dan Rather. The Orwellian implications of Hauer’s statements are difficult to describe in words, but for the sake of brevity let’s just call this interview “self-incriminating” to say the least. The conversation went as follows:

**Dan Rather:** Based on what you know, and I recognize we’re dealing with so few facts, is it possible that just a plane crash could have collapsed these buildings, or would it have required the, sort of, prior positioning of other explosives in the, uh, in the buildings? I mean, what do you think?

**Jerome Hauer:** No, I, uh, my sense is just the velocity of the plane and the fact that you have a plane filled with fuel hitting that building, uh, that burned, uh, the velocity of that plane, uh, certainly, uh, uh, had an impact on the structure itself, and then the fact that it burned and you had that intense heat, uh, probably weakened the structure as well, uh, and I think it, uh, was, uh, simply the, uh, the planes hitting the buildings…causing the collapse.

**Dan Rather:** What perspective can you give us? I mean, there have been these repeated reports that, well, yes, Osama Bin Laden, but some think he’s been over-emphasized as,
as responsible for these kinds of events. I know many intelligence, uh, people at very high levels who say, listen, you can’t have these kinds of attacks without having some state, Iraq, Iran, Libya, Syria, somebody involved. Put that into perspective for us.

**Jerome Hauer:** Yeah, well I’m not sure I agree that, umm, this is necessarily state-sponsored. Umm, it, as I mentioned earlier, certainly has, umm, the, uh, fingerprints of somebody like Bin Laden.


As the reader has just witnessed, Jerome Hauer went on national television trying to convince Dan Rather, and the rest of us, that the intense heat from the jet-fuel fires and the impact of the planes had magically caused the free-fall collapses of the towers — forcefully ruling out the possibility of explosives. Moreover, he asserted without any evidence whatsoever that the attack had the “fingerprint of somebody like Bin Laden.” Hauer promulgated what would become the entire official cover story of 9/11 on the day that it happened! Perhaps he knew all of this because he penned the official script himself with his Zionist colleagues Barak, Sharon and Perle? After observing these Orwellian pronouncements one after the other, their significance cannot escape an intelligent person. These four Jewish criminal personalities laid bare on national television the entire Zionist agenda for war and destruction in the Middle East. Callously calling for a “war on terror” and the decimation of the Islamic world, this team of Israeli imperialists got ahead of themselves, giving away their malignant intent to manipulate and deceive. As these revealing quotations illustrate with such overt clarity, the sordid Zionist snakes who uttered these heinous words and phrases are the originators and progenitors of the colossal scam known to the world as the “war on terror,” not George W. Bush or Dick Cheney, who merely served as window dressing for the Jewish crime network running the show from behind the curtain.

However, neither Ehud Barak nor Ariel Sharon was the first to employ the term ‘war on terror.’ That Orwellian phrase was originally coined in the 1980s by their partner in crime **Benjamin Netanyahu** — the leader of Israel’s ruling Likud Party, which is literally the birth child of the infamous 1940s Jewish terror gang known as the Irgun. In 1987, Netanyahu published a book titled “Terrorism: How the West Can Win” containing a number of essays and transcribed speeches from like-minded Jewish-Zionist gangsters which outlined a political blueprint for a global campaign — led by the “Western democracies” — of relentless preemptive warfare against anyone that the Jewish supremacists label as “terrorists.” A “terrorist” is Zionist code-speak for non-Jews who resist Jewish terrorism, Zionist supremacy and the “Greater Israel” project. If you resist the Jewish theft of your land, you’re a “terrorist.” If you resist the Jewish takeover of your country, you’re a “terrorist.” If you resist the Jewish control of your country, you’re a “terrorist.” If you resist the Jewish control of your people, you’re a “terrorist.” If you resist the Jewish control of your media, government and banking system, you’re a “terrorist.” If you resist total Jewish supremacy, you’re a “terrorist.” This is what occurs when we let Jewish supremacists define terms for us. The sadistic Jewish policy of physically exterminating their enemies in the Middle East, under the flaccid veneer of “fighting terrorism,” became the USA’s official foreign policy after 9/11, as the attack was intended to accomplish.
This coalition of Jewish-Zionist psychopaths, conspicuously eager to place blame on Osama bin Laden and Al-Qaeda, were exposed for the loathsome liars and dreadful deceivers that they are by bin Laden himself! In an interview with the Pakistani daily *Ummat*, published in Karachi on September 28, 2001, bin Laden categorically denied having anything to do with the 9/11 attacks. He went on to allege that a Jewish-Zionist terror network operating at the highest levels of the governments of Israel and the U.S. were the true culprits, and intimated that Zionist Jews rule America using it as a proxy force to make slaves out of countries that are not subservient to Zionism and the Jewish financial elite. Here are a few excerpts from the interview:

**Ummat:** You have been accused of involvement in the attacks in New York and Washington. What do you want to say about this? If you are not involved, who might be?

**Osama bin Laden:** … I have already said that I am not involved in the 11 September attacks in the United States. As a Muslim, I try my best to avoid telling a lie. I had no knowledge of these attacks, nor do I consider the killing of innocent women, children, and other humans as an appreciable act. Islam strictly forbids causing harm to innocent women, children, and other people.

… Such a practice is forbidden ever in the course of a battle. It is the United States, which is perpetrating every maltreatment on women, children, and common people of other faiths, particularly the followers of Islam. All that is going on in Palestine for the last 11 months is sufficient to call the wrath of God upon the United States and Israel.

The United States should try to trace the perpetrators of these attacks within itself; the people who are a part of the US system, but are dissenting against it. Or those who are working for some other system; persons who want to make the present century as a century of conflict between Islam and Christianity so that their own civilization, nation, country, or ideology could survive. …Then you cannot forget the American Jews, who are annoyed with President Bush ever since the elections in Florida and want to avenge him.

Then there are intelligence agencies in the US, which require billions of dollars worth of funds from the Congress and the government every year. This funding issue was not a big problem till the existence of the former Soviet Union but after that the budget of these agencies has been in danger.

They needed an enemy. So, they first started propaganda against Usamah and Taleban and then this incident happened. You see, the Bush administration approved a budget of 40bn dollars. Where will this huge amount go? It will be provided to the same agencies, which need huge funds and want to exert their importance. Now they will spend the money for their expansion and for increasing their importance.

I will give you an example. Drug smugglers from all over the world are in contact with the US secret agencies. These agencies do not want to eradicate narcotics cultivation and trafficking because their importance will be diminished. The people in the US Drug Enforcement Department are encouraging drug trade so that they could show
performance and get millions of dollars worth of budget. General Noriega was made a drug baron by the CIA and, in need, he was made a scapegoat. In the same way, whether it is President Bush or any other US president, they cannot bring Israel to justice for its human rights abuses or to hold it accountable for such crimes. What is this? Is it not that there exists a government within the government in the United States? That secret government must be asked as to who made the attacks.

**Ummat:** The losses caused in the attacks in New York and Washington have proved that giving an economic blow to the US is not too difficult. US experts admit that a few more such attacks can bring down the American economy. Why is al-Qa’idah not targeting their economic pillars?

**OBL:** I have already said that we are not hostile to the United States. We are against the system, which makes other nations slaves of the United States, or forces them to mortgage their political and economic freedom. This system is totally in control of the American Jews, whose first priority is Israel, not the United States. It is simply that the American people are themselves the slaves of the Jews and are forced to live according to the principles and laws laid by them. So, the punishment should reach Israel. In fact, it is Israel, which is giving a blood bath to innocent Muslims and the US is not uttering a single word. ("Interview with Osama bin Laden. Denies his Involvement in 9/11,” Global Research, May 9, 2011.)

Amazingly, the true executors of 9/11 revealed themselves in the media broadcasts where one sees Zionist Jews standing in the foreground as instigators, rabble-rousers and slave-drivers! These pathologically insane calls for global conflict and upheaval trumpeted by the Jewish masters of deception Ariel Sharon, Ehud Barak and Richard Perle, on 9/11, is reminiscent of the psychotic Jewish campaign to plunge Western nations into war against their nemesis Adolf Hitler and National Socialist Germany beginning in 1933, when Organized Jewry initiated their “Holy War” against the Third Reich. (See: "THE JEWS DECLARE WAR ON GERMANY (1933),” Guardian 150m Website) Unconcerned with the incalculable loss of human life, Jewish supremacists have consistently instigated wars and conflicts around the world when it has suited their interests.
CHAPTER FOUR

The Hidden Hand of Zion Surfaces

In a miraculous display of Jewish “clairvoyance,” Israel’s preeminent spymaster **Isser Harel** — the founder of the Mossad and Shin Bet — predicted 9/11 with incredible accuracy. In 1979, Michael Evans, a Christian Zionist from the U.S., visited Isser Harel at his home in Israel wherein Harel proceeded to tell him over dinner that a terrorist attack upon New York City’s “tallest building” was imminent. Evans recounted this strange affair in this way:

“On Sept. 23, 1979, the founder of Israeli intelligence over dinner told me that America was developing a tolerance for terror. The gentleman’s name was Isser Harel, the founder of Mossad Israeli intelligence — he ran it from 1947 to 1963. He told me that America had developed an alliance between two countries, Israel and Saudi Arabia, and that the alliance with Saudi Arabia was dangerous and would develop a tolerance for terror among Americans. **He said if the tolerance continued that Islamic fundamentalists would ultimately strike America. I said “Where?” He said, “In Islamic theology, the phallic symbol is very important. Your biggest phallic symbol is New York City and your tallest building will be the phallic symbol they will hit.” Isser Harel prophesied that the tallest building in New York would be the first building hit by Islamic fundamentalists 21 years ago.**” (**Is America In Bible Prophecy?,” Belief Net, August 2004.**)

As an Israeli spy master learned in the art of deception, Harel did his best to pull the wool over Evans’ eyes. Anyone knowledgeable in the topic of symbolism will tell you that the phallus is an important symbol in Masonic ideology, not “Islamic theology.” Freemasonry is fundamentally based on the rites and rituals of Judaism and the occult Kabbalah (Jewish mysticism). (**Texe Marrs, “Masonic Jews Plot To Control World,” Power of Prophecy**) Therefore, in truth — Harel’s attempt at deceit notwithstanding — it is Masonic Jews, not Islamic Arabs, who have an affinity for phallic symbols.

Zionist Jews have quite a knack for predicting future events. This is not because they have any kind of supernatural gift of foresight but because they are fond of subtly stating their evil plans before putting them into action. Call it arrogance, this twisted supremacist mentality affecting these Jewish schemers manifests in many unorthodox ways. What Isser Harel’s “prediction” represents is a subtle confession that the 9/11 plot was hatched in Israel. In his career as a Jewish terrorist,
Harel spearheaded the Israeli strategy of terrorizing the West into submission by conducting false-flag operations as a method of coercion. He was involved in the Lavon Affair and was responsible for initiating “The Damocles Operation” of the early 1960s, which was a terror bombing and assassination campaign that targeted scores of German scientists to prevent them from helping Egypt develop its defense systems.

In a shocking coincidence, The Washington Times published an article one day before 9/11 shedding light on a study that was conducted by the Army School of Advanced Military Studies (SAMS) which devised a plan for enforcing a major Israeli-Palestinian peace accord that would require about 20,000 well-armed troops stationed throughout Israel and a newly created Palestinian state. The study calls Israel’s armed forces a “500-pound gorilla in Israel. Well armed and trained. Operates in both Gaza and the West Bank. Known to disregard international law to accomplish mission.” Of the Mossad, the Israeli secret service, the SAMS officers say: “Wildcard. Ruthless and cunning. Has capability to target U.S. forces and make it look like a Palestinian/Arab act.” (“U.S. troops would enforce peace under Army study,” The Washington Times, Sept. 10, 2001.) This stunning revelation was indicative of what was to come a day later — Israel would strike America and disguise it as an Arab act. To cover for themselves, the Mossad passed along an unspecified “general warning” to the CIA a month before 9/11 alleging that as many as two hundred terrorists were present on American soil and were preparing a major operation. The Mossad linked the plot to Osama bin Laden and told their CIA counterparts that there were “strong grounds for suspecting Iraqi involvement.” (“Israeli security issued urgent warning to CIA of large-scale terror attacks,” The Telegraph, Sept. 16, 2001.) This was by no means a legitimate “warning.” It was planted propaganda — a clever way for the Israelis to deflect suspicion away from themselves as perpetrators and onto their Arab enemies whom they have always ventured to set up as targets for U.S. military aggression. Victor Ostrovsky, in his two books “By Way of Deception” and “The Other Side of Deception,” demonstrates that the Mossad often gives out useless warnings as a way of making themselves look innocent when in fact the opposite is true.

Shortly after the 9/11 attacks, Zionist propagandists went straight to work. Aman, Israel’s central military intelligence service, immediately claimed that Iraq had been involved in the attacks. (Bamford, James. A Pretext for War: 9/11, Iraq, and the Abuse of America’s Intelligence Agencies. New York: Doubleday, 2004, p. 311.) Rafi Eitan, a delusional former head of Mossad, also laughably held that “the Iraqi dictator,” Saddam Hussein, was the “mastermind” of the attacks. (Dennis Eisenberg, “Ex-Mossad Chief, Iraq was Behind the Attacks,” Herald Sun (Australia), Sept. 23, 2001.) These baseless lies maligning Iraq emerged from the mouths of accusatory Zionist terrorist kingpins – the masters of deception – but these false accusations didn’t get very far. Instead, Israel’s dirty fingerprints which are all over this crime have made their way to the surface, despite intense Jewish efforts to suppress the truth.

In November of 2001, Fox News aired an explosive four part series detailing a secretive investigation that was being conducted by U.S. authorities into Israeli espionage in the United States. (Google users search: “Fox News Israeli 9/11 Spy Scandal”) The report revealed that around two hundred Israeli spies had been arrested by American authorities — the largest spy ring to be uncovered in U.S. history. One hundred and forty of the Israelis had been taken into custody just prior to 9/11 and the remaining sixty had been apprehended shortly thereafter in
connection with the ongoing investigation into the attacks. In the first part of the series, Fox News Correspondent Carl Cameron reported:

“Since Sept. 11, more than 60 Israelis have been arrested or detained, either under the new patriot anti-terrorism law, or for immigration violations. A handful of active Israeli military were among those detained, according to investigators, who say some of the detainees also failed polygraph questions when asked about alleged surveillance activities against and in the United States.

. . . investigators suspect that the Israelis may have gathered intelligence about the [9/11] attacks in advance, and not shared it. A highly placed investigator said there are “tie-ins.” But when asked for details, he flatly refused to describe them, saying, “evidence linking these Israelis to 9/11 is classified. I cannot tell you about evidence that has been gathered. It’s classified information.”

Fox News has learned that one group of Israelis, spotted in North Carolina recently, is suspected of keeping an apartment in California to spy on a group of Arabs who the United States is also investigating for links to terrorism. Numerous classified documents obtained by Fox News indicate that even prior to Sept. 11, as many as 140 other Israelis had been detained or arrested in a secretive and sprawling investigation into suspected espionage by Israelis in the United States.

Investigators from numerous government agencies are part of a working group that’s been compiling evidence since the mid ’90s. These documents detail hundreds of incidents in cities and towns across the country that investigators say, “may well be an organized intelligence gathering activity.”

The first part of the investigation focuses on Israelis who say they are art students from the University of Jerusalem and Bazala Academy. They repeatedly made contact with U.S. government personnel, the report says, by saying they wanted to sell cheap art or handiwork.

Documents say they, “targeted and penetrated military bases.” The DEA, FBI and dozens of government facilities, and even secret offices and unlisted private homes of law enforcement and intelligence personnel. The majority of those questioned, stated they served in military intelligence, electronic surveillance intercept and or explosive ordinance units.”

In the closing segment of the first broadcast, Fox News anchor Brit Hume and Carl Cameron talked about Israeli prior knowledge of 9/11. Cameron was careful not to directly implicate the Israelis, but quoted investigators who posed the question, “How could they [the Israelis] not have known [that 9/11 was going to happen]?” Carl Cameron then cited a defense intelligence report which spoke of Israel’s “voracious appetite for information.” The report further stated that the Israelis “are motivated by strong survival instincts which dictate every possible facet of their political and economical policies. It aggressively collects military and industrial technology and the U.S. is a high priority target.” Also highlighted in
this series were the intrigues of two Israeli telecommunications companies, Amdocs Ltd. and Comverse Infosys, who, investigators say, were engaged in spying and illegal wiretapping of American phones, including those of U.S. government employees. Amdocs Ltd. handled all of the call records and billing for the 25 largest American phone companies, meaning virtually every telecom record in the USA was routed through Amdocs. Information obtained through these companies was being used by the Israelis to undermine the efforts of U.S. investigators looking into Israeli organized crime and drug trafficking operations. In regard to 9/11, these companies were being used to undermine the work of honest officials in the FBI and other U.S. agencies who had been tracking the movements of several of the Arab patsies whom the Israelis were preparing to frame for their false-flag attack on 9/11.

Fox News and ABC News, the two mainstream media outlets that actually covered the Israeli 9/11 spy ring scandal, were pressured to drop any further coverage and to remove all previous references to the case from their archives. Journalist Christopher Ketcham made note of this Zionist censorship campaign:

“Media outlets that run stories even mildly critical of Israel often find themselves targeted by organized campaigns, including form-letter e-mails, the cancellation of subscriptions, and denunciations of the organization and its reporters and editors as anti-Semites. Cameron, for example, was excoriated by various pro-Israel lobbying groups for his exposé [of Israel’s 9/11-connected spy ring]. … In a December interview with Salon, CAMERA’s associate director, Alex Safian, said that several “Jewish/Israeli groups” were having “conversations” with representatives of Fox News regarding Cameron’s piece. … Oddly, four days after the Cameron investigation ran, all traces of his report — transcripts, Web links, headlines — disappeared from the Foxnews.com archives. … (when you entered the old URL, a Fox screen appeared with the message “This story no longer exists”).” (“The Israeli “art student” mystery,” Salon, May 7, 2002.)

Coincidently, in the third part of the series on Israeli espionage Carl Cameron reported that “investigators within the DEA, INS and FBI have all told Fox News that to pursue or even suggest Israeli spying . . . is considered career suicide.” In light of this evidence about a massive Israeli espionage ring amounting to two hundred or so Israeli operatives conducting spying, surveillance, and possibly even more sinister activities in the U.S. before 9/11, it appears that the Mossad’s phony “warning” to the CIA that two hundred Arab terrorists were moving throughout the country and preparing a major attack is just a projection of what the Israelis were actually doing. Veteran Anti War staff writer, Justin Raimondo, commented on the significance of what Carl Cameron and Fox News had reported about the Israeli spy ring, stating:
“What is striking about Fox News Reporter Carl Cameron’s portrait of Israel’s spy network in the U.S. is the sheer vastness of his subject. The broad scope of the Israeli spy operation, with its many fronts and activities conducted coast to coast… In the months leading up to 9/11, Cameron claimed, Israel was waging a covert war against its principal ally and benefactor, the United States.” (“The Terror Enigma: Israel and the September 11 Connection,” Chronicles Intelligence Assessment, August 2003)

It took no time at all for the Israeli hand to emerge from the smoking ruins of the World Trade Center on September 11th, 2001. The first suspects arrested on 9/11 in connection to the attacks were not Muslims with links to Al-Qaeda, but Israelis with ties to the Mossad. The New York Times reported that a group of five men had set up video cameras “aimed at the Twin Towers prior to the attack… and were seen congratulating one another afterwards.” (“One Arrested, Others Detained at NY Airports,” Fox News, Sept. 14, 2001.) Police received several calls from upset New Jersey residents claiming “Middle Eastern men” with a white van were videotaping the disaster with shouts of joy and mockery. The suspicious men had also gone to extreme lengths to photograph themselves with the Twin Towers burning in the backdrop. A New Jersey homemaker named Maria witnessed three of the men standing on top of a white van in the parking lot of her apartment building shortly after the first plane struck the North Tower. She told reporters: “They seemed to be taking a movie… They were like happy, you know … They didn’t look shocked to me. I thought it was very strange.” (“The White Van: Were Israelis Detained on Sept. 11 Spies?,” ABC News 20/20, June 21, 2002.) On September 12, 2001, journalist Paulo Lima of The Record N.J. News reported on the arrest of the jubilant ‘high-fivers’ who turned out to be Israelis. Here is an excerpt of his report:

“About eight hours after terrorists struck Manhattan’s tallest skyscrapers, police in Bergen County detained five men who they said were found carrying maps linking them to the blasts.

The five men, who were in a van stopped on Route 3 in East Rutherford around 4:30 p.m., were being questioned by police but had not been charged with any crime late Tuesday. The Bergen County Police bomb squad X-rayed packages but did not find any explosives, authorities said. However, sources close to the investigation said they found other evidence linking the men to the bombing plot. “There are maps of the city in the car with certain places highlighted,” the source said. “It looked like they’re hooked in with this. It looked like they knew what was going to happen when they were at Liberty State Park.”

Sources also said that bomb-sniffing dogs reacted as if they had detected explosives, although officers were unable to find anything. The FBI seized the van for further testing, authorities said. Sources said the van was stopped as it headed east on Route 3, between the Hackensack River bridge and the Sheraton hotel. …

Sources close to the investigation said the men said they were Israeli tourists, but police had not been able to confirm their identities. Authorities would not release their names. East Rutherford officers stopped the van after the FBI’s Newark Field Office
broadcast an alert asking surrounding police departments to look for a white Chevrolet van, police said.

“We got an alert to be on the lookout for a white Chevrolet van with New Jersey registration and writing on the side,” said Bergen County Police Chief John Schmidig. “Three individuals were seen celebrating in Liberty State Park after the impact. They said three people were jumping up and down.”

The East Rutherford officers summoned the county police bomb squad, New Jersey state troopers, and FBI agents, who waited alongside the van as prosecutors from the U.S. Attorney’s Office tried to obtain a warrant to search the van late Tuesday, Schmidig said.

By 10 p.m., members of the bomb squad were picking through the van and X-raying packages found inside, Schmidig said. Sources said the FBI alert, known as a BOL or “Be On Lookout,” was sent out at 3:31 p.m. It read: “Vehicle possibly related to New York terrorist attack. White, 2000 Chevrolet van with ‘Urban Moving Systems’ sign on back seen at Liberty State Park, Jersey City, NJ, at the time of first impact of jetliner into World Trade Center. “Three individuals with van were seen celebrating after initial impact and subsequent explosion. FBI Newark Field Office requests that, if the van is located, hold for prints and detain individuals.” …

Business records show an Urban Moving Systems with offices on West 50th Street in Manhattan and on West 18th Street in Weehawken. Telephone messages left at the businesses Tuesday evening were not immediately returned. Business records show the owner as Dominik Suter of Fair Lawn. …” (Five men detained as suspected conspirators,” The Record N.J. News, Sept. 12, 2001.)

After being pulled over by the NYPD, the driver of the van, Sivan Kurzberg, told the officers, “We are Israeli. We are not your problem. Your problems are our problems. The Palestinians are the problem.” (The White Van: Were Israelis Detained on Sept. 11 Spies?,” ABC News 20/20, June 21, 2002.) The other passengers were his brother Paul Kurzberg, Yaron Shmuel, Oded Ellner and Omer Marmari. The police and FBI field agents became very suspicious when they found maps of the city with certain places highlighted, $4700 cash stuffed in a sock, and foreign passports. (Ibid.) The FBI seized and developed their photos, one of which shows Sivan Kurzberg flicking a cigarette lighter in front of the smouldering ruins of the WTC in an apparently celebratory gesture. (Doug Saunders, “U.S. arrests of Israelis a mystery,” The Globe and Mail, Dec. 17, 2001.) After their arrest, the five dancing Israelis were not cooperative with U.S. authorities, refusing to answer questions about their suspicious activities. They were asked to take polygraph tests but refused to take them. After weeks of silence, the five Israelis finally agreed to take polygraph examinations, and failed them miserably. Pretending to be tourists on a working holiday, the Israelis’ cover was blown when the FBI confirmed that at least two of the five dancing Jews were registered agents of the Mossad and that Urban Moving Systems, the ostensible employer of the five Israelis, was a front operation for Israeli Intelligence. (Christopher Ketcham, “High-Fivers and Art Student Spies: What Did Israel Know in Advance of the 9/11 Attacks?,” Counter Punch, March 07, 2007.)
Israelis were held in custody for 71 days before being quietly released. A former CIA counterterrorism officer told journalist Christopher Ketcham:

“There was no question but that [the order to close down the investigation of the dancing Israelis] came from the White House. It was immediately assumed at CIA headquarters that this basically was going to be a cover-up so that the Israelis would not be implicated in any way in 9/11.” (Ibid.)

After returning home to Israel, three of the five dancing Israelis appeared on a popular Israeli talk show to discuss their ordeal in America. One of the Israelis, presumably Oded Ellner, stated: “The fact of the matter is we are coming from a country that experiences terror daily. Our purpose was to document the event.” (“Five Dancing Israelis Arrested on 9/11,” YouTube) A private American citizen recently obtained FBI and NYPD reports relating to the five dancing Israelis incident by filing a Freedom of Information Act request, and published the documents on the internet. These reports confirm many of the reputed occurrences surrounding the five dancing Israelis and their prior knowledge of what was to come on 9/11. (See: “The official 2001 FBI docs on Urban Moving Systems and the 9-11-2001 Dancing Israelis incident,” My Big Fat Anti-Zionist Life Blogspot, Aug. 17, 2011 / “The “Dancing Israelis” FBI Report – Debunked,” Take Our World Back Website) To cover for the Israelis the documents have been heavily redacted, but they confirm, for example, that the five dancing Israelis were already observing the World Trade Center disaster from the Doric Apartment complex’s parking lot less than five minutes after the first plane struck the North Tower and that they were filming, cheering and celebrating before the second plane hit the South Tower.

It must be pointed out that when the first plane struck the North Tower nobody quite understood exactly what had happened. Most innocent bystanders who witnessed the event initially assumed that it was just a tragic accidental plane crash, not a terror attack. It wasn’t until the second plane hit the South Tower when everybody realized that this was a premeditated and coordinated terrorist attack. Why would these Israelis go to such extreme lengths to “document” what was initially thought to be an ordinary plane crash when they could have just learned from the media what had happened later in the day? More importantly, why were they so happy, joyful and celebratory over what was thought to be an accidental plane crash? What kind of sick-minded people would celebrate, laugh and joke about a plane crash? The only logical conclusions that can be drawn from the bizarre behavior of the Israelis are:

1) Upon the impact of the first plane they were immediately cognizant that this was indeed a terrorist attack, not an accidental plane crash, before anyone could have known for sure that that was the case. This reveals that they most certainly had advanced prior knowledge of the date, time, place and nature of attacks.
2) They were celebrating because they were pleased with the outcome of the greatest Israeli spy operation in history, where Arabs would be blamed for an unprecedented terror attack on American soil resulting in a full-scale U.S. invasion and occupation of several Arab/Islamic States in the Middle East, whom Israel has long sought to weaken and destroy. More broadly, this event would ignite a “clash of civilizations” between the West and the Islamic World for the benefit of Israel and International Jewry.

The previously cited FBI report confirms that the dancing Israelis took 76 photographs of themselves, all of which show them to be “visibly happy.” The report also recounted some of the self-incriminating statements attributed to several of the dancing Israelis after being taken into custody. Oded Ellner confessed to being happy because he believed “that the United States will now take steps to stop terrorism in the world.” Omer Marmari is quoted in the report as having said that, “Israel now has hope that the world will now understand us.” Why would they think that? And how did they know that these attacks would benefit Israel before anybody had the faintest clue as to who perpetrated them? These brazen statements by Oded Ellner and Omer Marmari — taken in conjunction with the proclamations of Ehud Barak and Ariel Sharon on 9/11 that the USA should initiate and lead a new “global war on terror” — are dead giveaways as to Israel’s motive for committing 9/11: leading America by the nose on an endless path of war against their enemies in the Middle East!

An American employee of Urban Moving Systems, the Israeli Intelligence front company where the five dancing Israelis also worked, said that the majority of his co-workers were Israelis and were joking on the day of the attacks. He told The Record of New Jersey: “I was in tears. These guys were joking and that bothered me. These guys were like, ‘Now America knows what we go through.’” (Scott DaVault, “Urban Moving Systems and Detained Israelis,” What Really Happened) Other strange happenings at Urban Moving Systems headquarters in Weehawken, New Jersey, were unveiled in the FBI’s report. For instance, a former Urban Moving Systems employee later contacted the Newark Division of the FBI with information indicating that he had quit his job at the company due to a high amount of anti-American sentiment present among Urban’s employees. The former employee stated that an Israeli employee of Urban had even once remarked: “Give us twenty years and we’ll take over your media and destroy your country.” He also told the FBI that Dominik Suter, the Israeli owner of the dubious front company Urban Moving Systems, was a sleazy crook who would constantly cheat his customers out of money and that Suter constantly spoke badly of the United States. Also mentioned by the former employee was that the Jewish/Israeli workers always spoke Hebrew in his presence and that they had frequent meetings in the company’s office which he and the other non-Jewish employees were never invited to attend.

Urban Moving Systems is more than likely the hub wherein Israel’s Mossad coordinated their false-flag operation against America on 9/11. The Israeli owner of this Mossad front company, Dominik Otto Suter, set up his phony business with a small loan of nearly $500,000 from the U.S. government itself. (“Urban Moving Systems: the US-Israeli 9/11 Financial Nexus,” Under The Radar Media Blogspot, June 19, 2008.) Soon after the attacks, Suter was questioned by the FBI but was evasive. When the FBI returned to question him again he was gone, having abruptly fled the country back to Israel. ABC News reported:
“… sources told ABCNEWS . . . that the FBI believed Urban Moving may have been providing cover for an Israeli intelligence operation. After the five men [the dancing Israelis] were arrested, the FBI got a warrant and searched Urban Moving’s Weehawken, N.J., offices. The FBI searched Urban Moving’s offices for several hours, removing boxes of documents and a dozen computer hard drives. The FBI also questioned Urban Moving’s owner [Dominik Otto Suter]. His attorney insists that his client answered all of the FBI’s questions. But when FBI agents tried to interview him again a few days later, he was gone. Three months later 2020’s cameras photographed the inside of Urban Moving, and it looked as if the business had been shut down in a big hurry. Cell phones were lying around; office phones were still connected; and the property of dozens of clients remained in the warehouse. The owner had also cleared out of his New Jersey home, put it up for sale and returned with his family to Israel.” (“Were Israelis Detained on Sept. 11 Spies?,” ABC News 20/20, June 21, 2002.)

The blatantly guilty demeanor of Suter and the five dancing Israelis makes it quite obvious that they were heavily involved in the attacks. Recall the suggestive remarks of dancing Mossad agent Sivan Kurzberg that, “We are Israeli. We are not your problem. Your problems are our problems. The Palestinians are the problem.” This statement clearly illustrates the intention of the Israelis to frame Palestinians and other Arabs for terrorism against America in an effort to demonize their Middle Eastern adversaries and draw the U.S. into a conflict with the opponents of Israeli imperialism. In doing so, the treacherous Israelis endeavored to bring American public opinion in line with expansionist Israeli foreign policy objectives. This reality manifested on the evening of September 11, 2001, when Israeli operatives attempted to detonate a truck bomb on the George Washington Bridge, which they intended to have blamed on Palestinians, in what amounts to a classic false-flag operation. The Jerusalem Post reported that a white van packed with explosives was stopped by police as it approached the George Washington Bridge:

“American security services overnight stopped a car bomb on the George Washington Bridge connecting New York and New Jersey. The van, packed with explosives, was stopped on an approach ramp to the bridge. Authorities suspect the terrorists intended to blow up the main crossing between New Jersey and New York, Army Radio reported.” (“Car bomb found on George Washington Bridge,” The Jerusalem Post, Sept. 12, 2001.)

FOX News reported:

“…two suspects are in FBI custody after a truckload of explosives was discovered around the George Washington Bridge … The FBI … says enough explosives were in the truck to do great damage to the George Washington Bridge.”
WCBS News reported:

“… Most of New York City’s rescue operations and their police and fire departments have been concentrating their actions down in lower Manhattan where two planes hit the two towers of the World Trade Center. But some very … intelligent and aggressive cops also stopped another terrorist attack from happening on the George Washington Bridge. CBS2 has learned exclusively that two men are in custody after being arrested at the George Washington Bridge with an entire truckload of explosives. Now I’m told that those explosives could have been enough to blow up the entire span [of the bridge] and all the cars and the people that were on it.” (“9/11 George Washington Bridge – Truck Full Of Explosives And Two Suspects In Custody (Rare WCBS),” YouTube)

The existence of this truck bomb was independently reported by CNN, Fox News, ABC News, CBS News, and other major media outlets. (See: “Mossad Truck Bombs on Sept 11,” YouTube) The ethnicity and nationality of the two terror suspects was conveniently withheld from all of the media reports, for the obvious reason that the would-be bombers were Jewish Israelis and not Islamic Arabs. If they were Arabs or Muslims, the Jewish-owned press would have made a huge deal out of it. Pretty soon after the arrest of the two Israeli terrorists, media reporting on the attempted bombing of the George Washington Bridge abruptly stopped and previous reports on this incredible incident began vanishing. A desperate and conspicuous attempt was being made by the Jewish media bosses to sweep this blatant episode of Jewish terrorism under the rug. In a sloppy attempt to pre-frame Palestinians for this would-be truck bomb explosion on the G. W. Bridge, two Jewish sayans placed anonymous calls to the NYPD claiming to have spotted a group of “Palestinians” constructing a bomb in a van, and that they were headed towards the Holland tunnel. Here’s the transcript from NBC News:

Dispatcher: Jersey City police.

Caller 1: Yes, we have a white van, 2 or 3 guys in there, they look like Palestinians and going around a building.

Caller 2: There’s a minivan heading toward the Holland tunnel, I see the guy by Newark Airport mixing some junk and he has those sheikh uniform.

Dispatcher: He has what?

Caller: He’s dressed like an Arab.
The callers gave themselves away as Zionist Jews when they said that these alleged bomb-makers “look like Palestinians” and were dressed in “sheik uniform.” First of all, how would the caller have known that these “Arabs” were Palestinian as opposed to some other kind of Arab like Iraqi, Syrian, Saudi, Lebanese, and so on? Secondly, Palestinians usually dress in western-style clothes, not “sheik uniform.” Who other than Zionists have an axe to grind with Palestinians specifically to make a false 9-1-1 frame-up call of this nature? The mystery caller led the police to believe that the truck bomb was headed towards the Holland tunnel when in actuality it was stopped approaching the George Washington Bridge — a classic misdirection ploy. Fortunately for New Yorkers it was unsuccessful — the Israelis were caught red-handed trying to blow up a New York City bridge and have it blamed on their Palestinian enemies. The Jewish terrorists were fortunate in that their Zionist allies who control the American government and news media covered up their misdeeds and have made grand efforts to erase this incident from the historical record. Certainly, very few Americans even know that it took place. This goes to show the hypnotic power of the mass media, which is under the control of criminal Zionist Jews who were complicit with Israel in the attacks.

To draw attention away from the fact that two Israeli agents tried to bomb the George Washington Bridge, and to further bury the explosive story of the five celebrating Israeli Mossad operatives who were arrested in New Jersey, the Jewish-owned news media — in a premeditated incitement to genocide — repeatedly aired a dubious video clip of Palestinians supposedly celebrating the 9/11 attacks in the West Bank. (See: “Muslims “Palestinians” Celebrating 9/11 (CNN – Fox),” YouTube) The video, which was later exposed as a fraud, served the agenda of the Zionist masterminds behind 9/11 expertly, as it portrayed the Palestinians as supporters of terrorism. Israel immediately capitalized on the dehumanizing effect of this duplicitous anti-Palestinian propaganda video. Within ten minutes of the first plane striking the North Tower on 9/11 Israel launched ethnic cleansing operations into the occupied Palestinian territories. This incredible fact was divulged by Noam Chayut, a former Israeli soldier, in an interview with Alternate Focus. (“Burning Conscience: Israeli Soldiers Speak Out,” YouTube) The New York Times also reported on the murderous Israeli incursions into the West Bank village of Jenin that was initiated on the heels of 9/11:

“A surge of violence punctuated by an Israeli tank thrust into a West Bank town left eight Palestinians dead today, including a 9-year-old girl, as well as an Israeli settler shot dead by Palestinian gunmen. After a day of intense violence, Palestinian
officials accused the Israelis of stepping up military actions while international attention was focused on the terrorist attacks in New York and Washington. “The Israelis are exploiting the world’s preoccupation with events in the U.S. to carry on with their crimes against the Palestinian people,” said Yasir Abed Rabbo, a senior aide to Yasir Arafat, the Palestinian leader…” (Joel Greenberg, “8 Palestinians and an Israeli Settler Killed in a Surge of Violence,” New York Times, Sept. 13, 2001.)

Moreover, at 9:34 am on 9/11 Abu Dhabi television reported that it received a call from the Democratic Front for the Liberation of Palestine, a left-wing faction of the PLO, claiming responsibility for crashing two planes into the WTC. (“America’s day of terror: Timeline,” BBC News, Sept. 12, 2001.) This claim was immediately denied by the DFLP leader Qais abu Leila who said it had always opposed “terror attacks on civilian targets, especially outside the occupied territories.” (“World shock over U.S. attacks,” CNN, Sept. 11, 2001.) Common sense dictates that this “claim of responsibility” was simply another lame hoax by Israel to frame Palestinians for the 9/11 attacks. A short time after 9/11, Israel’s Mossad was caught red-handed by Palestinian authorities trying to create a phony ‘Al-Qaeda terrorist cell’ in Gaza in order to justify new Israeli attacks and aggression against the Palestinians. (“Israel ‘faked al-Qaeda presence’,” BBC News, Dec. 8, 2002.)

On 9/11, the Zionist terrorist network behind the attacks put their sick and twisted mentality on full display. Probably the most shocking and unusual event of the day came in the form of another white van that was stopped by NYPD officers in between 6th and 7th Avenue on King Street. Police recordings that have been released to the public indicate that this van had a mural/painting on its side that literally depicted a remote controlled plane crashing into the World Trade Center and exploding! Here is a portion of the police transmission detailing this bizarre incident:

**officer:** [inaudible] ... on air?

**officer:** The plane... [inaudible] ... explosives on King and 6th Avenue.

**officer:** King and 6th Avenue you said?

**officer:** One of those remote-controlled planes filled with explosives.

**officer:** 10-5 ... that plane message.

**officer:** 5 the message about the remote-controlled plane.

**officer:** Central, clear the air for the message about the plane.

**officer:** Central, we need the bomb squad and ESU over at King and...

**officer:** Central I got a message on that, uh, plane. It’s, it’s a big truck with a mural painted of a, of an airplane diving into New York City and exploding. We don’t know what’s in the truck… the truck is in between 6th and 7th on King Street.
**officer:** All right. 10-4. I’ll send ESU and the bomb squad there, forthwith Kay.

**officer:** 10-5 that last message.

**officer:** with a mural painted... uh... airplane diving into New York blowin up. Two men got out of the truck — ran away from it — we got those two under.

**officer:** We have both suspects under, Kay... *We have the suspects who driv... drove in the van, THE VAN EXPLODED, we have both of them under, Kay, let’s get some help over here.*

**officer:** What location?

**officer:** King Street between 6th and 7th.

(To listen to the recording, see: “Remote Controlled planes NYPD Recording on 9/11,” [YouTube](https://www.youtube.com))

The existence of the mural van was corroborated by a report put out by the Norman Y. Mineta International Institute for Surface Transportation Policy Studies (MTI) entitled “Saving City Lifelines: Lessons Learned in the 9-11 Terrorist Attacks”. On page 28 of the report it states:

“There were continuing moments of alarm. A panel truck with a painting of a plane flying into the World Trade Center was stopped near the temporary command post. It proved to be rented to a group of ethnic Middle Eastern people who did not speak English. Fearing that it might be a truck bomb, the NYPD immediately evacuated the area, called out the bomb squad, and detained the occupants until a thorough search was made. The vehicle was found to be an innocent delivery truck.”

The MTI report — while confirming that there was indeed a van with a painting on its side astonishingly depicting the events that were unfolding just blocks away — attempts to hide the fact that the mural van subsequently exploded, which was stated multiple times by NYPD officers in the aforementioned police radio recording. The MTI report affirms that the mural van was rented to “**ethnic Middle Eastern people who did not speak English.**” Say, if these Middle Easterners were Arabs don’t you suppose that the mainstream media would have been having a field day over this incident and wouldn’t it have been highlighted in the official 9/11 Commission Report? Strangely, it was completely ignored and forgotten by both the media and the U.S. government. Common sense dictates that if these two Middle Eastern suspects were Muslim Arabs — who had rented a delivery truck and painted the 9/11 attack scenario on the side of it and then parked it near the WTC on 9/11 — than it would have been plastered all over the television and printing press as proof of an “Arab/Al-Qaeda conspiracy,” which the Jewish media was keen on promoting from the outset. It would have coincided perfectly with the ‘Al-Qaeda’ narrative being trumpeted by Ariel Sharon, Ehud Barak and America’s Jewish-run mass media. Since the mural van incident was deliberately hidden from the public, **the only logical conclusion that can be extracted from this information is that these “ethnic Middle Easterners” were Jewish Israelis, not Islamic Arabs.** The preposterous conclusion of the MTI
report that the 9/11 mural van turned out to be nothing more than an “innocent delivery truck” is so absurd that further comment would be superfluous.

More proof of Israeli and Jewish foreknowledge of the 9/11 attacks was revealed by a Jewish resident of New Jersey who was a former Israeli soldier and veteran of the Yom Kippur War of 1973. In October 2000, approximately 11 months prior to September 11, 2001, the Jewish man was collecting English Ivy cuttings at the Gomel Chesed Jewish Cemetery located at Mccellan and 245 Mount Olive Avenue, New Jersey, when he overheard a conversation spoken in Hebrew in which two persons were talking about an imminent terrorist attack upon New York City’s World Trade Center Towers that would involve airplanes. The witness reported seeing two men leaning against a wall and a third person arrive in a Lincoln Town Car. He overheard one of the men say: “The Americans will learn what it is to live with terrorists after the planes hit the twins in September.” Later, one of the men expressed a certain amount of concern regarding the upcoming presidential election between Bush/Cheney and Gore/Lieberman, which he believed might interfere with their terrorist plans. The person who arrived in the town car quelled the doubts by stating: “Don’t worry, we have people in high places and no matter who gets elected, they will take care of everything.” The witness informed U.S. Attorney General John Ashcroft and the FBI of what he had overheard, but absolutely nothing was done with the information. John Ashcroft and the FBI completely ignored it for the obvious reason that Jews were implicated and not Arabs. As dedicated servants of Zionism, Ashcroft and the FBI take their orders from the Jewish Lobby, not the American people. (See: Ed Haas, “Prior knowledge of 9/11 attacks overheard in Hebrew,” Muckraker Report, Dec. 1, 2006 / “Chasing down Gomel Chesed Cemetery,” Muckraker Report, Jan. 4, 2007.)

Evidence that Israelis had been forewarned of the 9/11 attacks several hours before it happened surfaced at an Israeli instant messaging service called Odigo. The company’s headquarters were located just two blocks from the WTC in New York City, with additional offices in Herzliya, Israeli, coincidentally where Mossad’s headquarters are also located. It was reported that two of Odigo’s Israel-based employees received a warning in the form of an instant message predicting the attacks would happen, but the two recipients of the warning claim not to have known the sender. (Yuval Dror, “Odigo says workers were warned of attack,” Haaretz, Sept. 26, 2001.) Odigo’s service includes a feature called ‘People Finder’ that allows users to find and contact others based on certain interests or demographics, such as Israeli nationality. The vice president of sales and marketing at Odigo, Alex Diamandis, said it was possible that the attack warning was broadcast to other Odigo members, but that the company had not received reports of other recipients of the message. (“Instant Messages To Israel Warned Of WTC Attack,” Washington Post, Sept. 28, 2001) According to Odigo, the warning did not specifically mention the WTC, but the company refused to divulge what was specified, claiming, “Providing more details would only lead to more conjecture.” (Brian McWilliams, “Odigo Clarifies Attack Messages,” Newsbytes, Sept. 28, 2001,) Is it not peculiar that Odigo refused to reveal what was said in the message fearing that the content being disclosed would lead to “conjecture”? Conjecture about what, exactly? Logically speaking, keeping something secret would elicit more “conjecture” than something that is fully disclosed to the public. If the message was non-specific, as Odigo claims, then what would they have to worry about by releasing the contents of the message to the public? Later, Alex Diamandis hinted at the contents
of the message, stating, “The messages said something big was going to happen in a certain amount of time, and it did—almost to the minute.” The IP address of the sender was given to the FBI, but as usual nothing was done, and the matter was quickly forgotten. ("September 11, 2001: Two Hours Before Attacks, Israeli Company Employees Receive Warnings," History Commons) Evidently the FBI does not investigate terrorism when Israelis or Jews are implicated. The FBI is only concerned about “terrorism” when Muslim and Arab patsies can be set up and framed so that the Zionist media can portray them as psychotic plotting villains.

Keeping this information in mind, it would be wise to broach the issue of how many Israeli citizens actually died in the 9/11 attacks and how it relates to the Odigo story as well as to other evidence that has already been detailed herein of Israeli/Jewish prior knowledge of what was to come on 9/11. One day after 9/11, The Jerusalem Post reported that 4000 Israelis were missing in the attack on the WTC and Pentagon: “The Foreign Ministry in Jerusalem has so far received the names of 4,000 Israelis believed to have been in the areas of the World Trade Center and the Pentagon at the time of the attack.” ("Hundreds of Israelis missing in WTC attack,” The Jerusalem Post, Sept. 12, 2001.) Jerusalem’s Foreign Ministry compiled the number from Israeli relatives and families who, in the first few hours after the attack, contacted the Israeli Foreign Ministry and gave the names of Israeli friends and/or relatives who worked in the WTC or who had business scheduled in it or in its adjacent buildings at the time of the attack. Considering that New York City is the capital of world Jewish financial power, with the World Trade Center as its epicenter, one would expect to find many thousands of Israeli citizens working in the WTC. So how many Israelis actually died in the Towers on 9/11? Well, if you are the gullible type you might believe the figure spouted by President George W. Bush in a speech he delivered before Congress a short time after the attacks. Bush, in his infinite wisdom, made the claim that 130 Israelis perished in the attacks. ("Transcript of President Bush’s address,” CNN, Sept. 21, 2001.) This absurd figure was later revealed to be as a gross, and most certainly deliberate, exaggeration. In reality, only five Israelis died on 9/11, two of whom were supposedly aboard two of the allegedly hijacked planes. (“Five Israeli victims remembered in capital,” The Jerusalem Post, Sept. 11, 2002.) So, in truth, only three Israelis died in the WTC Towers on 9/11, where thousands were known to have worked! This is nothing short of a statistical impossibility.

One of the Israelis who is said to have been killed on 9/11 was Daniel Lewin. The official story attests that Lewin was aboard United Airlines Flight 11 and became embroiled in a struggle with one of the ‘Muslim hijackers’ resulting in his murder. Originally the story was that Lewin was shot and killed by Satam al-Suqami with a gun, but this was later changed to being stabbed to death with a knife. This slip-up is surely not the result of confusion because it is nearly impossible to mistake a knife for a gun. Rather, it shows that the 9/11 story was being crafted around the fabricated ‘Muslim hijackers’ narrative and that the Jewish-owned press was revising the story as they saw fit. It would not be very believable to assert that the hijackers managed to smuggle a gun and ammunition on a flight, so they changed it to a knife which would have been easier to slip past security. What is most intriguing about this story is that Lewin was not your average Israeli — he was once a captain in the Israeli Occupation Army and an officer of Israel’s elite commando unit known as ‘Sayerat Matkal,’ the “world’s most elite anti-hijacking team” that specializes in aircraft takeovers and assassinations. (“Friends think Flight 11 Israeli was ‘executed,’” World Net Daily, Mar. 1, 2002.) Yehuda Schwartzberg, a childhood friend of Lewin, told World Net Daily that “Danny was an officer in a secret unit of the Israeli army
called ‘sayeret matkal’... My guess is that he did something in some way to stand up against the hijackers, and was executed because of it... Anyone who knows Danny knows that it was not his nature to go down without a fight... Maybe this [FAA] (memo) shows that he died a hero.” (Ibid.) The Zionist authors behind this fictional script revealed their hand by attempting to weave a hero’s tale around this Israeli commando, presenting him as the “very first victim of 9/11.” What actually happened on Flight 11 and the three other doomed flights remains a mystery, but the unmistakable fingerprint of Zion was unveiled with the presence of Daniel Lewin, a probable Mossad agent, aboard one of the 9/11 flights.

Some of the other foreign nationals who suffered casualties on 9/11, according to Wikipedia, included 68 Brits, 47 Dominicans, 41 Indians, 28 South Koreans, 24 Japanese, 24 Canadians, 17 Colombians, 16 Mexicans, and even 14 citizens of Trinidad and Tobago. All of these foreign nations had a much higher death toll than Israel even though the WTC was primarily comprised of international finance, trade, banking and brokerage firms — the kinds of businesses where Israeli Jews are traditionally over-represented. With this in mind, it is highly probable that many Israelis, other than the two employees of Odigo, were forewarned of the attacks, which accounts for such a miniscule Israeli death toll on 9/11.

President Bush is known for his rather dull intellect and his poor grasp of the English language. With his inability to express his own thoughts coherently, it is obvious that he did not write his own speeches – he just recited them like a trained parrot. As Bush’s principal speechwriter, the man actually responsible for the gargantuan exaggeration of 130 Israeli deaths on 9/11, when it was only five, was David Frum. Frum’s obvious goal in inflating the number of Israeli casualties in the attacks was to elicit public sympathy for Israel. Frum was looking to manipulate the American people by promoting Israel as America’s new “partner” who are now united in this bogus “war on terror.” Frum injected egregious propaganda into Bush’s speech, asserting that America was attacked on 9/11 by people who merely “hate us for our freedoms.” Frum coined the Orwellian phrase “Axis of Evil” to denote a number of countries that the Zionists do not like. He co-authored a venomous book of Zionist deceit entitled, “An End To Evil: How To Win The War On Terror”, with another warmonger of the highest caliber, Richard Perle. Journalist Robert Novak exposed Frum as a raving Zionist extremist and fanatical Jewish supremacist who was transfixed by his own Jewishness, making constant reference to it. Novak noted:

“While Frum calls himself “a not especially observant Jew,” he repeatedly refers to his Jewishness. It is hard to recall any previous presidential aide so engrossed with his own ethnic roots. Frum is more uncompromising in support of Israel than any other issue, raising the inescapable question of whether this was the real reason he entered the White House.” (“Axis of Ego,” The American Conservative, March 24, 2003.)

Noam Chayut, the former Israeli soldier who was interviewed by Alternate Focus, stated that an official of the Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs told him that “the public opinion of the U.S. is the most powerful weapon that Israel has.” (“Burning Conscience: Israeli Soldiers Speak Out,” YouTube) Because of the 9/11 attacks world public opinion abruptly shifted from Palestinian sympathies to Israeli sympathies. As a result of 9/11, and the subsequent global
Jewish media propaganda blitz to demonize and dehumanize Arabs and Muslims, World Zionism triumphed. For the past decade the Zionist regime has had a free hand to commit countless atrocities against the Palestinians, the people of Lebanon, and other Arab and Islamic peoples and nations. Jewish supremacists have utilized the momentum of 9/11 to successfully drive the USA, and other Western powers, into a litany of aggressive, illegal wars against their enemies with no end in sight.
CHAPTER FIVE

New York City’s Zionist Insiders

In order to illuminate the full scope of this conspiracy and those who lie behind it, a cast of criminal characters intimately connected to the 9/11 plot must be examined. Without the inside help of several uniquely placed individuals in America to assist Israeli Intelligence in the execution of these attacks, the operation could not have been successful. In the service of Israel and Zionism, a closely-knit and hugely influential cabal of criminal Jews pooled their resources together and combined their prodigious power to bring doom upon America.

The Mossad relies heavily on a vast network of Jewish spies in the diaspora known as the “Sayanim” (Hebrew for “helpers, assistants”). This fact was first revealed by former Mossad officer Victor Ostrovsky in his book *By Way of Deception* and was later confirmed by espionage writer Gordon Thomas in his book entitled *Gideon’s Spies: The Secret History of the Mossad*. In an interview on a BBC radio show, Thomas divulged that there are up to one million Jews around the globe who volunteer to help the Mossad in various ways. (“Million Jews aid Mossad says writer on Radio 4,” *The Jewish Chronicle Online*, Feb. 25, 2010.) In 1979, a former CIA official told *Newsweek* that, “The Mossad can go to any distinguished American Jew and ask for help.” This is precisely what was done in the run-up to 9/11 — several affluent American Jews were called upon by the Mossad to help orchestrate 9/11 for the benefit of the Jewish state and to fulfill the geopolitical aims of International Zionism.

A cardinal component of the Israeli false-flag operation on 9/11 was the controlled demolition of the WTC Twin Towers and WTC-7. The two planes that hit the towers merely served as misdirection that was later used as the highly implausible excuse for their downfall. Blowing up buildings is a very intricate art that requires professional demolition specialists and weeks of preparation. To accomplish this task, explosive experts needed unrestricted access to the Twin Towers and WTC-7 in order to set explosive charges in place to bring down the buildings. The first step in the preparation for this operation was transferring ownership of the World Trade Center complex from the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ) into private hands. This was set in motion by a handful of well-connected Jewish billionaires, all of whom have intimate connections to Israel and a long history of Zionist activism in the United States, Israel and elsewhere.
The chairman of the Port Authority leading up to and on 9/11 was Lewis M. Eisenberg, a Zionist Jew. Eisenberg is a board member of the Republican Jewish Coalition, he was previously a partner of the Jewish bank Goldman, Sachs & Co., and he has also served on the board of directors of two of the most powerful pro-Israel pressure groups in the U.S., the United Jewish Appeal/Federation of New York and the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC). He is an active fundraiser for Republican politicians who tow the Zionist line on American Middle East policy as finance chairman of the Republican National Committee (RNC) and was a major financial contributor to the Bush-Cheney presidential campaign in 2000. (Bob Feldman, “The Republican Jewish Coalition and the pro-Israel Lobby,” The Electronic Intifada, Feb. 21, 2005.) In his function as the Chairman of the Port Authority, Eisenberg oversaw the negotiations and transfer of the World Trade Center to his fellow Zionist Jews Larry A. Silverstein and his business partner Frank Lowy. Silverstein and Lowy were outbid by Vornado Reality Trust by $30 million, but tribal networking with their Zionist collaborator Eisenberg ensured they got the contract. (Andrew Rice, “Silverstein Recovers: Dark Horse May Win World Trade Center,” New York Observer, April 9, 2001.) On July 24, 2001, a mere six weeks before 9/11, Silverstein and Lowy suspiciously obtained a 99-year lease on the entire WTC Complex, in addition to WTC-7 which was already owned by Larry’s company Silverstein Properties. Leasing the WTC was a particularly bad investment. The Twin Towers were filled with asbestos, an illegal and toxic substance which required a costly removal procedure that would have produced a bill that would have rivaled the lease itself, yet oddly, Silverstein “felt a compelling urge to own them.” (“Asbestos in the WTC: Towers’ Destruction ‘Solved’ Asbestos Problem,” 911 Research WTC-7 Website)

The Jewish supremacist Larry Silverstein is a dedicated Zionist whose rabid support for Israel is not a secret. In his life, he has been involved with numerous Jewish supremacist organizations, Zionist fundraising schemes and is a major financial contributor to sham Jewish causes. He served as chairman of the board of the United Jewish Appeal and remains on the Board of Directors, along with his wife Klara. On its website, the UJA boasts of having raised over $1 billion for the terrorist state of Israel over the past sixty years. Larry has also donated more than $1 million to the anti-German hate group known as the Museum of Jewish Heritage, a “living memorial to the holocaust,” and sits on its Board of Trustees. Silverstein has close ties with many of Israel’s bloodthirsty leaders who sit at the head of the ruling Likud Party. He is personal friends with no less than three former Israeli Prime Ministers: Benjamin Netanyahu, Ehud Barak and Ariel Sharon. He was so friendly with Netanyahu that he had regular telephone conversations with him every Sunday afternoon. Sara Leibovich-Dar, writing for the Israeli
paper Haaretz, made note of these relationships in an editorial entitled “Up In Smoke”. She wrote:

“Shortly after the events of September 11, Prime Minister Ariel Sharon called Larry Silverstein, a Jewish real estate magnate in New York, the owner of the World Trade Center’s 110-story Twin Towers and a close friend, to ask how he was. Since then they have spoken a few more times. Two former prime ministers – Benjamin Netanyahu, who this week called Silverstein a “friend,” and Ehud Barak, whom Silverstein in the past offered a job as his representative in Israel – also called soon after the disaster. Yaakov Terner, the mayor of Be’er Sheva, sent a letter of condolence. Many Israeli politicians are acquainted in one degree or another with the 70-year-old Silverstein.”

Silverstein has even more conspicuous associations that solidify his guilt as 9/11’s “inside man” for Israel. In 1992, he co-founded the Israel Export Development Company (IEDC) which apparently sought to facilitate safer investment in Israel. (David Yerushalmi, “Resurrection,” The Institute for Advanced Strategic & Political Studies) The IEDC is an offshoot of the Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies (IASPS), a hawkish pro-Israel “think tank” with branches in Israel and the U.S which sought to promote wars against Israel’s regional enemies in the Middle East. (R. Marshall, “Right-Wing Extremists Endanger Israel and the Jews,” Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, Dec. 1999) This ties Silverstein to the Zionist propaganda outfit that conceived the heinous policy document A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm. This report was authored in 1996 as advice for Benjamin Netanyahu’s incoming Likud administration by Jewish neocon “thinkers” Richard Perle, Douglas Feith, David Wurmser and Meyrav Wurmser. This group and the Zionist Jews associated with it would play a central role in the instigation of the war in Iraq in 2003.

Although four members of Silverstein’s staff were killed in the attacks, he himself was saved only because he cancelled a routine business meeting at the last minute which was scheduled to take place first thing in the morning at Windows On The World restaurant, located on the 106th floor of WTC 1. Lucky Larry explained his absence from the WTC on 9/11 to the Wall Street Journal:

“His own life, he says, was spared ‘by a miracle, an absolute miracle.’ On the morning of 9/11 his wife insisted he make a dermatologist’s appointment and skip a breakfast with tenants at the Windows on the World in the North Tower.” (“The Man Who Is Rebuilding Ground Zero,” Wall Street Journal, Sept. 11, 2010.)

There were other “miracles” in the Silverstein family that morning. Larry’s son Roger and daughter Lisa, who were working for him in temporary offices on the 88th floor of the North Tower, were also absent from the towers on 9/11, narrowly escaping certain death. Both of them just happened to have been “running late” for work on that fateful day. (Tom McGeveran, “Mike Sees City Taking Control At Ground Zero,” The New York Observer, Mar. 17, 2003.) Was this really a “miracle,” as Larry Silverstein suggested, or was the Silverstein family privy to some sort of prior knowledge that the rest of the World Trade Center’s thousands of unfortunate employees were not?
On a PBS program called “America Rebuilds,” Larry Silverstein tacitly confessed to the demolition of WTC-7, the 47 story steel skyscraper that was not hit by a plane, which collapsed into its own footprint in 6.5 seconds (nearly free fall speed) at around 5:20 in the afternoon on 9/11. His exact statement in reference to WTC-7 was:

“I remember getting a call from the…er…fire department commander, telling me that they were not sure they were gonna be able to contain the fire, and I said, ‘We’ve had such terrible loss of life, maybe the smartest thing to do is pull it.’ And they made that decision to pull and we watched the building collapse.” (“America Rebuilds,” PBS)

“Pull it” is demolition industry jargon for destroying a building. Larry’s lame excuse for his Freudian slip was that he meant “pull the firefighters out of the building.” This is impossible because there were no firefighters in WTC-7 to speak of. According to FDNY fire chief Frank Fellini, all firefighters had been directed to steer clear of WTC-7 five or six hours before it came down. (New York Times, 12/3/2001) On 9/11, the mysterious collapse of WTC-7 was prematurely announced by the BBC on a live broadcast twenty minutes before it actually came down, further revealing the thoroughly scripted nature of the day’s events! (“Enhanced Version: News Reports WTC7 Fell Before It Happens!,” YouTube) Having taken out a massive insurance policy on the WTC complex which covered “acts of terrorism” just six weeks before 9/11, Larry Silverstein originally sought over $7 billion in an insurance payout as a result of the destruction of his complex. He claimed that two planes crashing into two towers constituted two separate terrorist events and therefore required a double pay out. (“Insurers Debate: One Accident or Two?” Bloomberg News) This greedy Jew’s attempt at subterfuge did not exactly get the results he was looking for, but he did manage to squeeze $4.55 billion out of his insurers with the help of Michael Mukasey, a Jewish-Zionist judge who presided over the case and ruled in his favor. (Tom Topousis, “WTC Insure War Is Over,” New York Post, May 24, 2007 / Joseph Goldstein, “As Judge Leaves for Law Firm, His Legacy Is Remembered,” New York Sun, July 26, 2006.) Mukasey was later nominated as U.S. Attorney General by George W. Bush.

Silverstein’s business partner Frank Lowy is the co-founder of Westfield Group, which operates over 100 shopping centres in Australia, New Zealand, the United States and England. He leased the shopping concourse area called the Mall at the World Trade Center, made up of approximately 427,000 square feet of retail floor space. Lowy is a Hungarian Jew born in Czechoslovakia. In 1946, he made his way to the British Mandate of Palestine wherein he joined the Jewish terrorist group known as Haganah to slaughter Palestinians and steal their land. A short time later he joined the Golani Brigade with whom he participated in the Nakba — the forced expulsion of nearly a million Palestinian Arabs from their homes, dozens of murderous massacres of Palestinians, and the decimation of hundreds of Palestinian villages, upon which the state of Israel was illegally formed in 1948. After his stint in Palestine as a Jewish terrorist, murderer and ethnic cleanser, Lowy moved to Sydney, Australia in 1952 whereupon he started up his retail property company Westfield Group, which has made him a very rich man. (Herb Keinon, “Frank Lowy: From Hagana to $3.8 billion magnate,” The Jerusalem Post, May 29, 2006.)
In regard to 9/11, it is important to note some of Lowy’s extensive ties to Israel, his cozy relations with Israeli politicians and his avid activism to further Zionism and Jewish domination around the world. The blogger Crimes of Zion unearthed a plethora of revealing connections between Frank Lowy and Israel’s ruling Zionist establishment in his article titled “Frank Lowy, Zionism and 9/11”. For instance, in 2001 Lowy was the Associate International Chairman of the Israel Democracy Institute and in 2003 he set up an international policy think tank devoted to foreign affairs called the Lowy Institute for International Policy. In 2006, he established the Israeli Institute for National Strategy and Policy at Tel Aviv University where bigwig Israeli politicians and businessmen gather to brainstorm sinister ways to undermine the gentiles. (“Frank Lowy launches Tel Aviv University institute,” Globes Israel’s Business Arena, 29 May 2006.) Described by the Sydney Morning Herald as “a self-made man with a strong interest in the Holocaust and Israeli politics,” Lowy spends three months of the year at his home in the Jewish crime enclave known as Israel. Lowy was very much involved in the dissemination of Zionist propaganda through media venues such as a publication called the Australia/Israel Review (AIR) where he served as vice president. This Jewish supremacist rag was the birth-child of the Australia Israel Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC), the Australian equivalent of its American counterpart, AIPAC. A London Times article gave us this interesting piece of information, further illustrating Lowy’s raving Zionist fanaticism:

“Lowy has donated about £200,000 to build a memorial museum in Israel for his former brigade and has toured old battle sites with former comrades. His personal wealth was recently estimated by Forbes magazine at £1.5 billion and he is well known in Australia as a philanthropist supporting Jewish causes. Through the Westfield Foundation he has given to the United Israel Appeal, an organization for resettling Jewish immigrants in Israel. His family was last year preparing to invest more than £30m in property and media interests in Israel.” (“Blair’s envoy in new row over pay,” The Sunday Times, April 14, 2002.)

Frank Lowy maintains close personal relationships with Israeli political kingpins Ehud Olmert, Ariel Sharon, Ehud Barak and Barak’s brother Avinoam Brog. Brog confirmed Lowy’s highly influential standing in the Zionist state when he told the Sydney Morning Herald that Lowy’s “influence is such that if he wanted to talk to any politician in Israel, then he could. And they will listen.” (“The quiet benefactor: Lowy’s close ties with Israel,” The Sydney Morning Herald, Sept. 29 2008.) In addition to being a former terrorist, Lowy is also a crook. In 2006, it came to light that Lowy was implicated in a corruption scandal involving the then Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Olmert. Israeli media reported that Olmert had a conflict of interest because he advanced Frank Lowy’s interests in the privatization of a major Israeli bank, Bank Leumi. (“PM to face criminal investigation over Bank Leumi sale affair,” Haaretz, Jan. 16, 2007.) In the bid for Bank Leumi, Lowy’s business partners were the Jewish plutocrats Mortimer Zuckerman, who is the publisher and owner of New York Daily News, the chief editor of U.S. News & World Report as well as the former chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations; and Daniel Abraham, a prominent board member of AIPAC.

Another crooked character that played an imperative role in the planning and preparation for 9/11 was the Jewish billionaire Ronald Lauder. Having inherited his fortune as the heir to his parents’ cosmetics empire Estée Lauder Companies, Lauder is an active Jewish supremacist
lobbyist and a rather chauvinistic Zionist-Israeli partisan. Lauder is actively involved in numerous Zionist pressure groups and Jewish spy agencies masquerading as “civic organizations,” including the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations, the Jewish National Fund, the World Jewish Congress (of which he was elected president on 10 June, 2007), the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee, the Anti-Defamation League, the Jewish Theological Seminary, Brandeis University, and the Abraham Fund. He also established a school carrying his name called The Lauder School of Government, Diplomacy and Strategy for the Interdisciplinary Center of Herzliya (Israel), a Mossad recruiting center and operative training ground. It is stated on the website of the Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya that the founding dean of Lauder’s Mossad school was none other than Ehud Sprinzak, the Israeli professor who told the UK’s Telegraph that 9/11 was the greatest public relations stunt ever committed in favor of Israel and Zionism.

In regard to 9/11, it is important to mention two more organizations that Lauder headed: the New York State Commission of Privatization and the New York State Research Council on Privatization. Through these groups, Lauder introduced the concept of privatization to the Port Authority. (“Green Acres,” New York Press, Feb. 8, 2005.) In this function, Lauder was the key individual who lobbied for the privatization of the WTC, which fell right into the hands of his Zionist brethren: Silverstein and Lowy. According to the journalist Michael Massing, on Israeli issues Lauder “was a vocal Likudnik, with long-standing ties to Netanyahu,” and that during Netanyahu’s 1989 run for top office in Israel “the Jewish press carried reports that he [Lauder] had helped bankroll Netanyahu’s campaign for prime minister.” (“Deal Breakers,” The American Prospect Magazine, March 2002)

On the tenth anniversary of 9/11, Ronald Lauder wrote an obscenely propagandistic “opinion” piece that was published on the website of the World Jewish Congress, in which he forcefully propagated the official fairy tale of 9/11, essentially arguing that it is the duty of all Westerners to defend Israel and fight the Muslims in the name of “freedom, democracy and human rights.” These three terms are Judeo-Masonic code words. Jewish supremacists and their paid lackeys constantly invoke this deceptive slogan as a pretext for Western military intervention anywhere in the world where the Jewish power elite are having trouble dealing with a certain group of rebellious non-Jews who are not acting like obedient, pacified slaves. What this slogan really means is that we must fight, not for “freedom, democracy and human rights,” but for “the divine right of the Jews to abuse, subjugate and enslave the non-Jews.”

The circumstances surrounding the private acquisition of the World Trade Center in July of 2001 bears the distinct mark of Zion. Is it just a coincidence that every single person involved in the privatization, transfer and subsequent private ownership of the WTC were corporate billionaire Jews with a laundry list of connections to powerful Jewish lobby groups, Zionist think tanks and the biggest shot-callers in the Israeli government, particularly the Likud Party? Is it just mere happenstance that two Jewish-Zionist bigwigs gained total control over the entire WTC complex just over a month before 9/11, and by doing so facilitated an event that proved to be a godsend for their elitist friends in Tel Aviv? In politics, there are no coincidences.
CHAPTER SIX

Zion Incorporated: The Jewish “Security” Apparatus

In his outstanding book, Solving 9/11: The Deception That Changed the World, the brave researcher Christopher Bollyn explained why it was so crucial for the 9/11 plotters to gain control over security at the World Trade Center to ensure the success of this heinous false-flag operation. Being in charge of security at the Twin Towers, writes Bollyn,

“was obviously crucial to the 9/11 operation. The explosive charges and Thermite that evidently demolished the three towers could not have been placed in the buildings without the perpetrators having complete control of security. Getting control of security at the WTC was something senior officers of Israeli military intelligence had actively sought since at least 1987. This was clearly part of the Israeli master plan for 9/11, a plan first openly articulated in 1979 by Isser Harel, the former head of Israeli intelligence…” (Solving 9/11, Ch. 7, “The Architecture of Terror: Mapping the Network Behind 9/11”)

Bollyn, in chapter 7 of his book titled “The Architecture of Terror: Mapping the Network Behind 9/11,” cogently outlined how the Jewish crime network accomplished this fundamental task. According to the stellar research of Bollyn, in 1987 Atwell Security of Tel Aviv, an Israeli security company staffed with high-ranking Shin Bet and Mossad agents, gained control of security at the World Trade Center through a contract with the Port Authority. The head of this company was an elite Israeli Intelligence veteran named Avraham Shalom Ben-Dor, the former chief of Israel’s internal security service Shin Bet. Another infamous Mossad agent, Peter Zvi Malkin, brokered the deal with the Jewish-run Port Authority, which placed New York City’s tunnels, bridges, ports, airports and the WTC under the control of Israeli Intelligence through Atwell Security. Both of these men were long-time associates of Mossad’s founder Isser Harel, the man who “predicted” 9/11 in 1979. Interestingly, Atwell Security was a subsidiary of the Eisenberg Group which was owned by the Israeli businessman and Mossad arms dealer Shaul Eisenberg.

This agreement was, however, strangely terminated shortly thereafter by Edward J. O’Sullivan, the Port Authority’s Director of Special Plans, due to the company’s obvious affiliation with the Israeli secret services. This is when the Jewish crime network decided to use more discreet means to establish control of the WTC’s security, namely through an American partner so as to disguise the overt “Mossad” character of the company and its machinations. Kroll Inc., also known as Kroll Associates, is a private security and investigative company that is known as the “CIA of Wall Street.” The company’s name is derived from its founder Jules Kroll, a well-connected Jew from New York. In 1993, after the first WTC false-flag bombing, Kroll Inc. was given the task of “revamping security at the World Trade Center.” (Douglas Frantz, “A Midlife Crisis at Kroll Associates,” New York Times, Sept 01, 1993.) Kroll Inc. continued to run security at the WTC until September 11, 2001. It is this company, as the overseers of security at the towers on 9/11, that is responsible for making an announcement telling WTC-2 employees to go back to their desks after the first plane struck WTC-1, assuring them everything was secure and that they were safe to continue working. (“Life And Death
Decisions – Some Survivors Fled WTC Tower Despite Announcement To Stay Put,” Newsday Magazine, Sept. 13, 2001.) If this malicious announcement had not been broadcast, hundreds if not thousands of lives might have been saved.

Jules Kroll and his wife Lynn are fanatically passionate and committed Zionists. They perfectly fit the description of sayanim. Together they run the “Foundation for Jewish Culture,” a fund for Jewish documentary films which “explore the Jewish experience in all its complexity.” Lynn Kroll has quite the résumé of Zionist activism and Jewish advancement. She is the Vice Chairman of the United Jewish Appeal (UJA) Federation of New York, which connects the Kroll’s to fellow 9/11 conspirator Larry Silverstein who is likewise a national chairman of the UJA, the biggest American fund-raising group for Israel. Lynn is a prominent member of a litany of other Jewish-Zionist outfits like the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee (JDC), the Jewish Agency for Israel, the Jewish Communal Fund, the United Jewish Communities Inc. and the American Jewish Committee. In addition she was appointed by her co-religionist, the Mayor of New York City Michael Bloomberg, as Chair of the Sister Cities Relationship between New York and Jerusalem. Jules Kroll is also directly tied to Silverstein as they are both board members of the New York Citizen’s Budget Commission (CBC), according to Christopher Bollyn.

It is exceedingly important to note that Jules Kroll became business partners with former A.I.G. CEO and mega financial criminal Maurice Greenberg — a Zionist Jew — in 1993, the same year Kroll Inc. took over “security” at the World Trade Center. That was the year that Greenberg’s A.I.G. bought 23 percent of Kroll Inc. and later fashioned it into a wholly owned subsidiary of A.I.G. and Marsh & McLennan Companies (MMC), which was headed by his son Jeffrey Greenberg. According to the 9/11 Encyclopedia, Maurice Greenberg “was deeply involved in Chinese trade in the 80s, where Henry Kissinger was one of his representatives. In the China trade, Greenberg became very close to Shaul Eisenberg, the leader of the Asian section of the Israeli intelligence service Mossad, and agent for the sales of sophisticated military equipment to the Chinese military.” It also says he was once the deputy chairman of the Council on Foreign Relations, a predominately Jewish, globalist “think tank” that has wreaked incredible havoc in the world. Maurice’s son Jeffrey became the CEO of the insurance brokerage firm Marsh & McLennan Companies (MMC) in 1999 and chairmain in 2000. Chris Bollyn has astutely noted that the first plane of 9/11 flew directly into the secure computer room of Marsh/Kroll in the WTC North Tower, or perhaps was “precision guided” into the building.

The importance of Kroll Inc. to the 9/11 plot is substantial. This company was 100 percent kosher certified. The CEO of Kroll Inc. at the time of 9/11 was another Jewish criminal personality named Michael Cherkasky, who would later go on to become the CEO of Marsh & McLennan Companies (MMC). Cherkasky’s father Martin headed the Medical Advisory Committee of the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee. (“Paid Notice: Deaths CHERKASKY, MARTIN, MD.,” New York Times, Sept. 09, 1997.) The managing director of the company at the time of 9/11 was none other than Jerome M. Hauer, whom we first met earlier in this book. Hauer is one of the key plotters behind 9/11 and he is the unmistakable product of Jewish Zionism. He was born in New York to a family of Yiddish-speaking Zionist Jews. His late mother, Rose Muscatine Hauer, once served as the Dean of the Beth Israel School
of Nursing and was also the Honorary President of the New York Chapter of Hadassah, the “Daughters of Zion,” a women’s Zionist group with close ties to Israel. In 1996 NYC mayor Rudolph Giuliani, a dedicated Jewish lackey, appointed Hauer as the first director of the Office of Emergency Management (OEM) which was created to coordinate the city’s response, or lack thereof, to crises. Hauer strangely insisted that the OEM command bunker be built into Larry Silverstein’s WTC-7. (Chris Bollyn, “The Key Players Of 911 – Who Is Jerome Hauer?,” Rense, Jan. 26, 2008.) Hauer is a government insider and is touted by the mass media as an expert on “terrorism” and biological disasters. As noted earlier, the Jewish media used him to float out the official myth of 9/11 to the public before there was any investigating done.

Hauer was also a close collaborator with Larry Silverstein. He managed to persuade former FBI terrorism investigator John O’Neill to take a job as head of security at the WTC. Tragically, O’Neill was killed on his first day of work — September 11, 2001. At the height of his successful and distinguished career at the FBI, O’Neill abruptly quit the organization after being severely hindered by higher-ups in the agency who were constantly thwarting his investigations into Osama bin Laden and “Al-Qaeda”. They did not want him to figure out that he had been sent on a wild goose chase searching for phantom enemies that don’t even exist. Had O’Neill ascertained the truth that “Al-Qaeda” is nothing more than a boogeyman that has been used as a scapegoat to take the fall for Israeli black operations, he would have been outraged and most certainly would have revealed this farce to the public.

What really made O’Neill into a full-fledged target of the Jewish crime network was his investigation into the bombing of the USS Cole in 2000. The U.S. ambassador to Yemen, a raving Zionist Jewess named Barbara Bodine, and the U.S. Secretary of State at the time, another raging Zionist Jewess named Madeline Albright, completely derailed O’Neill’s inquiry into the USS Cole bombing by having him and his investigative team thrown out of Yemen and permanently barred from the country — a sure sign that Israel bombed the Cole and was desperate to prevent a real investigation that would have revealed Israel’s guilt. (Lloyd T. Vance, “The Truth About the USS Cole Bombing,” SouthEast Asia News, April 30, 2011.) In an article titled “Clearing the Baffles for 9/11,” journalist Wayne Madsen quotes a former CIA agent who theorizes that Israel, not “Al-Qaeda,” attacked the USS Cole:

“The former CIA agent who worked with the FBI’s Joint Terrorism Task Force in New York and New Jersey stated that the USS Cole was hit by a specially-configured Popeye cruise missile launched from an Israeli Dolphin-class submarine. Israeli tests of the missile in May 2000 in the waters off Sri Lanka demonstrated it could hit a target 930 miles away. The ex-CIA agent also stated that Ambassador Bodine threw John O’Neill and his team out of Yemen lest their investigation began uncovering evidence that the Cole was not blown up by an explosive-laden boat but by an Israeli cruise missile. The former CIA agent said the reason for the Israeli attack was to further galvanize U.S. public opinion against both Al Qaeda and the Democrats in the weeks prior to the 2000 presidential elections. The Bush-Cheney team could blame the Democrats for not taking the Al Qaeda threat seriously.”

Another Israeli motive for attacking the USS Cole was to divert world attention and sympathy from the second Palestinian intifada — the uprising which was triggered by the
provocation at the Temple Mount by the Likud leader Ariel Sharon, wherein thousands of Palestinians were killed and wounded in a brutal Israeli military siege against the occupied territories. Whenever Israel initiates a merciless slaughter of Palestinians in Gaza and the West Bank, a “terrorist” attack occurs to conveniently draw public attention away from Israel’s crimes. False-flag terrorism comes in handy for the Israeli public relations effort to win the hearts and minds of Westerners to the Zionist cause.

Another key character whose hands are covered in blood is Jeremy Kroll, the son of Jules Kroll. Jeremy Kroll was the Managing Director at Marsh/Kroll and has served as General Manager at Kroll’s Information Security Group (computer security). Chris Bollyn outlined Jeremy’s intimate connections to a plethora of Israeli military intelligence figures through a front company that the Mossad created to accomplish missions in America. Bollyn, again in his chapter “The Architecture of Terror: Mapping the Network Behind 9/11,” had this to say about Jeremy Kroll:

“It is interesting to note that Jeremy M. Kroll, the Managing Director of Marsh Kroll, is also on the “advisory board” of Elad Yoran’s Security Growth Partners. This is a crucial connection in the Zionist network behind 9/11; this is where we hit the mainline. Jeremy Kroll, the son of Jules B. Kroll, has been an executive at Marsh Kroll, a division of Marsh & McLennan Co. (MMC), since 1996. Jeremy Kroll’s connection with Elad and Amit Yoran through SGP reveals a key link between the American Zionist network and Israeli military intelligence.

… Jeremy Kroll serves on the board of the Israel-based Challenge Fund with Israeli government officials from the highest level, including Israel’s National Security Advisor and Head of the National Security Council, a former head of Shin Bet, former Directors General of the Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Defense, former Commanders of the Israel Air Force, Central Command, and the Technology and Logistic Branch of the IDF, as well as the head of Boeing (Israel) and the son of Yitzhak Shamir. The Challenge Fund uses money raised through the Bronfman and Andreas (ADM) families to fund Israeli companies, like ViryaNet.

… The Challenge Fund is an Israeli intelligence funding operation, based in Israel and also known as Etgar, which means “challenge” in Hebrew. This limited partnership involves the biggest players in the Zionist funding network in North America with the most senior officers in Israeli military intelligence. This is one of the key funding entities for Israeli intelligence operations in the United States.

… These are the kind of high-level Israeli intelligence agents that work with Jeremy Kroll on the “advisory board” of the Challenge Fund. Kroll brings a degree in fine arts (French and Italian) from Georgetown and his executive position with Kroll to the table. Who do you think makes the strategic decisions in this group? It was, I submit, through these connections with Kroll and Greenberg that agents of Israeli military intelligence gained control of security of the World Trade Center and were able to carry out 9/11.”
A key suspect in the preparation for Israel’s 9/11 spectacle was Michael Goff. This Jewish-Zionist lawyer from Worcester, Massachusetts, abruptly left his promising career as a lawyer to join a shady start-up software company called Ptech, a disguised Israeli Intelligence operation with Arab front men. As the original marketing manager of Ptech, Goff was responsible for procuring the company’s software. Most national security computerized systems that would have responded to the 9/11 hijackings by scrambling jets were running on Ptech software, which explains the complete lack of air defenses on 9/11. A list of Ptech clients includes the FAA, N.A.T.O, United States Armed Forces, Congress, Dept. Of Energy, Dept. of Justice, FBI, Customs, the IRS, the Secret Service, and even the White House. (Chris Bollyn, “How Mossad Deceived the U.S. Military on 9/11,” Rumor Mill News, Apr. 2, 2005.) Interestingly, Michael Goff’s father and grandfather were both members of the secret Zionist society of the B’nai B’rith (“Sons of the Covenant”), an exclusively Jewish order of Freemasons founded in New York City in 1843. (Ibid.)

Goff also worked for the Israeli database company Guardium. This company was funded by Cedar Fund, Veritas Venture Partners, and StageOne, all Mossad funding outfits. The founder and director of Guardium was Amit Yoran, the Israeli who went to West Point and became the manager of computer network security for the Pentagon. He was appointed by George W. Bush as the administration’s Cyber Chief, responsible for coordinating the nation’s activities in cyber security. In his book Solving 9/11 Chris Bollyn revealed that as Goff was working for Ptech, Amit Yoran was the “Network Security Manager at the Department of Defense designing computer security for the Pentagon.” Bollyn opined that Yoran was “ideally situated to arrange the installment of Ptech software onto the critical computer systems of the U.S. military.” Bollyn also observed that, “The Israeli nexus between Michael Goff, Ptech, Guardium, and Amit Yoran provides a logical explanation for how Israeli Ptech enterprise “spy” software wound up on the critical computer networks of the U.S. government and military.” This means that Israel not only had complete control of America’s telephonic infrastructure through Amdocs and Converse Infosys, they also had unprecedented inside access to all of America’s sensitive government and military computer systems through Goff’s Ptech and the strategically placed Israeli asset Amit Yoran.

Another interesting piece of information is the fact that an Israeli shipping company called Zim-American Israeli Shipping Co., which is nearly half-owned by the Israeli government itself, broke its lease and vacated its rental space on the 16th floor of the WTC one week before 9/11, forfeiting a $50,000 security deposit for doing so. (“September 4, 2001: Israeli Company Moves Out of WTC,” History Commons) Wayne Madsen reported that Michael Dick, an FBI colleague of John O’Neill, had been investigating the Israeli spy ring in New Jersey and that he was “very suspicious when Israeli movers quickly moved Zim American Israeli Shipping Company out of its 10,000 square feet of office space on the 17th Floor of the North Tower of the World Trade Center.” (“Clearing the Baffles For 9/11,” Wayne Madsen Report) Before Agent Dick could determine exactly what the Israeli spies were up to he was, according to Madsen, “removed from his duties on the orders of the then-head of the Justice Department’s Criminal Division Michael Chertoff.” (Ibid.) Madsen further reported:

“According to a non official cover (NOC) CIA source who worked with Dick, Israeli movers moved explosives into the 17th Floor office space after Zim moved out. After
911, Dick as well as the CIA NOC were harassed by their superiors on orders “from above.” Those orders came from Chertoff.” (Ibid.)

This explosive information dovetails nicely with the revelations of Susan Lindauer, an ex-CIA asset who became an outspoken whistle-blower on government corruption and the 9/11 cover-up. Lindauer, in an interview with Kevin Barrett, revealed that,

“From April and May of 2001 onwards, [CIA agent] Richard Fuisz instructed me to threaten the Iraqis with war. Now everybody presumes that the war stuff came after 9/11, but it didn’t. They had decided months before 9/11 ever happened, that as soon as this attack occurred this would be the motivation for the war. So they absolutely knew that this attack was going to be in late August or September and that opens up a whole new dynamic proving what you [Kevin Barrett] have just said — that it was a Mossad conspiracy.” (“911 Whistleblower Susan Lindauer Ex-CIA DIA – Kevin Barrett Show,” YouTube)

In the same interview she further goes on to make this startling revelation:

“While I was writing my book I met a high-ranking State Department official who has a very very very high, top top top security classification, and I cannot name him for you because I don’t want to hurt his reputation… he’s close to retirement, he’s gonna have a pension, you know they would crush him if he was ever exposed, I suspect he thinks it too… But he says that a couple of weeks before 9/11, at the end of August, for about two weeks, strange vans were arriving at the World Trade Center at three o’clock in the morning. He swore to me that they were staying from 3AM to about 4:30-5AM. They were coming in for a brief period and he swore to me that he personally had investigated the janitorial services and he said I know first-hand how many employees the janitorial service had, what their trucks looked like, what their revenues are like, where they live, he said I could tell you their addresses, we are confident that none of these people in the janitorial services were tied to these trucks. He said it had never happened before, it was a unique thing… this was a strange anomaly right before the World Trade Center attack. And he was convinced that this was government-level Thermite, this was government level weapons that had been put into either the stairwells or the elevator shafts [of the WTC]. And he was convinced that this was when it happened.” (Ibid.)

Mysterious white vans. Explosives. Thermite. World Trade Center. Mossad conspiracy. Bingo! The pieces of this Zionist puzzle fall right into place. Although it cannot be absolutely proven, it is very probable that the white vans doing suspicious work in and around the WTC just prior
to the attacks were based out of the Mossad’s Urban Moving Systems headquarters in New Jersey. Many kosher researchers have desperately tried to deflect attention away from Israel by suggesting that some American demolition company and/or CIA operatives rigged the towers with explosives. There is simply no evidence to suggest that Americans had anything to do with this crucial aspect of the plot. It would be extremely difficult for the masterminds of 9/11 to somehow coerce American employees of the CIA or a demolition company run by Americans to plant bombs in the WTC and knowingly murder thousands of their fellow citizens. Israelis, on the other hand, have no such reservations, and have proven time and again that they are willing and able to kill Americans — both civilians and military personnel — if it benefits them and their political objectives. As I mentioned earlier, hundreds of Israelis, some of whom belonged to explosive ordinance units in the Israeli military, were operating all over the country in the year leading up to the attacks. These Mossad terrorists established a stronghold in New Jersey, in the immediate vicinity of the WTC, fronting as “movers.” Based on these amazing facts, it can be said with a great degree of certitude that Israeli military demolition experts are the ones responsible for rigging and detonating the WTC Twin Towers as well as WTC-7 on 9/11. Who, other than the Israelis, had the capability and the motive to do this?

To build on this point, I would like to draw the readers’ attention to Ehud Barak once again. This Zionist terror kingpin is directly linked to the explosive nano-thermite compound that was discovered in the dust of the WTC by Danish engineer Neils Harrit and Professor Steven Jones of Brigham Young University. ("Active Thermitic Material Discovered in Dust from the 9/11 World Trade Center Catastrophe,” The Open Chemical Physics Journal, Volume 2) Barak was the Prime Minister of Israel from July 1999 until March 7, 2001, when he was replaced by Ariel Sharon. When he relinquished his position as Prime Minister, Barak promptly went to America where he became a special adviser for SCP Partners, a Mossad-run venture capital firm. In this capacity, Barak was in a position to personally oversee the 9/11 attacks, ensuring everything went as planned. Barak’s SCP partners had two companies in their portfolio capable of producing the accelerant used in the demolition of the Twin Towers and WTC-7 — Metallurg Holdings and Advanced Metaurgical Group, N.V. (AMG). AMG owned a number of subsidiaries which manufactured coatings of nano-composites and one that specialized in atomized aluminum which is a core component of nano-thermite. Metallurg Holdings and AMG operate under the umbrella of a management subsidiary of SCP Partners Private Equity LLC called Safeguard International. All of these shadowy entities operated out of the exact same address at 435 Devon Park Drive, Wayne, Pennsylvania. (Chris Bollyn, “How Ehud Barak Pulled Off 9/11,” Bollyn Website, May 5, 2010.) This super-thermite was most likely applied to the towers as a spray. Who applied this explosive substance to the WTC towers? That would be LVI Services, Inc., a demolition company owned and operated by a Zionist Jew named Burton Fried. Chris Bollyn hypothesizes that under the cover of “asbestos abatement,” LVI Services, Inc., lined the WTC towers with super-thermite, the highly-powerful accelerant that helped bring the buildings down in addition to explosive charges. (Bollyn, Solving 9/11, Ch. 14, “Game Over: Evidence of Super-Thermite in the Rubble”)

This analysis of the Jewish-Israeli-Mossad security nexus which orchestrated and coordinated 9/11 would not be complete without mentioning International Consultants on Targeted Security, otherwise known as ICTS International N.V. This company claims to provide “advanced, comprehensive security solutions for the aviation and homeland security
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sectors.” The company was founded in 1987 by former security officials of Israel’s El Al airlines and bought in 1994 by Leedan, a Dutch investment holding company owned by two Jewish-Israeli brothers, Ezra Harel and Boaz Harel. The former commander in chief of the Israeli air force, Amos Lapidot, is still a member of the board. Although it is based out of the Netherlands, a 65 percent controlling stake in ICTS is held by the Israeli Ezra Harel. (“Ezra Harel dies of heart attack,” Haaretz, Nov. 26, 2003) The Israeli businessman Menachem J. Atzmon is also a controlling shareholder in ICTS and was appointed as its Chairman of the Board in 2004, after having served as a Member of the Board for several years. Interestingly, Atzmon is connected to the highest levels of the Likud Party in Israel, having served as the co-treasurer of the party in the 1980s. Atzmon and the criminal Zionist politician Ehud Olmert got themselves into hot water for procuring illegal foreign contributions for the Likud Party in 1988. (Chris Bollyn, “The Bloody Reign of Ehud Olmert and His Ties to 9/11,” Rumor Mill News, June 18, 2006.)

Anton Chaitkin, in a lengthy article exposing how wealthy Zionist Jews launder money to Israel to help fund the Likud Party, unveiled this valuable nugget of information about Mr. Atzmon:

“The Israeli plutocrat Menachem Atzmon was co-treasurer of the Likud, along with Jerusalem Mayor Ehud Olmert, during and after the 1988 Israeli elections. In August 1988, Atzmon worked with Olmert and two other men to collect illegal contributions for the Likud from corporations, against Israel’s party funding law, by providing fictitious advertising services to the contributors. Atzmon was later convicted in that campaign finance fraud, while Olmert was acquitted. Up until his 1996 conviction, Atzmon was president of the Israel Development Fund (IDF), a U.S. tax-exempt foundation funneling money illegally to the Likud. IDF is one entity in a cluster of such false-front Likud money spigots, all run by Philadelphia attorney Steven L. Friedman, a partner in the Dilworth, Paxson firm.” (“A Bigger Scandal: Illegal U.S. Funding of Sharon’s Likud,” Executive Intelligence Review, Jan. 24, 2003.)

ICTS, through its wholly owned subsidiary Huntleigh USA, had contracts to provide security and passenger screening at both Boston’s Logan airport, where Flight 175 and Flight 11 (the two planes which allegedly struck the Twin Towers on 9/11) departed from, as well as Newark airport where Flight 93 (which supposedly crashed in a field in Pennsylvania on 9/11) is claimed to have originated from. Thus Atzmon, a convicted Likud criminal, was directly responsible for passenger screening and airline security at two of the three airports where “hijackings” are said to have occurred on 9/11. (“All 9/11 Airports Serviced by One Israeli Owned Company,” What Really Happened) ICTS is, for all intents and purposes, a Mossad front operation staffed with Israeli Intelligence agents. Perhaps this explains why they have yet to produce any legitimate CCTV footage of the alleged Muslim 9/11 attackers boarding any of the planes that they are claimed to have hijacked? (Stephen St. John, “911 Dutch Treat?” Rense, Nov. 15, 2005.) ICTS is another chief cog in the overarching Jewish wheel that performed 9/11 from top to bottom; head to toe; A to Z.

Taken together, these salient facts paint a convincingly clear picture that Israel and a broader Jewish criminal network actively planned, orchestrated, coordinated, and executed this demonic plot from beginning to end like a Jewish theater production. The Mossad had an immense network of well-placed sayanim in the United States that were able to arrange and
facilitate this false-flag attack every step of the way. Some have argued that Israel merely had foreknowledge of 9/11 and failed to warn the U.S. government. The facts and information outlined here tell a different story — Israel not only knew of the impending disaster, they made it happen. The criminal intrigues of Zionism are more nefarious than most people are capable of understanding.
CHAPTER SEVEN

The Myth of Osama bin Laden & the Nineteen Arab Oswalds

What evidence exists that nineteen Islamic fanatics directed by a man in a cave thousands of miles away were involved in 9/11? None. Nada. Zero. Not a shred. When pressed on the issue, the FBI quietly confessed that they have no evidence linking Osama bin Laden with 9/11. (Ed Haas, “The FBI admits: No Hard Evidence Connecting Bin Laden to 9/11,” Muckraker Report, July 25, 2009.) Shortly after 9/11, the Taliban offered to hand bin Laden over to the U.S. if the Bush administration would simply provide them with sufficient proof of his guilt. Bush and his cronies scoffed at the offer and continued dropping bombs on Afghanistan. (“Bush rejects Taliban offer to hand Bin Laden over,” The Guardian, Oct. 14 2001.)

If, as I contend, 9/11 was an Israeli plot, then who were these 19 men whose pictures and identities were flashed all over our TV screens within a few days of the attacks? (“FBI list of individuals identified as suspected hijackers,” CNN, Sept. 14, 2001.) Patsies. Dupes. Fall guys for the Mossad. The Mossad’s motto is: “By way of deception thou shalt do war.” It is the Mossad’s specialty to frame Arabs and Muslims for acts of terror that they commit themselves, just as it is generally the Jewish specialty to frame non-Jews for their own misdeeds and to fabricate the extent of non-Jewish persecution of Jews. As we learned earlier in this text, on 9/11 the Mossad tried to trick the NYPD into thinking Palestinians were going to bomb the Holland tunnel when in reality two Israelis attempted to explode a truck bomb on the George Washington Bridge. We also witnessed the Jewish-owned news media promulgate a fraudulent video clip purporting to show Palestinians celebrating the 9/11 attacks in the West Bank, when five Israelis were actually celebrating the attacks in New Jersey, right across the Hudson river! The brazen pattern of criminal Jewish manipulation of this event does not stop there.

The nineteen 9/11 “hijackers” have proved to be phantoms and dupes set up to take the blame for a crime they did not commit. (David Ray Griffin, “Was America Attacked by Muslims on 9/11?”) This is a standard component of a false-flag operation — Lee Harvey Oswald served this function in the JFK assassination conspiracy. How could these 19 men have been working for “Al-Qaeda” when that organization doesn’t even exist? (“BBC now admits al qaeda never existed,” YouTube) The term ‘Al-Qaeda’ has several translations, one of which is ‘the toilet’ and the other is ‘the base’. It is actually just a reference to the database of Arab and Islamic fighters and mercenaries financed, armed, trained and used by the CIA, the Saudis, the Pakistani ISI and the Mossad to wage an insurgency against the Soviets in the Afghan-Soviet war, which lasted nine years from December 1979 to February 1989. (Pierre-Henri Bunel, “Al Qaeda: The Database,” Global Research, May 12, 2011.) Osama bin Laden was the CIA’s/Mossad’s point man in Afghanistan in the 1980s, leading a segment of the Islamic Mujaheddin fighters against the Soviet occupiers. The CIA called this endeavor “operation cyclone,” the second largest covert operation in its history. In his book “The Other Side of Deception,” former Mossad officer Victor Ostrovsky acknowledged that this operation was under the direct supervision of Mossad. Bin Laden’s CIA/Mossad handlers would later turn him into a convenient scapegoat and scarecrow for international terrorism when it suited their purposes.
A large portion of the American government’s case against ‘Al-Qaeda’ rests on a series of amusingly implausible scenarios and highly dubious “discoveries” by U.S. “investigators.” One major claim of the official conspiracy theory that defies all possible logic, and even contradicts basic laws of physics, is the story that several of the alleged Muslim hijackers’ passports, and other flimsy items supposedly belonging to them, miraculously managed to survive the violently explosive plane crashes, conveniently falling into the hands of American government officials and used to “prove” their sham version of events.

According to the New York police commissioner Bernard Kerik, in what can only be described as the most asinine assertion of all time, the passport of Satam Al Suqami (who is claimed to have been one of the hijackers on Flight 11) miraculously survived the crash into the North Tower of the WTC and the subsequent fireball explosion, escaping the cabin of the plane, falling hundreds of feet to the ground, and was then picked up by some random passerby a few blocks away who turned it in to the NYPD. (“New arrest warrants issued in terrorism probe,” CNN, Sept. 17, 2001.) The passport appeared to be in pristine condition — remarkably unburned with no visible damage! It is extremely important to note that on August 26, 2001, NYPD chief Bernard Kerik made a four day trip to Israel to discuss “terrorism” related issues with Israeli security officials. (“Kerik Plans Mission To Israel,” NY Daily News, Aug 26, 2001.) In 2007, Kerik was indicted on 16 counts including fraud and conspiracy as well as taking and failing to report a $250,000 loan from billionaire Israeli tycoon Eitan Wertheimer, who spent time with Kerik on his trip to Israel in August of 2001. (“Kerik Loan Activity Is Brought to Light After Indictment,” New York Times, Nov. 24, 2007.) A Jewish marble and stone merchant from Brooklyn named Shimon Cohen was the intermediary for the loan transaction. Interestingly, the Wertheimer family’s vast holdings include companies that have contracts with the United States Department of Defense. (Ibid.) It is more than likely that this Jewish loan shark, Eitan Wertheimer, was Kerik’s handler and his trip to Israel shortly before 9/11 was where he received his instructions.

The official narrative gets even more ridiculous. According to the official story, Flight 93 is said to have crashed in a field in Shanksville, Pennsylvania, after the passengers stormed the ‘Al-Qaeda’ hijackers in the cockpit, bringing the plane down in an act of heroism. Many researchers have pointed out the complete lack of any recognizable debris in and around the cartoon cut-out impact crater that was present in that field in Shanksville, which is said to have been caused by the plane crash. The official propagandists have attempted to explain away this discrepancy like this:
“United Airlines Flight 93 slammed into the earth Sept. 11 near Shanksville, Somerset County, at more than 500 mph, with a ferocity that disintegrated metal, bone and flesh. It took more than three months to identify the remains of the 40 passengers and crew, and, by process of elimination, the four hijackers.” (Pittsburgh Post Gazette, Dec. 30, 2001.)

Other defenders of the official story have postulated that Flight 93 crashed so horribly that the entirety of it was buried dozens of feet underground, leaving absolutely no visible debris on the surface. These explanations are simply not believable and are quite preposterous. Every other major plane crash site in history left a highly visible trail of wreckage. The Flight 93 debris field covered between five and eight square miles, which is more consistent with a mid-air explosion or a shoot-down rather than an ordinary crash. (“Flight 93 Crash Site,” 911 Research WTC-7 Website) Moreover, satellite images of the same field where Flight 93 is alleged to have crashed taken by the U.S.G.S. (United States Geological Survey) in 1994 undeniably proves that the crater which authorities claim was caused by the impact of Flight 93 was already present in that field for years before 9/11 happened — although it appears to have been slightly modified for 9/11. (Chris Bollyn, “The Shanksville Deception of 9-11,” Bollyn Website, Feb. 4, 2010.)

What totally undermines these feeble attempts at writing off the lack of plane debris is that the FBI also claims to have recovered from the wreckage of Flight 93 the passport of alleged hijacker Ziad Jarrah, the passport of alleged hijacker Saeed Al Ghamdi, an Islamic “martyrdom note,” as well as a red bandana, which authorities claim the hijackers were wearing. Three of the items were not burned or damaged in any way, and luckily most of the face is visible on the indestructible passport of Ziad Jarrah. (See picture) So we are being asked to believe that three paper documents and a piece of cloth material magically survived the same impact that steel-titanium jet engines could not. These items are an obvious fabrication of the government to prop up the “Arab hijackers” fable.

This fairy tale actually gets better. The official story says that Mohammed Atta was the ringleader of the 9/11 attackers and precision piloted Flight 11 into the North Tower of the WTC. The official story also ludicrously asserts that Atta brought luggage with him on his suicide flight containing these items: a Boeing 757 training video, a flight data recorder, a Quran, a switch blade, pepper spray, and a written letter containing his last will with instructions to the other ‘Muslim hijackers,’ including their names. What’s more incredible is that Atta’s luggage, the official story attests, did not get loaded onto the plane and fell right into the hands of the FBI! (“Koran and plane video found in flight bag,” The Telegraph, Sept. 12, 2001.) Indeed, a former
FBI agent says that Atta’s bag, and the bizarrely incriminating items found within it, literally “made their case” against ‘Al-Qaeda’:

“A former FBI agent and a former federal prosecutor who helped direct the New England investigation of the Sept. 11 attacks told Newsday that one bag found in Boston contained far more than what the commission report cited, including the names of the hijackers, their assignments and their al-Qaida connections.

“It had all these Arab-language papers that amounted to the Rosetta stone of the investigation,” former FBI agent Warren Flagg said. The former federal prosecutor, who declined to be identified publicly, supported Flagg’s account.

“How do you think the government was able to identify all 19 hijackers almost immediately after the attacks?” Flagg asked. ‘They were identified through those papers in the luggage. And that’s how it was known so soon that al-Qaida was behind the hijackings.’” (Michael Dorman, “An untold story of 9/11,” Newsday, April 17, 2006.)

Sounding like a script of a bad Hollywood action film, these nonsensical claims raise a few logical questions. Firstly, why would these ‘Muslim hijackers’ bring passports on domestic flights when they are not needed? Secondly, why would Atta pack luggage for a suicide mission where it is just going to get destroyed in the crash anyway? More importantly, why fill this bag with a plethora of highly incriminating material that would surely get one detained at the security check-in, needlessly jeopardizing the entire mission!? And these people are supposed to have been geniuses who outsmarted the most sophisticated military-defense system in the history of the world!? When you take a close look at the alleged hijackers and the circumstances surrounding their movements and activities in the United States prior to the attacks, this fable begins to fall apart at the seams. There is no shortage of illogical fallacies which shatter the myth of the 19 Arab Oswards. If you are not already convinced that the official story concerning 9/11’s “Muslim hijackers” is a bogus fairy tale, then you will be soon enough.

The official story also contends that the day before 9/11 Mohammed Atta and Abdul Alomari drove to Portland, Maine, stayed overnight, and then caught a connecting flight back to Boston the next morning, allegedly boarding and then hijacking Flight 11 at Boston’s Logan Airport. What would be the point of this unnecessary and needlessly risky trip to Portland? The only known airport security video in which Atta appears to be boarding a flight is from Portland, not Boston. (“Mohamed Atta: Terrorist, Patsy, or Scapegoat?,” What Really Happened) These brilliant ‘terrorists’ obligingly left numerous clues behind to incriminate themselves, such as Arabic language flight training manuals that are said to have been discovered in a rented white Mitsubishi Mirage found at Boston’s Logan Airport on the evening of 9/11. (Naftali Bendavid, “Officials scour U.S. for clues,” The Baltimore Sun, Sept. 13, 2001.) Agents allegedly found a 1989 Pontiac Grand Prix at Logan Airport in Boston as well, which purportedly belonged to Atta. (Ibid.) How can this be if Atta drove to Portland with Alomari the night before 9/11 and took a connecting flight back to Boston in the morning? That would require two cars. Why would Atta leave a car containing incriminating evidence at Boston’s Logan Airport, rent a second car in Boston to drive to Portland, and then fly back to Boston again? The incompetent
authors of this farcical script obviously did not think things through. They were counting on the ignorance of the American public when they conceived this hoax knowing that most people are too lazy and apathetic to think critically about these things or even take the time to analyze these critical details.

The abandoned rental cars containing Arabic flight manuals, Atta’s magical luggage and the indestructible passports of several of these 9/11 patsies, are obvious concoctions of the Mossad and its Zionist helpers in the FBI. All of these illogical oddities, irrational absurdities and fictitious fabrications unveil an incompetent storyline authored by beguiling Zionist scriptwriters. We have also been led to believe that these alleged hijackers were devout Muslims motivated by an extreme interpretation of their religion. However, the activities of these individuals in the year leading up to 9/11 contradict that assertion. The San Francisco Chronicle reported that Atta and other alleged hijackers had made at least six trips to Las Vegas where they had “engaged in some decidedly un-Islamic sampling of prohibited pleasures,” including heavy drinking, cavorting with lap dancers, and bringing call girls to their rooms. (Kevin Fagan, “Agents of terror leave their mark on Sin City,” San Francisco Chronicle, Oct. 4 2001.) The night before 9/11, three men were witnessed in a bar making loud anti-American statements and spoke of impending bloodshed, according to John Kap, the manager of the Pink Pony and Red Eyed Jack’s Sports Bar in Daytona Beach. The unidentified men conveniently left a Holy Quran behind at the bar! (“Manager: Men spewed anti-American sentiments,” USA Today, Sept. 14, 2001.)

The real Mohammed Atta was indeed a very religious man. This was confirmed by Dittmar Machule, Mohammed Atta's thesis advisor in Hamburg, who described him as “a very nice young man: polite, very religious and with a highly developed critical faculty.” (Joel Achenbach, "'You Never Imagine' A Hijacker Next Door," The Washington Post, Sept. 16 2001.) This description of him is vastly at odds with the personality of the "Mohammed Atta" living and going to flight school in Florida, according to the testimony of his former girlfriend Amanda Keller, an American stripper and lingerie model. According to Keller’s testimony, the Florida Atta “loved to party... He was out with Keller nearly every night they were together... He was a heavy drinker, snorted coke, was a stylish dresser and wore expensive jewelry.” ("Mohamed Atta loved pork chops, and 49 other things you may not know.,” Democratic Underground, April 18, 2004.) Keller also said that Atta, or the person claiming to be him, loved porkchops, spoke fluent Hebrew and associated with people connected to the Mafia. Moreover, six nights before the attack, at a bar called Shuckums in Ft Lauderdale, Atta and two companions got "wasted" and then Atta blasphemed, yelling "Fuck God" out loud, according to Tony Amos and bartender Patricia Idrissi. All of this is documented in a book called "Welcome to Terrorland" by Daniel Hopsicker. The outrageous activities of these "devout Muslims" are not consistent with religious fanatics about to perform a martyrdom operation but are consistent with Israeli imposters mixing business with pleasure. Sophisticated terrorists certainly wouldn't leave a mile-wide trail of evidence behind them for the FBI to follow, almost as if they wanted to get caught. On the other hand, Israeli Mossad assets trying to establish a 'legend', a back-story, which could then be played up by the Jewish-owned media to implicate Muslims for 9/11, certainly would have engaged in this type of attention-seeking behavior to fulfill their 'role' impersonating the 19 Arab Oswalds.
Within a few weeks of the attack reports surfaced showing that several of the named 9/11 terrorists were actually alive and well in the Middle East, apparent victims of identity theft! (“Hijack ‘suspects’ alive and well,” BBC News, Sept. 23, 2001 / “At Least 7 of the 9/11 Hijackers are Still Alive,” What Really Happened) Among those found alive were: Abdul Aziz al-Omari, Salem al-Hamzi, Saeed al-Ghamdi, Ahmed al-Nami, Wail al-Shehri, Waleed M. al-Shehri, and Mohand al-Shehri. (“MANY 9-11 “HIJACKERS” ARE STILL ALIVE,” Guardian 150m Website) Abdul Aziz al-Omari told reporters he was an engineer who had no clue how to fly a plane and that “his passport was stolen when his apartment in Denver, Colorado, was burgled in 1995.” (David Harrison, “Revealed: the men with stolen identities,” UK Telegraph, Sept. 23, 2001.) The picture used on the FBI suspects’ list for Abdul Aziz al-Omari was that of another person, also alive, named Abdul Rahman al-Omari, a Saudi Airlines pilot who went to the U.S. embassy in Jeddah demanding to know why he was being declared a dead terrorist. (Robert Fisk, “Suicide hijacker’ is an airline pilot alive and well in Jeddah,” The Independent, Sept. 17, 2001.) In 1999, while living in Germany, Mohammed Atta and two of his alleged accomplices reported their passports had been stolen. (“Investigating Terror People: Mohammad Atta,” BBC News) Ziad Jarrah, who is alleged to have been the lead hijacker on Flight 93, was not religiously or politically inclined, according to his Lebanese family and his German flight school roommate Thorsten Bierrmann. In a stunning revelation, the New York Times reported that Ziad Jarrah’s Lebanese Muslim cousin, Ali al-Jarrah, was a paid spy for Israel, serving the Mossad for more than two decades! Under the cover of a supporter of the Palestinian cause, Ali al-Jarrah was conducting spying operations for Israel against Palestinian groups and the Lebanese resistance movement Hezbollah. (Robert F. Worth, “Lebanese in Shock Over Arrest of an Accused Spy,” New York Times, Feb. 18, 2009.) Commenting on this intriguing discovery, journalist Michael Collins Piper opined:

“The gratuitous Times suggestion that the two cousins “do not appear to have known each other well” is intriguing, inasmuch as it is an admission that they did, in fact, know one another. And that could be very telling, for there are those who are now suggesting that the older cousin may indeed have recruited his younger cousin as an asset for Israeli intelligence.” (“Mossad Link Found to One of Key 9-11 Hijackers,” American Free Press, March 2009.)

In February of 2000, Jarrah lost his passport while waiting for a visa to go to the U.S. Furthermore, it has been established that the photograph which appears on Ziad Jarrah’s passport that was allegedly recovered from the wreckage of Flight 93 is not the real Jarrah. (“Photographic Evidence Showing Ziad Jarrah Was Not a 9/11 Hijacker,” What Really Happened) In an investigative article titled “The Two Jarrahs,” which can be viewed on the ‘From The Wilderness’ website, Paul Thompson conclusively demonstrates that there were two “Ziad Jarrahs”. While many of the details are sketchy, Thompson shows that there was another person named Ziah Jarrah living in the United States prior to the Lebanese Jarrah ever arriving in the country. In 1995, writes Thompson, “a person named Ziad Jarrah rented an apartment in a three-family house on East Third Street in Brooklyn, New York. … Landlords there identified his photograph as being the same as that of the 9/11 hijacker.” The Jarrah accused of participating in 9/11 didn’t arrive in the U.S. until June of 2000. Confirmation of the existence of this second, identical-looking Jarrah came on January 30, 2001, when a man named Ziad Jarrah was questioned for several hours at the Dubai International Airport in the United Arab
Emirates at the behest of the CIA, who suspected he was involved in terrorist activities. During questioning, this Jarrah acknowledged having spent the previous two months in Pakistan and Afghanistan. ("September 11 hijacker questioned in January 2001," CNN, Aug. 1, 2002.) The problem with this story is that the real Ziad Jarrah was somewhere else at the time, a Florida Flight Training facility where he had been studying for the previous six months. Paul Thompson observed that,

“These two examples are just the most glaring clues of many that someone was posing as Ziad Jarrah for years. The story of Jarrah in New York in 1995 is truly amazing, because that would have happened presumably before the 9/11 plot was even conceived, and before Mohamed Atta or most of the other 9/11 terrorists even joined al-Qaeda. Additionally, it was before Jarrah had moved to Germany so he couldn’t possibly have had come into contact with any al-Qaeda operatives yet. Yet, not only is there another Ziad Jarrah, but two looked similar enough for people in Brooklyn to confuse the two.”

A plausible conclusion that can be drawn from this information is that the Ziad Jarrah who was accused of hijacking United Airlines Flight 93 was framed by a Doppelgänger with a similar appearance. Mossad is known for such elaborate schemes. Ziad Jarrah was not the only one that was the victim of a frame-up. Initially a sloppy attempt was made to frame two Arab brothers, Adnan and Ameer Bukhari. Their names were tied to a silver-blue Nissan Ultima found in Portland, Maine. Investigators initially listed the brothers as hijackers who boarded Flight 11. Authorities even said that Adnan’s name appeared on the passenger manifest of Flight 11. ("Saudi flight engineer no longer part of investigation," Associated Press, Sept. 14, 2001.) The information found in the rental car led authorities to a house in Vero Beach, Florida, that had allegedly been rented by these two brothers. Inside it were two pilot’s certificates in the names of Adnan Bukhari and his brother, Ameer Abbas Bukhari. ("Evidence trails lead to Florida," BBC News, Sept. 13, 2001.) This ridiculous sham completely fell apart when it surfaced that Adnan Bukhari was still alive and his brother Ameer had died in a small plane crash a year earlier! ("Feds think they’ve identified some hijackers,” CNN, Sept. 13, 2001.) In 2002, British intelligence reported that the so-called Al-Qaeda cells blamed by the U.S. government’s 9/11 Commission for carrying out the aerial attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon. WMR has received details of the British intelligence report which was suppressed by the government of then-Prime Minister Tony Blair.

“British intelligence reported in February 2002 that the Israeli Mossad ran the Arab hijacker cells that were later blamed by the U.S. government’s 9/11 Commission for carrying out the aerial attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon. WMR has received details of the British intelligence report which was suppressed by the government of then-Prime Minister Tony Blair.

A Mossad unit consisting of six Egyptian and Yemeni-born Jews infiltrated “Al Qaeda” cells in Hamburg (the Atta-Mamoun Darkanzali cell), south Florida, and Sharjah in the United Arab Emirates in the months before 9/11. The Mossad not only infiltrated cells but began to run them and give them specific orders that would eventually culminate in their being on board four regularly-scheduled flights originating in Boston, Washington Dulles, and Newark, New Jersey on 9/11.
The Mossad infiltration team comprised six Israelis, comprising two cells of three agents, who all received special training at a Mossad base in the Negev Desert in their future control and handling of the “Al Qaeda” cells. One Mossad cell traveled to Amsterdam where they submitted to the operational control of the Mossad’s Europe Station, which operates from the El Al complex at Schiphol International Airport. The three-man Mossad unit then traveled to Hamburg where it made contact with Mohammed Atta, who believed they were sent by Osama Bin Laden. In fact, they were sent by Ephraim Halevy, the chief of Mossad.

The two Mossad teams sent regular coded reports on the progress of the 9/11 operation to Tel Aviv via the Israeli embassy in Washington, DC. WMR has learned from a Pentagon source that leading Americans tied to the media effort to pin 9/11 on Arab hijackers, Osama Bin Laden, and the Taliban were present in the Israeli embassy on September 10, 2001, to coordinate their media blitz for the subsequent days and weeks following the attacks. It is more than likely that FBI counter-intelligence agents who conduct surveillance of the Israeli embassy have proof on the presence of the Americans present at the embassy on September 10. Some of the Americans are well-known to U.S. cable news television audiences.

In mid-August, the Mossad team running the Hamburg cell in Boston reported to Tel Aviv that the final plans for 9/11 were set. The Florida-based Mossad cell reported that the documented “presence” of the Arab cell members at Florida flight schools had been established. [...] WMR previously reported that the Mossad cell operating in the Jersey City-Weehawken area of New Jersey through Urban Moving Systems was suspected by some in the FBI and CIA of being involved in moving explosives into the World Trade Center as well as staging “false flag” demonstrations at least two locations in north Jersey: Liberty State Park and an apartment complex in Jersey City as the first plane hit the World Trade Center’s North Tower. One team of Urban Moving Systems Mossad agents was arrested later on September 11 and jailed for five months at the Metropolitan Detention Center in Brooklyn. Some of their names turned up in a joint CIA-FBI database as known Mossad agents, along with the owner of Urban Moving Systems, Dominik Suter, whose name also appeared on a “Law Enforcement Sensitive” FBI 9/11 suspects list, along with the names of key “hijackers,” including Mohammed Atta and Hani Hanjour, as well as the so-called “20th hijacker,” Zacarias Moussaoui.” (“British Intelligence Reports Mossad Ran 9/11 “Arab” Hijacker Terrorist Operation,” Wayne Madsen Report, Jan. 27, 2011.)

Madsen’s report dovetails nicely with two other important facts. The hundreds of detained Israeli spies fronting as “art students” had been following and living “within hundreds of yards” of the Arab patsies who were later blamed for 9/11. Keith Phucas, writing for The Times Herald, reported:

“A memorandum sent to the 9/11 Commission, and Senate and House intelligence committees in September 2004, suggests that young Israelis who canvassed dozens of U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) offices in 2000 and 2001 trying to sell
paintings to federal workers, may have been spying not only on the DEA, but also on Arab extremists in the United States – including the Sept. 11 hijackers who were living in Florida and New Jersey. The Israeli “art student” story, which first surfaced in 2001 in news reports, has yet to be explained by U.S. authorities. Curiously, the 9/11 Commission did not venture to connect the myriad of dots to solve the mystery. [...] 

In 2001, a Fox News report by Carl Cameron laid out the Israeli spy scenario, however, the story was short-lived, and Shea was told by a representative at the news organization that there was outside pressure to kill the story. Several publications, including The Forward, Insight and the French newspaper, Le Monde, picked up the story in 2002. All indicated there was extreme reluctance by U.S. officials – and practically anyone else – to discuss the matter publicly. […]

One of the memorandum’s most fascinating revelations puts the Israelis and would-be 9/11 hijackers in close proximity geographically in the months prior to the terrorist attacks in Florida, Oklahoma and New Jersey. As the DEA was compiling its report in June 2001, 15 or the 19 plotters of the Sept. 11 attacks were living in the Hollywood, Fla., area, according to Shea’s research, and more than 30 of the young Israelis also lived in the same area during this time period.

According to the memorandum, some of the Israelis and hijackers in Florida lived “within hundreds of yards” of each other. Besides Hollywood, the Israelis and hijacker lived within about five miles of one another in other southern Florida towns, including Coral Springs, Plantation, Fort Lauderdale, Miami and Coral Gables.” (“Sept. 11 riddles remain,” The Times Herald, Aug. 08, 2005.)

These two reports paint a clear picture of Israeli malfeasance. The Israelis, impersonating Muslim radicals, were creating a legend that was utilized by their kinsmen in the media and their stooges in the American government to propagate the ‘Al-Qaeda’ narrative. The second meaningful connection to all of this that must be noted is the foul intrigues of the corrupt Washington lobbyist Jack Abramoff. Abramoff is an Orthodox Jew and an avid reader of the Talmud. He has been described as “a fierce supporter of Israel — “a super-Zionist,” one associate says.” (Michael Isikoff, “Fund-Raising: Take It to the (West) Bank,” Newsweek, May 2, 2006.) In his career as a professional lobbyist, Abramoff was neck-deep in, as writer Justin Raimondo opined, “payoffs, phony nonprofit foundations, and other criminal activities up to and including murder.” (“Abramoff and the Israeli Connection,” Anti War, Jan. 12, 2006.) Using a phony charity called the Capital Athletic Fund, Abramoff funneled fraudulently obtained funds from Indian tribes to subsidize the murderous activities of fanatical Jewish settlers in the West Bank, such as a paramilitary outfit based in the ultra-Orthodox settlement of Beitar Illit. (Ibid.) Michael Isikoff, reporting on Abramoff’s illegal fundraising activities on behalf of Jewish colonizers in occupied Palestine, noted:

“More than $140,000 of foundation funds were actually sent to the Israeli West Bank where they were used by a Jewish settler to mobilize against the Palestinian uprising. Among the expenditures: purchases of camouflage suits, sniper scopes, night-vision binoculars, a thermal imager, and other material described in
foundation records as ‘security’ equipment.” (“Fund-Raising: Take It to the (West) Bank,” Newsweek, May 2, 2006.)

Abramoff, who once referred to Palestinians as “dirty rats,” had extensive ties to the Jewish-Zionist world. The Jewish Telegraphic Agency admitted:

“While Abramoff has been portrayed as a man willing to do nearly anything to further his political goals and wallet, he also has been an idiosyncratic player in the Jewish community of the nation’s capital, starting several short-lived, money-losing ventures to fill religious gaps he saw in the city’s Jewish world. He also used his largess to further Israeli businesses and charities that appealed to his conservative worldview. Specifically, Abramoff is accused of using money from a Washington charity he oversaw to fund military-type programs in the West Bank. Indian tribes donated money to tax-exempt charities, believing they were supporting anti-gambling foundations, but the money was redirected to help a “sniper school” in the West Bank, operated by a friend of Abramoff. According to congressional documents, Abramoff sought night-vision goggles and a vehicle for the sniper-training facility.” (“Abramoff’s Jewish ties in spotlight,” Jewish Telegraphic Agency, Jan. 09, 2006.)

In 2006, Abramoff and his criminal cohort Adam Kidan were convicted of multiple counts of fraud, corruption, conspiracy, and tax evasion. Both of them were sentenced to 70 months in Federal prison, but Abramoff’s lawyers lobbied authorities to “place their client in a low-security prison with special facilities for observant Jews.” (Philip Shenon, “Abramoff Sentenced to Nearly 6 Years in Prison in Fraud Case,” New York Times, Mar. 29, 2006.) Abramoff only served 43 months of his sentence before being released on December 3, 2010. Abramoff had a certain suspicious connection to the 9/11 patsies discussed at length in this section. SunCruz Casinos was a company fraudulently acquired by Abramoff and Kidan from “Gus” Boulis, who was later gunned down Mafia-style in his car on February 6, 2001. (“More Guilty Pleas From Abramoff,” CBS News, May 3, 2010.) Several of the Arab patsies who were blamed for 9/11 reportedly boarded one of Abramoff’s SunCruz gambling boats in a still-unexplained visit on September 5th of 2001. (“SunCruz Casinos turns over documents in terrorist probe,” Associated Press, Sept. 26, 2001 / Daniel Hopsicker, “The Secret World of Jack Abramoff: Terrorists, Torpedos and Republican ‘Muscle’,” Mad Cow Morning News, June 21, 2005.) What possible thrill could gambling offer men getting ready to die in less than a week? And why would “fanatical Muslims” whose religion harshly condemns gambling as immoral be doing on a casino boat owned by a Zionist Jew? The Take Our World Back website, in its extensive report entitled “9/11 For Reality Deniers,” posited the following plausible scenario regarding this incident:

“Some of the alleged “9/11 hijackers” were reportedly seen on a SunCruz gambling boat shortly before 9/11. Any Arab patsies whom the 9/11 perpetrators needed to eliminate could have been lured into an ambush with the promise of “something special” such as a poker game where the patsies would be told they could “make a killing”.”
We have seen that most of these Arab patsies had reported that their passports had been lost or stolen in the years preceding the attack on 9/11. Stealing and forging passports to use on covert missions is a classic Mossad technique. Spy expert Gordon Thomas revealed that the Mossad “have a unit, a special team, who are trained in lifting passports.” (“Gordon Thomas, Mossad expert, on Dubaigate,” Euro News, Mar. 15, 2010.) In his book "By Way of Deception," Victor Ostrovsky revealed that the Mossad has a factory in the illegal settlement of Petah Tikva dedicated specifically to producing fake passports for its spies and operatives. Back in 1997, Israel was forced to apologize to Canada after “two Mossad agents, using fake Canadian passports, failed in a bid to assassinate the Hamas leader Khalid Meshal in Amman, the Jordanian capital.” (Fred Lingel, “Israeli Agents Arrested in Plot To Forge Passports,” American Free Press, July 23, 2004.) In 2004, two reckless Mossad agents named Uriel Kelman and Eli Cara were convicted and jailed for six months for fraudulently attempting to obtain a New Zealand passport in the name of a tetraplegic man in order to create a false identity for another Mossad agent named Zev Barkan. (“‘Mossad spies’ jailed over New Zealand passport fraud,” The Guardian, July 16, 2004.) In 2010, a cadre of bloodthirsty Mossad assassins used dozens of false EU passports to travel to Dubai in order to kill Hamas commander Mahmoud al-Mabhouh. (“Arrest head of Mossad, Dubai police chief says,” The Telegraph, Feb. 18, 2010.) It should now be rather obvious to the reader what is really going on here.

All of these facts, occurrences and circumstances are consistent with, and highly indicative of, a typical Mossad false-flag provocation. That was the conclusion of Mohammed Atta’s own father, Muhammad Al Amir Atta, a semi-retired lawyer who lives in Cairo, Egypt. In a press conference shortly after the attacks, Atta’s father said that he spoke with his son on September 12th, the day after 9/11, and had a “normal conversation” with him. When asked about his son’s alleged involvement in the 9/11 attacks, the elder Atta frankly stated: “Mossad and Israel are who benefits the most from this… They want to try to hang this on the Arab world. When America learns that Mossad did it, they won’t be able to say anything.” (Ashraf Khalil, “Muhammad Atta Sr is in the center of the media storm,” Cairo Times, Volume 5, Issue 29, 27 Sept. – 3 Oct., 2001.) Based on all of these incredible facts and observations we can confidently surmise that Mohammed Atta, Ziad Jarrah, and by extension their seventeen alleged Arab-Muslim co-conspirators, are innocent victims of a Mossad conspiracy – a highly sophisticated frame-up operation on a scale that even Mossad had never before attempted in its long history of false-flag terrorism.

A significant part of the U.S. government’s version of events also rests on the comical “confessions” of the alleged masterminds of the atrocity – Khalid Sheikh Mohammed (KSM) and Osama bin Laden (OBL). It has been widely acknowledged that all of the information and claims of responsibility that came from KSM were extracted through torture, and are thus completely useless and unreliable. (Richard Esposito, “How the CIA Broke the 9/11 Attacks Mastermind,” ABC News, Sept. 13, 2007 / “CIA waterboarded al-Qaida suspects 266 times,” The Guardian, April 20, 2009.) The New York Times reported that, “C.I.A. officers used waterboarding at least 83 times in August 2002 against Abu Zubaydah, according to a 2005 Justice Department legal memorandum. Abu Zubaydah has been described as a Qaeda operative. …The 2005 memo also says that the C.I.A. used waterboarding 183 times in March 2003 against Khalid Shaikh
Mohammed, the self-described planner of the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks.”
(Scott Shane, “Waterboarding Used 266 Times on 2 Suspects,” New York Times, April 19, 2009.)

KSM’s “confessions” were such an obvious farce that even former U.S. intelligence officers were openly doubting the credibility of his torture-induced claims of responsibility. (March 15-23, 2007 and Shortly After: Media and Terrorism Analysts Respond to KSM Confession with Scepticism,” History Commons) On top of that, there is the issue of the dubious “bin Laden confession tape” which was touted by Zionist media pundits and American government officials as the ultimate proof of bin Laden’s and Al-Qaeda’s presumed guilt. (“Tape ‘proves Bin Laden’s guilt’,” BBC News, Dec. 14, 2001.) The tape was magically “discovered” in an abandoned house in Jalalabad, Afghanistan, by U.S. intelligence officials in December 2001, according to the official story. This ‘fortunate find’ doesn’t pass the smell test. The likelihood of finding such a small tape in a large city with a lot of buildings is astronomically low. Were squads of video watchers sent in to view every tape found just in case one showed Osama confessing? Top Bush administration officials have actually asserted that since bin Laden allegedly “confessed” to planning 9/11 on this tape they don’t have to prove his involvement in the attacks with tangible evidence. How convenient! U.S. Senator Ron Wyden, a Jew, revealed a distinct Zionist motivation behind the release of the video, stating that he’d hoped it would “remove suspicions in countries such as Pakistan that the 11 September attacks were an Israeli plot aimed at drawing the US into a war with Islamic countries.” (Ibid.) And that was the purpose the video ultimately served.

The ‘confession tape’ is of very poor audio and visual quality, and the words being spoken by those seen on screen are barely audible. The authenticity of the tape has been seriously questioned by video experts. (The Fake 2001 bin Laden Video Tape,” What Really Happened) In fact, a German television program investigated the matter and determined that the translation of the tape provided by the Pentagon was false. The show consulted two independent translators and an expert on oriental studies who analyzed the tape and found that the White House’s translation was not merely inaccurate but manipulative. (Craig Morris, “Mistranslated Osama bin Laden Video – the German Press Investigates,” Dec. 30, 2001.) One of the translators, Arabist Dr. Abdel El M. Husseini, stated: “I have carefully examined the Pentagon’s translation. This translation is very problematic. At the most important places where it is held to prove the guilt of Bin Laden, it is not identical with the Arabic.” (Ibid.) Gernot Rotter, a professor of Islamic and Arabic Studies at the Asia-Africa Institute at the University of Hamburg, explained: “The American translators who listened to the tapes and transcribed them apparently wrote a lot of things in that they wanted to hear but that cannot be heard on the tape no matter how many times you listen to it.” (Ibid.) I previously quoted from an interview conducted by the Pakistani daily
Ummat with OBL that was published in Karachi a few weeks after the attacks in which he vehemently denies being behind 9/11, stating that people should investigate Israel and Zionism to trace the authorship of this crime as they were the only beneficiaries of such an event. Why would he initially deny involvement only to go on to take credit for it a few months later? If bin Laden was truly responsible for 9/11 he would have immediately and proudly acknowledged that fact.

Since 9/11 we have been inundated with a barrage of poor quality video and audio tapes purporting to be messages from Osama bin Laden, none of which have been authenticated or proven legitimate in the slightest degree. All of these were released at the most opportune times for the Bush administration. For example, in 2004, during Bush’s campaign for re-election, another OBL video surfaced at a time when Bush seemed to be falling in the polls. (“The Fake 2004 Bin Laden Video Tape,” What Really Happened) After the video was continuously aired and re-aired on all the mass media outlets, Bush’s approval rating quickly rose above that of his opponent John Kerry, leading to his re-election. Unsurprisingly, the video was proven to be a digitally created fake — a political ploy to help Bush win the election. (“Osama bin Laden: A Weapon of Mass Convenience,” What Really Happened)

It shouldn’t come as much of a surprise to learn that two former CIA officials have admitted to creating a fake video in which “darker-skinned” intelligence officers dressed up as Osama bin Laden and his associates in an effort to defame and discredit the Islamic leader throughout the Middle East. A former Army Intelligence case officer named Jeff Stein outlined the details in a Washington Post article. Stein revealed that during the planning of the 2003 invasion of Iraq, the CIA’s Iraq Operations Group tossed around the idea of creating a fake video depicting Saddam Hussein having sex with a young teenage boy, and flooding copies of the tape throughout Iraqi cities to discredit and embarrass the Iraqi leader amongst his people. This dirty project never came to fruition, but Stein’s sources told him that the agency did previously concoct at least one fake bin Laden video:

> “The agency actually did make a video purporting to show Osama bin Laden and his cronies sitting around a campfire swigging bottles of liquor and savoring their conquests with boys, one of the former CIA officers recalled, chuckling at the memory. The actors were drawn from “some of us darker-skinned employees,” he said.” (Jeff Stein, “CIA unit’s wacky idea: Depict Saddam as gay,” The Washington Post, May 25, 2010.)

Another reason to dismiss the legitimacy of these bin Laden tapes is that a preponderance of evidence indicates that OBL died in December of 2001. Sources in Pakistan and Afghanistan have confirmed this. In 2002, Pakistan’s President Pervez Musharraf and the Afghan President Hamid Karzai both stated that Bin Laden was “probably dead.” (“Karzai: bin Laden ‘probably’ dead,” CNN, Oct. 7, 2002 / “Pakistan’s Musharraf: Bin Laden probably dead,” CNN, Jan. 18, 2002.) A Taliban leader announced that OBL had “died a peaceful death due to an untreated lung complication” in December of 2001 in the vicinity of the Tora Bora Mountains and that thirty of OBL’s close followers and associates laid him to rest in a traditional Wahabi Muslim funeral. (“Report: Bin Laden Already Dead,” Fox News, Dec. 26, 2001.) An Egyptian newspaper carried
a report on the funeral which was held in mid-December of 2001. (“News of Bin Laden’s Death and Funeral 10 days ago,” al-Wafd, Vol 15, No 4633, Dec. 26, 2001.)

Prior to 9/11, OBL had often been reported to be in poor health. It was reported that two months before September 11, OBL flew to Dubai for 10 days for treatment at the American hospital where he was visited by the local CIA agent. (“CIA agent alleged to have met Bin Laden in July,” The Guardian, Nov. 1, 2001.) In 2000, Le Figaro reported that OBL ordered a mobile dialysis machine to be delivered to his base at Kandahar in Afghanistan in order to treat his failing kidneys. (Ibid.) CNN’s medical correspondent, Dr. Sanjay Gupta, confirmed that OBL was most likely suffering from chronic kidney failure which requires dialysis treatment. Dialysis machines require electricity, clean water, and a sterile setting — without those things it is not likely that a person with this condition could survive for more than a few days. (“Dr. Sanjay Gupta: Bin Laden would need help if on dialysis,” CNN, Jan. 21, 2002.) That begs the question: how could OBL have survived all of these years in a desolate, isolated mountain hide-out with such chronic health issues that required constant medical attention and treatment? It is an impossibility!

The reality of OBL’s poor health and his eventual death in December of 2001 didn’t stop the despotic Bush administration and the Jewish-owned media from perpetuating the lie that OBL was still alive and still a ‘threat’ to America. The claim that the U.S. military and CIA were hunting down OBL and his group in Afghanistan is a giant sham that served as a convenient excuse to continue the military occupation of that country. Think about this: for over a decade the greatest military power in the history of the world, with all of its spy agencies, spy satellites, high-tech gadgets and listening devices, predator drones, F-15 and F-16 fighter jets, stealth aircraft and Apache helicopters, could not track down or kill one man!? This outlandish fable is simply not believable. The so-called “hunt for bin Laden” is a monstrous ruse to justify the “war on terror” hoax, which is in actuality a war of extermination targeting Israel’s enemies in the Middle East. The fact is that bin Laden died in December of 2001 — all intelligence agencies know this, but the popular image of OBL as an eternal ‘terrorist threat’ to America provides the Jewish-Zionist string-pullers controlling the U.S. Military Industrial Complex with a replenishing source of fear and paranoia to keep the ignorant masses of the West in line with their genocidal agenda for global supremacy.

OBL served as the ultimate patsy and fall-guy for the Jewish-Zionist conspirators behind 9/11. This point was expressed, although not directly, by veteran CIA agent Milt Bearden. On a CBS broadcast with Dan Rather the day after 9/11, Bearden stated: “This was a tremendously sophisticated operation against the United States — more sophisticated than anybody would have ascribed to Osama bin Laden.” (“CIA Talks About Bin Laden Being Trained By CIA on CNN,” YouTube) With regard to the widespread view that bin Laden was behind the attacks, he skeptically opined:

“I think Osama bin Laden has become the metaphor for the entire problem of terrorism involving Muslims with perceived grievances against the United States. I think it would be wrong to say this is a one-size-fits-all operation and to go after Bin Laden because an operation as sophisticated as [the one] carried out yesterday was an operation that was concealed from us for months… It happened without
essentially a hitch except for one aircraft. And there is no reason to believe that
these same people weren’t capable of covering their tracks somehow on the way out.
Now I would go so far as to say that this group who was responsible for that, if they
didn’t have an Osama bin Laden out there they’d invent one because he’s a terrific
diversion for the rest of the world.” (Ibid.)

In interviews he has given to the press, OBL has often alluded to the dangerous reality of
Jewish domination of the United States. He has said that the American imperial policy of
occupation and destruction of Muslim countries is ferociously led by the Zionist Jews who
control America through their malignant monopoly over the banking, media and political sectors
of American society. Back in 1998, bin Laden issued a dire warning to the American people,
suggesting that the death-grip of Organized Jewry on America is driving the country, and the
world, towards hell on earth. Addressing the Jewish question, bin Laden said that,

“The enmity between us and the Jews goes far back in time and is deep rooted.
There is no question that war between the two of us is inevitable. The leaders in
America and in other countries as well have fallen victim to Jewish Zionist
blackmail. …

They have mobilized their people against Islam and against Muslims. Our mothers
and daughters and sons are slaughtered every day with the approval of America
and its support... And, while America blocks the entry of weapons into Islamic
countries, it provides the Israelis with a continuous supply of arms allowing them
thus to kill and massacre more Muslims.

The American government is leading the country toward hell. We say to the
Americans as people and to American mothers, if they cherish their lives and if they
cherish their sons, they must elect an American patriotic government that caters to
their interests, not the interests of the Jews.” (Chris Bollyn, “What Does Bin Laden
Really Want?,” American Free Press, Oct. 11, 2001.)

In 2011, the illegitimate U.S. president Barrack Obama attempted to perpetuate the myth
of Osama bin Laden by staging a fake ‘assassination’ of an already dead OBL. The New York
Times reported that “Osama bin Laden... was killed in a firefight with United States forces
in Pakistan, President Obama announced on
Sunday.” (“Bin Laden Is Dead, Obama Says,”
New York Times, May 1, 2011.) OBL was
supposedly camped “in a massive compound
about an hour’s drive north from the
Pakistani capital of Islamabad. He was
hiding in the medium-sized city of
Abbottabad, home to a large Pakistani
military base and a military academy of the
Pakistani Army.” (Ibid.) The suggestion that
OBL could have been hiding out in this
compound, which was located near a Pakistani
military base, for years without anybody knowing about it is simply childish nonsense. An eyewitness to the event, who had lived on the same street as the compound where OBL was allegedly hiding, told Al Jazeera reporter Imtiaz Tyab flat out that the story was not true and that bin Laden had never been hiding in that house. The eyewitness said that he had walked by that house every night and even rented the house next door to his cousin, stating that he had not once seen Osama bin Laden or heard anything that would indicate OBL was residing in that house for an extended period of time. (“A witness account of Bin Laden’s death,” Al Jazeera English, May 2, 2011.)

Even more telling is the fact that OBL’s mythical ‘corpse’ was hastily “buried at sea” by the U.S. military before any impartial observers could identify the body — evidently because it was not the body of OBL if there even was a body at all. The U.S. government falsely claimed that this type of burial “complies with Islamic custom,” when in reality burial at sea is extremely uncommon for Muslims. (“Bin Laden’s body buried at sea,” The Guardian, May 2, 2011.) This means that the dramatic story of OBL’s alleged killing is based solely on the less-than-credible word of the U.S. government! In addition to these discrepancies, the official narrative of OBL’s assassination by U.S. forces was changed and altered by the Obama regime numerous times. They couldn’t even get their story straight — a tell-tale sign of fraud, cover-up and conspiracy. (“The killing of Osama bin Laden: how the White House changed its story,” The Guardian, May 4, 2011.) Brazen evidence of a hoax came right on the heels of the news of OBL’s alleged killing, when some mass media outlets quickly published a gruesome picture of a bloodied and presumably ‘dead’ OBL. The photograph was immediately exposed as a sloppy fake. The Guardian reported:

“An image apparently showing a dead Osama bin Laden broadcast on Pakistani television and picked up by British newspaper websites is a fake. The blooedied image of a man with matted hair and a blank, half-opened eye has been circulating on the internet for the past two years. It was used on the front pages of the Mail, Times, Telegraph, Sun and Mirror websites, though swiftly removed after the fake was exposed on Twitter.” (“Osama bin Laden corpse photo is fake,” The Guardian, May 2, 2011.)

To sum up, Osama bin Laden and ‘Al-Qaeda’ did not commit 9/11 and were not capable of doing so even if they wanted to. The popular image of bin Laden as the “arch-villain” and nemesis of the U.S. serves the same purpose for the American government that the “Emmanuel Goldstein” character did for the tyrannical regime depicted in George Orwell’s classic novel ‘1984’ — an artificial enemy manufactured by the state to rule the population with fear. OBL and ‘Al-Qaeda’ are not an actual threat, but merely a weapon of propaganda for the U.S. government and the Jewish agenda that drives it. The myth of Osama bin Laden and Al-Qaeda has been used to hoodwink the American public into supporting the imperial schemes of Zionism.
CHAPTER EIGHT

The Pentagon Attack: The Mysterious ‘Missing Trillions,’ Rabbi Zakheim and Remote Control

The official version of what happened at the Pentagon on 9/11 contains many lies, distortions and fabrications. What is described to have taken place by the U.S. government is not physically possible on a variety of levels. There are many alternative theories that have been postulated about what could have happened, but it is not the focus of this book to describe all of these theories and their plausibility in detail. The bottom line is that like the other events that transpired in New York and Shanksville on 9/11, the U.S. government is not telling the truth about what happened at the Pentagon — something is amiss.

The technical arguments of why a large Boeing 757 aircraft, presumably American Airlines Flight 77, could not have impacted the Pentagon and created the peculiar damage to the building has been dissected and scrutinized in fine detail by the Citizen Investigation Team, a group of researchers who have produced several interesting films on the subject of what really happened at the Pentagon. (“National Security Alert – The 9/11 Pentagon Event,” Citizen Investigation Team, YouTube) Their main argument is that dozens of independent eyewitnesses that they interviewed placed the incoming plane on the north side of the CITGO gas station as it approached the Pentagon. (Ibid.) The official story contends that the plane came in low and level along the south side of the gas station and hit a number of light poles on its way into the Pentagon. If the plane came in on the north side of the gas station, as dozens of witnesses have independently reported, then it is impossible for it to have caused the downed light poles and the damage to the Pentagon which coincide with a south-side approach. (See diagram) So what caused the downed light poles and the damage to the building if not the plane? Clearly a lot of staging went on to give the appearance of a south-side approach. Part of the pre-staging activities was a taxicab driver named Lloyd England who was traveling along the bridge next to the Pentagon as the plane came in. He claimed that the plane flew right over his cab and clipped a light pole that speared the windshield of his car. There was indeed a puncture hole in his windshield and damage to the interior passenger’s side of the car, but unbelievably the hood of the car remained completely undamaged despite a 40 foot long, 247 pound light pole allegedly landing on it. (“The First Known Accomplice? (Featuring Lloyde England),” YouTube) In an interview with the Citizen Investigation Team, Lloyd England basically admitted the damage to his car was faked and that 9/11 itself was a “world event”
created by rich and powerful people with an agenda. ("Lloyd taxicab driver admits government planning," YouTube)

It is not clear what, if anything, hit the Pentagon and it is not my objective to make that determination. What I will say is that much to the same degree as the Shanksville crash site, there was a noticeable lack of any major plane debris at the crash site of the Pentagon. The FBI immediately confiscated over 80 security camera videos belonging to the Pentagon as well as to nearby private businesses, but refuses to release them to the public. At minimum, at least a handful of the 80-plus security videos should have captured what actually struck the Pentagon, but the FBI does not want us to see them. Why? The 9/11 Research website reported on the issue of the suppressed video footage of the Pentagon attack, noting:

“It is striking that there is neither video footage nor any photographic evidence in the public domain showing a jetliner approaching or crashing into the Pentagon. As of May, 2006, the only video footage of the crash that has been released are clips from two Pentagon security cameras north of the crash site, one the source of 5 frames leaked in 2002. With the release of the two video clips, the Pentagon claims to have supplied all of the footage it has of the attack. Although the number and positions of security cameras monitoring the Pentagon is not public knowledge, it seems unlikely that only two security cameras captured the attack. Isn’t it reasonable to assume that there were dozens, if not hundreds, of security cameras ringing the huge building that is the heart of the United States military establishment?

Not only has the government refused to release footage that would clearly show how the Pentagon was attacked, it has also seized footage not belonging to the military. The FBI confiscated video recordings from several private businesses near the Pentagon in the immediate aftermath of the attack. Those recordings, if they still exist, might provide decisive evidence about the attack. In addition it is likely there is other video footage that was either destroyed or is being withheld, given that the trajectory of the attack plane took it low over a large part of Arlington, Virginia.” ("Pentagon Attack Footage: The Suppression of Video Footage of the Pentagon Attack,” 9/11 Research WTC7 Website)

On December 2, 2006, Judicial Watch obtained a security video recording from the Doubletree Hotel located relatively close to the Pentagon on the side where the alleged attack took place. The low-quality video captured the fireball explosion but a Boeing 757 jetliner cannot be seen crashing into the building. ("Hotel security video shows 9/11 Pentagon blast, but no plane,” CNN, Dec. 2, 2006.) CNN reported that, “There was speculation that this video might show the American Airlines 757 jetliner before it crashed, but a close examination by CNN only revealed the subsequent explosion and no image of the jet.” (Ibid.) Since the American government refuses to release critical evidence that could easily clear up all of these issues, we are left with no other choice but to conclude that they are engaged in a cover-up. Since they cannot prove their version of events despite having the necessary video footage in their possession that should show what they claim took place, we can make no other determination than that they are lying about what actually occurred at the Pentagon to hide government involvement in the attack.
A very important and very provable aspect of the Pentagon debacle was the disappearance of 2.3 trillion dollars from the Pentagon’s budget books. This was announced by Donald Rumsfeld in a press conference on September 10th 2001, one day before 9/11, where he stated that, “According to some estimates we cannot track $2.3 trillion in transactions.” (“The War On Waste: Defense Department Cannot Account For 25% Of Funds — $2.3 Trillion,” CBS News, Jan. 29, 2002.) Rumsfeld promised change, but the attacks on New York and Washington the following day rendered this enormous scandal a forgotten mystery, buried under 9/11’s rubble. According to its own auditors, the military cannot account for 25 percent of what it spends. (Ibid.) The Pentagon’s financial overseer who was responsible for looking into all of this monetary malfeasance was a man named Dov Zakheim. An extremist Jew, citizen of Israel and ordained orthodox rabbi, Zakheim was appointed by President Bush to manage the Pentagon’s finances as Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller), a position he held from May 2001 to April 2004. In total, over 3 trillion dollars disappeared during his stint as head money man at the Pentagon. All of the financial fraud and negligence going on in the Pentagon was quickly swept under the rug on Zakheim’s watch. On March 14, 2002, Rabbi Zakheim delivered a sermon in front of Lubavitch rabbis from 40 countries who gathered on the Pentagon lawn to ‘commemorate’ the 9/11 attacks and the 100th birthday of their deceased spiritual leader Menachem Mendel Schneerson. (“Lubavitch Recall Sept. 11,” Jewish Journal, Mar. 14, 2002.)

Zakheim was a key foreign policy adviser to George W. Bush during his 2000 campaign for presidency. He was also a member of both the Council on Foreign Relations and the neoconservative group, the Project for the New American Century, which advocated an imperial strategy of American dominance across the globe, endeavoring to link the foreign policies of the United States and Israel. Zakheim was the CEO of System Planning Corporation from 1987 to 2001. SPC is a major player in the “Homeland Security” industry and a leading designer of remote control technology. This company provided flight termination systems and command transmitter systems, technology that allows up to eight planes to be remotely controlled at the same time from one operations center. These technologies can remotely hijack even an already-hijacked plane and land or crash it wherever it is desired.

Writer Jerry Mazza detailed many of Zakheim’s conspicuous connections and incriminating intrigues in an article titled “Following Zakheim and Pentagon trillions to Israel and 9-11” (Online Journal, July 31, 2006.). In the piece, Mazza documents that Zakheim personally organized a massive transfer of dozens of American F-15 and F-16 fighter jets to Israel at a fraction of their actual value. Mazza noted that “in May 2001, when Dov served at the Pentagon, it was an SPC (his firm’s) subsidiary, Tridata Corporation, that oversaw the investigation of the first “terrorist” attack on the World Trade Center in 1993,” further suggesting that, “This would have given them intimate knowledge of the security systems and structural blueprints of the World Trade Center.” An article called “Dov Zakheim and
the 9/11 Conspiracy” that appeared on the Conspiracy News Net website makes even more astonishing allegations against Zakheim. The authors quote from the SPC website in their assertion that “a recent customer [of SPC] at that time was Eglin Air Force Base, located in Florida. Eglin is very near MacDill AFB, where Dov Zakheim contracted to send at least 32 Boeing 767 aircraft, as part of the Boeing/Pentagon tanker lease agreement.” They go on to hypothesize that the Flight Termination System module, a photo of which is available at the SPC website, is similar in shape and size to the strange cylindrical appendage that was photographed on the underbelly of the plane that smashed into the South Tower of the WTC. The article goes on to suggest:

“The Boeing lease deal involved the replacement of the aging KC-135 tanker fleet with these smaller, more efficient Boeing 767s that were to be leased by Dov Zakheim’s group. The planes were to be refitted with refueling equipment, including lines and nozzle assemblies. When seen in comparison, it is obvious that the plane approaching the Trade Center has both of these structures—the FTS module and the midair refueling equipment, as configured on the modified Boeing 767 tankers. … After considering this information, I am convinced that flight 175, as pictured on the news media and official reports, was in fact a refitted Boeing 767 tanker, with a Flight Termination System attached. Use of this system would also explain the expert handling of aircraft observed in both New York and Washington investigations, which has been officially credited to inexperienced flight school students. Since the refitted 767s were able to carry both passengers and a fuel load, as shown in this photo, it is likely that the plane designated Flight 175 was in fact a refitted 767 tanker, disguised as a conventional commercial passenger plane.”

The authors summed up Zakheim’s supreme guilt as a lead suspect in the perpetration of 9/11 in this way:

“Considering his access to Boeing 767 tankers, remote control flight systems, and his published views in the PNAC document, it seems very likely he is in fact a key figure in the alleged terrorist attacks in New York City on September 11, 2001. Rabbi Zakheim had access to things like structural integrity, blueprints and any number of important facets of information about the WTC through his work with Tridata Corporation in the investigation of the bombing of the WTC in 1993. That he had access to remote control technology through his work at System Planning Corporation (SPC); that he had access to Boeing aircraft through a lease deal he brokered while working at the Pentagon; and finally, that he was part of a group of politically radical Straussian Neo-Conservatives, who, through their association with PNAC, called for restructuring of the Middle East, noting that a Pearl Harbor type of event may be needed to foster the frame of mind required for the American public to accept such a radical foreign policy agenda. In light of all this information we here at Conspiracy News Net stand by our statement that Mr. Zakheim not only called for the slamming of the WTC Towers on 9-11, but he actively took part in their demolition by providing the logistics necessary for such an attack to occur.”
Keeping in mind Zakheim’s magic disappearing money tricks with the trillions that vanished from the Pentagon budget books under his supervision, the specific section of the Pentagon that was struck by whatever it was that hit the building coincidentally contained the year’s budgetary information. (Arlington County After-Action Report on the Response to the September 11 Terrorist Attack on the Pentagon) Many of the causalities in the Pentagon attack were accountants, bookkeepers and budget analysts, members of an office of the Army named Resource Services Washington which lost 34 of its 45 employees. (Milan Simonich, “Army unit piecing together accounts of Pentagon attack,” Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, Dec. 20, 2001.) The specific section of the Pentagon that was hit, known as Wedge One, just happened to have undergone a massive renovation in the years leading up to 9/11 which strengthened the facade to withstand a terror attack. (“Retrofitting the Pentagon For Blast Resistance,” Structure Magazine) All of the Pentagon’s top military brass were conveniently located out of harm’s way on the opposite side of the building. Why would the “terrorists” choose to crash the plane into that very odd part of the building which was exceedingly difficult to hit at such close proximity to the ground? Crashing the plane into the roof of the building would have been a much easier, certain and more valuable target, but we are told the “terrorists” deliberately went out of their way to strike the first-floor facade of the building at an extremely difficult, some say impossible, angle. This fairy tale is not believable.

Even less believable is the man that authorities claim performed this action: Hani Hanjour. Notorious for his poor piloting ability, Hanjour continually flunked out of flight schools across the United States. Marilyn Ladner, a vice president at the Pan Am International Flight Academy, said that Hanjour participated in a training course at her facility in Phoenix, Arizona in February of 2001 and that his piloting skills were so terrible that she feared he could pose a safety hazard if he ever commandeered a commercial aircraft. (Jim Yardley, “A Trainee Noted for Incompetence,” New York Times, May 4, 2002.) The New York Times reported that officials at the Phoenix flight school “had found [Hanjour's] piloting skills so shoddy and his grasp of English so inadequate that they questioned whether his pilot’s license was genuine.” (Ibid.) A former employee of the flight school said, “I'm still to this day amazed that he could have flown into the Pentagon. He could not fly at all.” (Ibid.) In the second week of August 2001, Hanjour attempted to rent a small plane from an airport in Bowie, Maryland. Flight instructors Sheri Baxter and Ben Conner took Hanjour on three test flights to gauge his piloting skills and found that he had difficulties handling and landing a single-engine Cessna 172 aircraft. Chief flight instructor Marcel Bernard declined to rent Hanjour a plane until he took more lessons. (Thomas Frank, “Tracing Trail Of Hijackers,” Newsday, Sept. 23, 2001.) Despite Hanjour’s exceedingly horrendous track record as a pilot, we are being asked to believe that he pulled off near jet-fighter maneuvers to successfully slam Flight 77 into the Pentagon on 9/11. We are being told that Hanjour outmaneuvered both the U.S. Airforce and NORAD to sneak past the restricted and heavily monitored airspace surrounding the Pentagon, the most protected building on earth. Why was the flight not intercepted by fighter jets? There has never been a satisfactory answer to this question. The 9/11 Research website explained the ridiculous absurdity of the maneuver that Hanjour is supposed to have performed, stating:

“It is doubtful that the best trained fighter pilots could have executed the maneuver that supposedly crashed a 757 into the Pentagon. It required making a tight 320-degree turn while descending seven thousand feet, then leveling out so as to fly low
enough over the highway just west of the Pentagon to knock down lamp posts. After crossing the highway the pilot had to take the plane to within inches of the ground so as to crash into the Pentagon at the first-floor level and at such a shallow angle that an engine penetrated three rings of the building, while managing to avoid touching the lawn. And he had to do all of this while flying over 400 mph. Quite a feat for a flight school flunky who had never sat in the cockpit of a jet!” (“Clueless Super-Pilot: Jetliner Aerobatics by Flight School Dropout Who Never Flew a Jet,” 911 Research WTC7 Website)

None of the alleged 9/11 “hijackers” had flown commercial aircraft before the attacks, but according to the Jewish-owned press and the Zionist-occupied American government they were able to pull off the most successful attack against the United States in history, hitting 75 percent of their targets on the same day. Despite the unambiguous reality that none of these Arabs were capable of executing what has been attributed to them, an Israeli named Don Radlauer of the Interdisciplinary Center at Herzliya produced a report detailing the flight paths of the alleged four hijacked planes. Radlauer’s report attempted to bestow credibility upon the official story, suggesting that hijacking and guiding the planes into their targets would have been an easy task. (Don Radlauer, “The Hijackings: A Pilot’s View,” Sept. 13, 2001.) Out of all of the flight instructors who witnessed Hani Hanjour in the cockpit of a plane, only one contradicted the overwhelming consensus that Hanjour was a horrible pilot who was unfit to fly even a single-engine Cessna 172 aircraft. That would be none other than the Israeli national and former Israeli Occupation Forces paratrooper Eddie Shalev, a flight instructor for Congressional Air Charters in Gaithersburg, Maryland. Shalev told the FBI that in August of 2001 Hanjour attempted to rent a plane from his employer and that he accompanied Hanjour on a certification flight. Shalev explained to the FBI that, in his opinion, Hanjour was a “good” pilot and that he authorized the plane rental to Hanjour. (Memorandum of Eddie Shalev’s FBI Interview, Apr. 9, 2004.) This former Israeli paratrooper, working in the interests of the terrorist regime that he loyally serves, was clearly lying to prop up the official 9/11 fable.

A common question that is often asked is if the “Muslim hijackers” story is merely a Zionist media invention then who was actually piloting the planes that struck the Twin Towers on 9/11? Although it is impossible to prove with absolute certitude the exact circumstances surrounding the planes of 9/11, the most logical and likely scenario is that the two planes that hit the towers were remote-controlled drones, dressed up like commercial jets, guided into their targets. Chris Bollyn stresses the unusual coincidence that the first plane that struck the North Tower flew directly into the secure computer room of Marsh/Kroll, the Zionist-run “security” company of the WTC. Dr. Robert Sungenis summarized the findings of Chris Bollyn in his review of ‘Solving 9/11,’ showing that several Mossad-connected Israeli aviation companies had the expertise to convert a passenger plane into a remote-controlled drone to strike the Twin Towers. Sungenis writes:

“There is also the Israeli aircraft leasing company ATASCO begun by the Israeli military in 1971 and connected to the Mossad and owned by Israeli “entrepreneur” Shaul Eisenberg. Bollyn notes that it was just after he had a conversation with its chairman, Shalom Yoran, that the goon squad of undercover policemen came to his property and gave him a taste of TASAR. He found out that Yoran was originally in the Israeli air
force in 1948 and was the founder of IAI, and its parent Bedek in 1953, who converted its first Boeing 767 to a cargo jet in 2000. On April 4, 2000 the Jerusalem Post noted Bedek as “one of the world’s leaders in plane conversions,” and thus it would be easy for the company to convert a passenger plane to a remote controlled drone to hit the Twin Towers. There is also Bedek’s Commodore Aviation in Miami, which, like ATASCO, has been funded by the US taxpayer as part of the 3 billion sent by the US to Israel each year. As such, we could be funding our own home grown terrorists right here in the USA. As Bollyn puts it: “Israelis like Yoran don’t usually come to America as immigrants – they are sent on a mission.” Bollyn goes on to list the clandestine activity of these Israeli enterprises (including international drug smuggling as reported by the Israeli paper Haaretz in 2003) and notes that except for one article in the Washington Post about Eisenberg and the Mossad in 1978, the stories were never followed by any news outlet.”

We have seen a substantial amount of evidence that Dov Zakheim was a prime participant in the creation of this terrorist conspiracy against the American people. The Judicial Inc website’s bio of Zakheim sums up his upbringing as one of intense indoctrination having been reared in the principles of Jewish supremacy and Zionist ideology from birth. He was born in Brooklyn in 1943 and attended exclusively Jewish schools. He spent summers in Israel at Zionist summer camps. Dov graduated from Columbia University in 1970 and the University of Oxford in 1972. From 1973-75 he attended the London School of Jewish Studies, which Jerry Mazza described as a witches brew of “Jewish supremacy, Advanced Bible, Talmud, Jewish Mysticism, Holocaust, Anglo-Judaica, and Zionism.” From 1975-80, Zakheim was an adjunct professor at the National War College, Yeshiva University, Columbia University and Trinity College. He later was ordained as an orthodox rabbi. This high-priest of Judaism has been stalking the halls of the U.S. government for 25 years and has influenced the defense policies of Presidents Reagan, Clinton and Bush Sr. and Jr.

Dov Zakheim’s grandfather Julius was a prominent rabbi and Bolshevik agitator from Ukraine who played a leading role in the attempted Bolshevik coup of 1905, which paved the way for the Jewish-Bolshevik takeover of Russia in 1917. According to Judicial Inc, Dov’s father Jacob was “born and reared in Poland’s hotbed of Zionist assassins and bombers.” His father was a rabbi and a member of the Jewish terrorist group BETAR which joined forces with the Haganah, Irgun and Stern gangs to brutally carve out a Jewish state on Arab soil. His family counted Menachem Begin, Moshe Arens and other Zionist terror kingpins among their friends. These crooked connections and associations solidify Zakheim’s guilt as one of the central figures behind the September 11 disaster.
CHAPTER NINE

More False-Flags After 9/11: Israel At It Again

Shortly following the 9/11 attacks, Israelis were caught on numerous occasions trying to stage even more false-flag provocations in the U.S. and in other countries. On October 10, 2001 — about a month after 9/11 — an incredible incident took place in Mexico involving two Israelis posing as press photographers. The pair were arrested inside the Mexican congress armed with 9mm pistols, 9 grenades, explosives, three detonators, and 58 bullets, evidently about to mount some sort of attack against the Mexican government. The Mexican newspaper *El Diario de Mexico* reported that the two Israeli terrorists had fake Pakistani passports in their possession. The Los Angeles-based *La Vox De Atzlan* publication reported on the incident in great detail. This is one of their first reports of the affair:

“We were alerted this morning by a subscriber from Mexico that two Israelis were arrested Wednesday inside the Palacio Legislativo de San Lázaro (Mexican Congress) in Mexico City. Both were armed with 9 mm automatics and one was carrying a military hand grenade, electrical wiring and other bomb related materials. The Israeli Embassy at Sierra Madre 2155, colonia Lomas de Chapultepec has close its doors to the Mexican Press and are refusing to talk. The incident has been independently verified by La Voz de Aztlan through Mexican diplomatic, press and other sources in Mexico City.

The Chief of Legislative Security, Salvador Alarcón, has also confirmed the arrest of the two Israeli terrorists. One of them Saur Ben Zvi is a confirmed citizen of Israel and the other, Salvador Guersson, recently immigrated to Mexico from Israel. It is has been determined by the Procuraduría General de la República (Mexican Department of Justice) that Guersson is a retired Colonel of the Israeli Defense Forces and that he may now be operating as a MOSSAD agent. It is not known how they were able to penetrate the extensive security system of the Mexican Legislative Palace.

This is a very grave incident with many serious international implications. Many have questioned who may be really behind many of the recent terrorist acts around the world including the ones against the WTC and the Pentagon. The Mexican public and congress has been reticent about declaring war against Islam along with the U.S. It is possible that an act of terrorism against the Mexican Congress was planned in order to “terrorize” Mexico into towing the line against Islam.” (*E. Cienfuegos, “Zionist Terrorists Arrested Inside Mexican Congress,” La Voz de Atzlan, Oct. 12, 2001.)*

In a standard display of behind-the-scenes lobbying intrigue, Jews put heavy pressure on the Mexican government to secure the release of the two Israeli terror suspects, most likely resorting to bribery or blackmail in their efforts to free the Mossad assassins. No criminal charges against the two Israelis were pursued and they were quietly deported to Israel. According to La Vox De Atzlan:
“... the Israeli Embassy used heavy handed measures to have the two Israelis released. Very high level emergency meetings took place between Mexican Secretary of Foreign Relations Jorge Gutman, General Macedo de la Concha and a top Ariel Sharon envoy who flew to Mexico City specially for that purpose. Elías Luf of the Israeli Embassy worked night and day and their official spokeswoman Hila Engelhart went into high gear after many hours of complete silence. What went on during those high levels meetings no ones knows, but many in Mexico are in disbelief at their release. Guns and any kind of explosive is highly illegal for Mexican citizens and the fact that these two Israelis had them inside the Mexican Congress makes their release highly suspect. What is really going on? Jorge Gutman, the Mexican Foreign Secretary, has very strong Zionist connections and himself is of Jewish descent. Mexican Army General Macedo de la Concha has strong connections to the U.S. Military Industrial-Complex and through this to the Israeli Defense Forces. Have any of these connections influenced the decision to release the two Zionist terrorists?” (E. Cienfuegos, “Mexican Attorney General Releases Zionist Terrorists,” La Vox De Atzlan, Oct. 15, 2001.)

Speaking about the motive of an Israeli false-flag assault against Mexico, La Vox De Atzlan opined:

“We believe that the two Zionists terrorist were going to blow up the Mexican Congress. The second phase was to mobilize both the Mexican and US press to blame Osama bin Laden. Most likely then Mexico would declare war on Afghanistan as well, commit troops and all the oil it could spare to combat Islamic terrorism.” (Ibid.)

The failed Israeli attack on the Mexican Congress gained considerable media coverage in Mexico itself, but there was absolutely no mention of it by U.S. media outlets. Beholden to their Jewish editors and bosses, mainstream American journalists are kept on a tight leash, restricted from reporting on Israeli misconduct by Zionist censors. Nothing goes to print in America without first going through the Jewish filter, ensuring that the Israeli Mossad can maneuver throughout the world staging false-flag attacks and committing assassinations as they please, without fearing exposure. Hot on the heels of the Mossad mishap in Mexico, there was another occurrence involving Israelis and potential terrorism. On October 17, 2001, The Mercury reported that three Israeli Jews identified as Ron Katar, Mosche Almakias and Ayelet Reisler were arrested in rural Pennsylvania for suspicious behavior behind a Pizzeria Uno. The manager of the store informed authorities of two Middle Eastern looking men dumping furniture out of a tractor-trailer with a “Moving Systems Incorporated” sign on the side, behind his restaurant. The police searched the vehicle and discovered a Sony video camera containing footage of Chicago with several suspicious zoom-in shots of the Sears Tower. The Israeli suspects were transported to Plymouth Police Station for further questioning. The FBI was notified of the incident and began its own investigation into the Israelis. Elmakias and Katar were eventually detained by INS and transported to a federal facility, and the female, Reisler, was released. (“2 found with video of Sears Tower,” The Mercury, Oct. 17, 2001.) Predictably, like all of the other instances of Israelis engaged in espionage and terrorism in America, nothing of this incident was ever heard of again. Were these three Israelis Mossad agents? Were they planning some sort of false-
flag attack on the Sears Tower? A real investigation would answer all of these pertinent questions. Instead, we are forced to endure another cover-up of potential terrorism in the U.S. by Israelis.

Sometime in late October of 2001, six men traveling in two groups of three were stopped by police in the Midwest on suspicions of terrorist activity. The men had photographs, boxcutters and other suspicious equipment. All of the men were Middle Eastern and held Israeli passports. Even though they possessed photos and descriptions of a nuclear power plant in Florida and the Trans-Alaska pipeline, all of the Israelis were quickly released. (“Nuclear plants tighten security FBI seeking 6 men seen in Midwest,” The Miami Herald, Oct. 31, 2001.) In May of 2002, two Israeli nationals posing as “furniture movers” were stopped by military police in Oak Harbor, Washington, near the Whidbey Island Naval Air Station. Police searched their vehicle and, according to Fox News, a “bomb-sniffing dog first detected explosives on one of the men and inside the truck.” High-tech equipment “was used later to confirm the presence of TNT and RDX plastic explosive.” (Carl Cameron, “Police Seize Rental Truck With TNT Traces,” Fox News, May 13, 2002.) Fox News also acknowledged that “Government officials said the roadside stop was so close to the naval air station that military personnel took part in the initial arrest and naval intelligence has also been involved in the subsequent investigation.” (Ibid.) Were these two Israelis planning an attack on the Whidbey Naval Air Station? And did they intend to have it blamed on Muslims to perpetuate the myth of the ‘Al-Qaeda terror network’? That is a real possibility, but this event too was quickly hushed up.

Since 9/11 there have been a number of large-scale terrorist attacks in different nations across Europe, Asia and the Middle East. All of these bombings were designed to drag other countries into Israel’s proxy wars against the Islamic world. It is beyond the scope of this book to analyze all of these attacks in depth. However, I suspect that the twin train bombings in Madrid, Spain in 2004 and London, England in 2005 were Israeli-engineered atrocities, bearing all of the traditional hallmarks of Mossad involvement. The coordination and sophistication of these attacks certainly required the expertise of an intelligence agency and military professionals. Like 9/11, these attacks were officially blamed on ‘Al-Qaeda’-affiliated Muslims as is usually the case with false-flag ops committed by the Mossad. The evidence, however, leads us to Israel’s doorstep in both cases. I believe a legitimate investigation into these events would reveal Israeli guilt and complicity. An extensive report put out by the Take Our World Back website entitled “A Comprehensive History of Zionist Crimes” provides a detailed analysis of the evidence which shows that those two attacks, among other less prominent acts of terror, were the handiwork of Israel’s Mossad with the help of pro-Zionist forces in the CIA, MI6 and other corrupt intelligence agencies.
CHAPTER TEN

The Anthrax Letters: A Zionist Scare Tactic

To further ensnare Americans into a submissive state of abject fear and paranoia, the Zionist organizers of 9/11 cleverly created the “Anthrax scare” shortly after their assault on the Twin Towers. In a diabolical effort to terrorize and traumatize the American public into acquiescing to the Zionist agenda in the Middle East, Israel unleashed a biological attack against the people of America.

One week after the September 11 attacks, beginning on the 18th of the month, several letters containing a deadly strain of weaponized Anthrax spores showed up in the mail of news media offices and two Democratic U.S. Senators, killing five people and infecting 17 others. On the first day of the Anthrax onslaught the Jewish-owned press promoted a series of lies intended to implicate Iraq and Al-Qaeda as the culprits behind the mailings. Reports surfaced in the mainstream media alleging that five months before 9/11 Mohammad Atta had met with an Iraqi official named Ahmed al-Ani in Prague wherein Atta was given a “flask of Anthrax.” (Daniel McGrory, “Hijacker ‘Given Anthrax Flask By Iraqi Agent’,” London Times, Oct. 28, 2001.) The original claim about this supposed meeting between Atta and al-Ani and the transfer of a vial of Anthrax was based entirely on the spurious, less-than-reputable word of the Israeli Mossad. (Ibid.) This invention of Zionist propaganda was picked up and spread by Jewish neocon commentators and top Bush administration officials in a deceitful campaign to frame Al-Qaeda and Iraq for the Anthrax mailings. (“Bush Administration Claims vs. The Facts,” Leading To War Website) Czech intelligence officials originally went along with this fallacious story, but later acknowledged that it could not be corroborated. In August 2002, Czech foreign intelligence Chief František Bublan publicly backed away from the claim that Atta met al-Ani, saying that rumors of such meetings “have not been verified or proven.” (Kate Swoger, “Intelligence chief casts doubt on Atta meeting,” Prague Post, July 15, 2002.) The Prague Post reported that “Bublan said that promoting a so-called ‘Prague connection’ between Atta and al-Ani might have been a ploy by U.S. policymakers seeking justifications for a new military action against Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.” (Ibid.)

The Anthrax spores used in the mailings were of an extremely rare quality that is only produced in the United States. So where did it originate from? The strain of weaponized Anthrax used in the attacks, known as the “Ames strain,” narrowed the search for the perpetrators down to a few U.S. labs. (“Army Working on Weapons-Grade Anthrax,” Washington Post, Dec. 13, 2001.) Eventually it was traced back to an American biowarfare lab called the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute for Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) in Fort Detrick, Maryland, proving the Anthrax attacks were an “inside job.” Since neither ‘Al-Qaeda’ nor Iraq could possibly have managed to smuggle Anthrax spores out
of this American military lab, the story abruptly changed and all mention of Al-Qaeda and Iraq quietly disappeared down the rabbit hole. Now all eyes were focused on an insider within the U.S. biodefense establishment named Bruce E. Ivins. A White Christian scientist, Ivins doesn’t exactly fit the stereotyped profile of a violent, deranged terrorist. From the outset of the FBI campaign to label Ivins as the lone culprit, many journalists and other commentators began to raise serious doubts about the FBI’s narrative. The FBI’s own genetic consultant Claire Fraser-Ligget stated that the failure of authorities to find any Anthrax spores in Ivins’ house, vehicle or on any of his belongings seriously undermined the case. (“The Anthrax Files,” Frontline PBS)

Most of Ivins’ colleagues at the Fort Detrick lab were doubtful of his involvement from the beginning. Jeffrey Adamovicz, one of Ivins’s supervisors in USAMRIID’s bacteriology division, stated, “I’d say the vast majority of people [at Fort Detrick] think he had nothing to do with it.” (Michael Isikoff, “The Case Still Isn’t Closed,” Newsweek Magazine, Aug. 8, 2008.) Dr. Meryl Nass, a former colleague of Ivins, documented the farcical basis of the FBI’s case against Ivins. She pointed out that the FBI could not place Ivins in New Jersey on the dates that the letters were mailed, and that he was only one of one hundred employees in the USAMRIID lab who could have potentially handled the type of Anthrax used in the mailings. (“Beyond a Reasonable Doubt?,” Anthrax Vaccine Blogspot, Aug. 6, 2008.) Henry Heine, a microbiologist who worked with Ivins for years at USAMRIID, testified to the U.S. National Research Council about the Anthrax attacks. Heine told the panel that it was impossible for the deadly spores to have been produced undetected in Ivins’s laboratory, as the FBI maintains. He testified that it would have taken a year of intensive work to produce the high quantity of Anthrax spores used in the letters and that this type of work would not have escaped the attention of his co-workers at the facility. No other scientists or lab technicians reported any anomalies regarding Ivins’ work leading up to the attacks. Furthermore, Heine asserted that where Ivins worked biological containment measures were inadequate to prevent the Anthrax spores from floating out of the laboratory into animal cages and offices, resulting in the deaths of animals and people, which did not happen. (Scott Shane, “Colleague Disputes Case Against Anthrax Suspect,” New York Times, Apr. 22, 2010.)

Despite the fact that the FBI failed to produce any credible evidence linking Ivins to the Anthrax mail attack, they ferociously pursued him as the lone suspect. As the FBI readied an indictment against Ivins, he allegedly took his own life by overdosing on Tylenol at the Frederick Memorial Hospital in Maryland on the 29th of July, 2008. (“Anthrax scientist commits suicide as FBI closes in,” Associated Press, Aug. 1, 2008.) Bruce Ivins’ attorney said his client’s death was the result of the government’s “relentless pressure of accusation and innuendo.” (Ibid.) Journalist Glenn Greenwald opined that “Ivins’ death meant that the FBI’s allegations would
never be tested in a court of law.” (“Serious doubt cast on FBI’s anthrax case against Bruce Ivins,” Salon, Feb. 16, 2011.) Indeed, Ivins’ untimely death conveniently saved the FBI from an embarrassing time in court trying to prove a case that they knew they could not make. No formal charges were ever actually filed against Ivins for the Anthrax crime for the simple reason that no evidence of his guilt ever existed. He served as another scapegoat for Zion.

In any case, even if we presuppose for hypothetical purposes that the allegations against Ivins were true, what would have been his motive to do this? What would he have to gain? Absolutely nothing. It is, however, interesting to note that as an evangelical Christian, Ivins was a philosemitic who believed that Jews are “God’s chosen people.” The Frederick (Md.) News Post republished letters from Ivins in the wake of his suicide. In one letter he praises a rabbi for refusing to engage in dialogue with a Muslim cleric, expressing his Zionist supremacist view that, “By blood and faith, Jews are God’s chosen, and have no need for ‘dialogue’ with any gentile.” (“Ivins believed Jews were God’s chosen,” Jewish Telegraphic Agency, Aug. 4, 2008.)

There is another glaring problem with the Bruce Ivins ‘lone nut’ narrative. The letters that accompanied the Anthrax were written to appear as if the authors were Arab Muslims plotting revenge against America and Israel. Some of the letters read: “Death to America. Death to Israel. Allah is Great.” If Ivins was behind the Anthrax mailings, why did he try to make it appear as though the letters and thus the Anthrax assault itself was the work of Muslims? Did he dislike Muslims so much as to risk his career and his very life to frame them for an act of bio-terrorism? With no known history of anti-Arab or anti-Muslim prejudice, it seems highly improbable that Ivins would resort to such extreme criminality just to give Muslims a bad name. The official story acknowledges that no Arab or Muslim took part in this crime — so what are we to make of these fake letters and the person(s) responsible for their creation? It should be exceedingly obvious by now that whoever was actually behind the Anthrax attacks had a precise agenda aimed at having Muslims blamed for terrorism against the U.S. to facilitate a political end, such as a war against Iraq, Afghanistan and other Muslim nations in the Middle East. Mike Rivero explained that,

“In short, the entire look of the letters is a contrived fake, creating what they thought a letter from a third world middle eastern terrorist would look like, so that the phrases “Death to Israel”, and “Allah is Great” (a real Muslin says either “Allah Achbar” or “God is Great”) would point the finger of blame for the Anthrax at the middle eastern Arabs. Except that we know for a fact that the Anthrax didn’t come from the Middle East. It isn’t Saddam’s or Osama’s, it’s the very best high quality mil-spec Anthrax home grown at Fort Detrick, Dugway, and USAMRIID. It’s our
Anthrax. And that means that all the slanted writing, the extra crossings on the “T”s, the references to Allah and Israel are a carefully crafted hoax, designed to trick Americans into thinking that Arab Muslims from the middle east were to blame for the Anthrax letters. The above letters are not evidence of a terrorist attack but of a deception against the people of the United States; a deliberate frame-up of middle eastern Arabs perpetrated by the same party who owns the Anthrax. That a plan exists to frame Arab Muslims for the crimes of another party is now a proven fact.” ("The 9/11 Anthrax Frame-Up,” What Really Happened)

Aligned perfectly with the modus operandi of the Mossad, the Anthrax episode appears to be just another component of a broader Zionist deception. To unravel this mystery we have to take a look at a man named Philip M. Zack, a microbiologist and Lieutenant Colonel in the U.S. Army. Zack worked as a biowarfare researcher at the Fort Detrick complex where Ivins also worked. Dr. Zack was having an affair with another employee of USAMRIID named Dr. Mariam Rippy. In the early 1990s, the pair were embroiled in a scandal involving the harassment of another scientist at the Fort Detrick lab, named Dr. Ayaad Assaad. An Egyptian-American, Assaad was the victim of racial harassment from Zack, Rippy and others who formed a clique within the lab called “the Camel Club,” with the explicit intent to torment and verbally abuse Dr. Assaad. In 1991, Assaad discovered an eight page poem in his mailbox written by Zack and his cohorts which mocked Assaad’s Arabic heritage and made lewd references to a “rubber camel.” The Hartford Courant ran a story which noted:

“Assaad said he was working on the Saturday before Easter 1991 when he discovered an eight-page poem in his mailbox. The poem, which became a court exhibit, has 235 lines, many of them lewd, mocking Assaad. The poem also refers to another creation of the scientists who wrote it — a rubber camel outfitted with sexually explicit appendages. The poem reads: “In (Assaad’s) honor we created this beast; it represents life lower than yeast.” The camel, it notes, each week will be given “to who did the least.” The poem also doubles as an ode to each of the participants who adorned the camel, who number at least six and referred to themselves as “the camel club.” Two — Dr. Philip Zack and Dr. Marian Rippy — voluntarily left Fort Detrick soon after Assaad brought the poem to the attention of supervisors.” (“Arab scientists recount hostility and harassment at military anthrax lab,” The Hartford Courant, Dec. 19, 2001.)

In December 1991 Philip Zack left Fort Detrick. Shortly thereafter, 27 specimens, including Anthrax, Ebola and the hantavirus, disappeared from the lab. (“Anthrax Missing From Army Lab,” The Hartford Courant, Jan. 20, 2002.) A 1992 inquiry into the incident uncovered that suspicious individuals had continuously entered the lab late at night to conduct unauthorized research involving Anthrax. (Ibid.) Two former scientists there told reporters that it wouldn’t have been particularly difficult for someone with a security clearance to smuggle specimens out of the lab. The Hartford Courant reported:

“Dr. Mary Beth Downs told investigators that she had come to work several times in January and February of 1992 to find that someone had been in the lab at odd hours, clumsily using the sophisticated electron microscope to conduct some kind of
off-the-books research. After one weekend in February, Downs discovered that someone had been in the lab using the microscope to take photos of slides, and apparently had forgotten to reset a feature on the microscope that imprints each photo with a label. After taking a few pictures of her own slides that morning, Downs was surprised to see “Antrax 005″ emblazoned on her negatives.” (Ibid.)

Documents from the inquiry into the disappearance of specimens at the facility — including Anthrax — revealed that on the night of January 23, 1992, a security camera recorded Philip Zack, who was no longer working there at the time, being let into the lab by his mistress Dr. Marian Rippy. Zack apparently made routine unauthorized visits to the lab to reminisce with his former colleagues, and possibly to engage in more sinister activities. (Ibid.) A few days after 9/11, before the Anthrax-tainted letters started to arrive in people’s mail, an anonymous letter was written and mailed to the FBI which falsely accused Dr. Ayaad Assaad of being “a potential biological terrorist.” The writer of the letter claimed to have worked with Assaad and “heard him say that he has a vendetta against the U.S. government and that if anything happens to him, he told his sons to carry on.” The letter went on to urge the FBI to stop him. (Laura Rozen, “Fort Detrick’s anthrax mystery,” Salon, Jan. 26, 2002.)

On October 2, 2001, Assaad was called in for an interview with the FBI, who then proceeded to question him about the accusations made against him in the anonymous letter. According to Assaad’s testimony, only a former co-worker of his could have been privy to the personal information about him that was detailed in the letter. The FBI quickly cleared Assaad of the accusation that he could be a bio-terrorist, but showed little interest in talking with him further or in finding out who sent them the erroneous letter to begin with. Assaad was left puzzled by this, seeing as the letter turned out to be incredibly self-incriminating because it revealed an eerie foreknowledge of the imminent Anthrax attacks. The timing of the letter makes it quite apparent that whoever was behind the attempt to frame Assaad, was actually behind the Anthrax-tainted letters that turned up in the mail a short time later. “The whole world wants to talk to me, except the FBI… Something’s wrong here,” Assaad told the online publication Salon. (Ibid.) Assaad and his attorney Rosemary A. McDermott believe there is a very close connection between the unsigned letter accusing him of being a bio-terrorist and the person who actually sent the Anthrax to two U.S. Democratic Senators, NBC’s Tom Brokaw and others. Ms. McDermott said, “The person who wrote that letter knew intimate details of my client’s life and his professional history, and about the Fort Detrick operation. I don’t think that is a coincidence.” Assaad posited his own theory about the attempt to frame him, suggesting that his Arab background and expertise in biological and chemical agents made him the “perfect scapegoat.” (Ibid.) Assaad strongly suspected that whoever wrote and mailed the letter to the FBI knew in advance what was going to happen and created “a suitable, well-fitted scapegoat for this action.” (Justin Raimondo, “Cold Case,” The American Conservative, Sept. 25, 2006.)

Why was the FBI so reluctant to pursue this huge lead in the Anthrax investigation? The malicious author of the frame-up letter was a former colleague of Dr. Assaad who harbored a huge grudge against him. The only person who fits that description better than anyone else is Lt. Col. Philip Zack, the anti-Arab bully who tormented Assaad at the Fort Detrick lab in the early 1990s. The same lab where Zack was caught on a security camera making unauthorized visits late at night shortly before Anthrax spores had gone missing in 1992. The same lab where Bruce
E. Ivins, the Anthrax patsy who allegedly committed suicide, also worked. The same lab where the Anthrax spores used in the letters originated from. It really is an open and shut case. The real reason that the FBI refused to track down Philip Zack and question him about his very guilty and incriminating pattern of behavior surrounding Anthrax, Dr. Assaad and the Fort Detrick biowarfare lab, is because he is Jewish. And judging by his vicious anti-Arab racism, he appears to be a radical pro-Israel Zionist, a terrorist sayan for the Mossad. (Hector Carreon, “FBI Closes in on Anthrax Terrorist: Prime Suspect is a Zionist,” La Vox De Atzlan, Feb. 26, 2002.)

Commenting on the debacle surrounding Dr. Assaad, Le Vox De Atzlan opined that the USAMRIID lab had been overtaken in the early 1990s by a rogue network of Jewish-Zionist conspirators led by Lt. Col. Philip Zack, who were endeavoring to steal Anthrax from the lab to use in a biological attack and have it blamed on Arabs. Hector Carreon explained:

“The racist and bigoted attacks on Dr. Ayaad Assaad by Zionist Philip Zack and others started while he worked at the Army’s bioweapons lab at Fort Detrick in Maryland during the 1990’s. This is when a vicious racist vendetta was launched against the scientist of Arab descent. A group of coworkers led by then Army Lt. Col. Philip Zack began a hateful campaign to harass and get Dr. Assaad fired from his duties. The Zionists apparently wanted to get rid of anyone that could uncover their sinister plans which consisted in stealing “weapons grade anthrax” and other deadly viruses used in biological weapons.” (Ibid.)

Although it cannot be proven for certain that Philip Zack personally sealed and mailed the Anthrax letters, the circumstantial evidence surrounding Dr. Zack, Dr. Assad, USAMRIID and the anonymous frame-up letter sent to the FBI that was designed to implicate Assaad in the soon-to-happen Anthrax attacks, paints a convincing picture that Lt. Col. Philip Zack is the guilty party behind all of this chaos and subversion. All of the facts of the case have yet to be uncovered, due to the deliberate failure of the FBI to properly investigate the matter, but the evidences compiled here form a narrative that certainly makes a lot more sense than the bizarre Bruce Ivins storyline. The initial propaganda had it that Al-Qaeda and Iraq were behind the Anthrax attack. When that flimsy narrative fell apart the real perpetrators needed a scapegoat to take the fall, which is why Bruce Ivins’ alleged suicide proved to be a godsend for the FBI and their cover-up of what really happened. A clear pattern of Federal protection of Dr. Zack leads me to believe that he was part of a covert Mossad operation to orchestrate the Anthrax terror scare. Beholden to Jewish power, the FBI has shown itself to be nothing more than a corrupt conduit of the Zionist Lobby in America, routinely ditching extremely substantial clues that lead to Jewish, Zionist and Israeli suspects, while relentlessly pursuing phony leads that cast suspicion upon Arab and Muslim patsies. If Zack had been properly investigated and shown to be the criminal mastermind behind the Anthrax attacks, the entire facade of Israeli deceit behind 9/11 itself would have come crashing down. The Jewish overseers of the American government and media establishment simply could not let that happen, and did everything in their power to prevent it.

In addition to propping up Jewish war propaganda to justify the invasion of Iraq and Afghanistan and a war against the entire Muslim world, the second underlying motive behind the Anthrax terror scare was to intimidate the U.S. Congress into rubber-stamping the war agenda in the Middle East and the erosion of civil liberties on the homefront through the U.S. “Patriot
Act,” which was introduced in Congress on the 2nd of October, 2001. The Bush administration pressed the Congress to pass the freedom-killing “anti-terror” legislation within a one week timeframe but encountered obstacles in two Democratic Senators, Tom Daschle (the Senate Majority Leader) and Patrick Leahy. Anthony York of Salon noted that Patrick Leahy, the Senator from Vermont, was “one of the president’s most outspoken critics since Sept. 11, as the administration has moved aggressively to curtail civil liberties in its war on terrorism.” (“Why Daschle and Leahy?,” Salon, Nov. 22, 2001.) Daschle, as Senate Majority Leader, was in a key position to block or slow the passage of the Patriot Act, and was intent on doing so until certain events transpired that changed his mind, namely, the Anthrax attacks. It just so happens that both Daschle and Leahy were both personally targeted by the Anthrax mail terrorist, although both managed to avoid being harmed. The Anthrax hysteria resulted in the hurried passage of the Patriot Act through Congress. Most Congressmen did not even read the legislation before signing it into law, fearing another 9/11 or more Anthrax letters.

The LA Times made the honest observation that, “The anthrax attacks, coming on the heels of Sept. 11, had enduring effects. They deepened fears of terrorism and helped advocates of a U.S. invasion of Iraq make their case to Congress and the public. They prompted an expensive and risky expansion of federally funded biodefense laboratories.” (“The anthrax killings: A troubled mind,” Los Angeles Times, May 29, 2011.) Glenn Greenwald, writing for Salon, noted the significance of the Anthrax scare to benefit the Bush administration’s “War on Terror” crusade and their desire for unlimited defense spending, stating:

“It is hard to overstate the political significance of the anthrax attacks. For reasons I’ve described at length, that event played at least as much of a role as the 9/11 attacks in elevating the Terrorism fear levels which, through today, sustain endless wars, massive defense and homeland security budgets, and relentless civil liberties erosions. ... in essence, it was anthrax that convinced large numbers of Americans that Terrorism was something that could show up without warning at their doorstep — though something as innocuous as their mailbox — in the form of James-Bond-like attacks featuring invisible, lethal powder.

Moreover, anthrax was exploited in the aftermath of 9/11 to ratchet up the fear levels toward Saddam Hussein, as ABC News’ Brian Ross spent a full week screeching to the country — falsely — that bentonite had been found in the anthrax and that this agent was the telltale sign of Iraq’s chemical weapons program, while George Bush throughout 2002 routinely featured “anthrax” as one of Saddam’s scary weapons.” (“Serious doubt cast on FBI’s anthrax case against Bruce Ivins,” Salon, Feb. 16, 2011.)

Much like 9/11 itself, the only beneficiaries of the Anthrax attacks were Israel, the U.S. Federal government and the out-of-control U.S. defense establishment. The people who benefited least of all from the event were Arabs and Muslims who have been on the receiving end of U.S. depleted uranium bombs; and Bruce Ivins, who ended up dead in a hospital with too much Tylenol in his stomach. Evidence that some top officials in the White House may have had foreknowledge of the Anthrax attacks came to the surface in October of 2001. On the night of the
September 11 attacks, the White House dispensed an antibiotic known as Cipro to its staff. This drug is effective in combating the Anthrax virus. Sandra Sobieraj, a writer for the Associated Press, reported:

“On the night of the Sept. 11 attacks, the White House Medical Office dispensed Cipro to staff accompanying Vice President Dick Cheney as he was secreted off to the safety of Camp David, and told them it was “a precaution,” according to one person directly involved. At that time, nobody could guess the dimensions of the terrorists’ plot.” (“White House Mail Machine Has Anthrax,” Washington Post, Oct. 23, 2001.)

How did the White House know to give out this drug a full month before any trace of Anthrax turned up in Washington? Were they tipped off? Indeed they were, according to the Winter Patriot Blog, which reported that “[o]n September 11, 2001, Jerome Hauer advised the White House to begin taking Cipro, an antibiotic which is effective against anthrax.” (“Meet Jerome Hauer, 9/11 Suspect Awaiting Indictment,” Winter Patriot Blogspot, Feb. 26, 2007.) We should have another look at this slimy character. As a national security adviser of the National Institute of Health (NIH), Jerome Hauer directed that organization’s response to the Anthrax scare, and was thus in a prime position to know what was really going on throughout the duration of the biological terror campaign. Why did Hauer tell the White House staff to take Cipro if he wasn’t aware that something bad was about to happen involving Anthrax? The Winter Patriot explained further:

“Jerome Hauer received relatively good information for tracking down the origin of the anthrax. He even got a list of people from various institutes, including USAMRIID at Fort Detrick. But his response was slow and hidden behind a public relations campaign spreading Orwellian claims like “Suspects are Osama bin Laden and his Al-Q’aeda network and sympathizers to US right wing extremists”. Why would he act so slowly, and in such an inappropriate fashion? Perhaps because Jerome Hauer knew someone whose name was on that list? Stephen Hatfill, at one time considered a prime suspect in this still-unsolved case, had worked for USAMRIID at Fort Detrick. Strangely, perhaps, he had also worked with Jerome Hauer, for Scientific Applications International Corporation, at the Center for Counterterrorism Technology and Analysis.” (Ibid.)

Hauer’s hands just keep getting dirtier and dirtier. He has had a long career as a specialist in the field of bio-chemicals, bio-weapons and infectious diseases. (“Who is Jerome “Jerry” Hauer?”, 911 Omission Report Website, Mar. 13, 2004.) In the early 1990s, Hauer participated in the Johns Hopkins Working Group on Civilian Bio Defense, where he wrote various articles about a possible bioterrorist attack. In 1998, he lobbied Rudy Giuliani to develop a vaccine for the West Nile virus a year before the virus broke out in New York, upon which he subsequently spearheaded the West Nile spraying operation in NYC. He routinely gives lectures about bioterrorism and writes scripts envisioning terror events that cause mass casualties and mass panic. He has participated in and organized all kinds of bioterror “drills” and “war games.” In 1999 he put together a conference in New York for journalists and leading bioterrorism ‘thinkers’ which he named “Reporting on Weapons of Mass Destruction – Responsibility,
Reliability, Readiness.” On November 6, 2001, Hauer took part in the “Independent Task Force on America’s Response to Terrorism” at the Jewish-run Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). He contributed to a paper that was produced by the group entitled “Improving the US Public Diplomacy In the War Against Terrorism”. Their agenda, eight weeks after the 9/11 attacks, included the following sinister objectives:

- **Release a White Paper explaining our goals and rationale for the war in Afghanistan, and outlining the evidence that the al-Qa’eda network was responsible for the 9/11 attacks.**

- **Disseminate stories of particular victims to convey the range of people killed in the 9/11 attacks — stress range of religions, races, income levels, etc.**

- **Counteract myth that Mossad was behind the attacks by showing Jews killed, etc.**

- **Routinely monitor the regional press in real time to enable prompt responses.**

As this report shows, Hauer and his Jewish elitist friends immediately mobilized to push their agenda of war against the Islamic world and to suppress the truth about Mossad's hand behind most of this monstrous malfeasance. Hauer positioned himself into multiple influential posts to coordinate the attacks and the response to them. He was a top official of Rudy Giuliani's "Office of Emergency Management" in NYC, the director of the NIH response to the Anthrax attacks, a close confidant of Larry Silverstein, a managing director of Kroll Associates (the WTC's security company), and made guest appearances on TV spewing Orwellian propaganda that "fires and jet fuel" caused the collapse of the Twin Towers and that Al-Qaeda was responsible for 9/11. Hauer, a Zionist Jew born and raised, is supremely guilty of terrorist crimes and treason against the American people. When and where will he be put on trial for this unspeakable evil?

The 9/11 attacks and the Anthrax terror scare were part of the same covert operation. This foreboding conspiracy to terrorize the people of America into a paranoid state of paralysing fear and helplessness was spearheaded by a terrorist network of Zionist Jews. All of the known facts collectively point in the direction of Israel, the Mossad and their helpers in America. The purpose and intent of this pernicious plot boils down to one thing: the Zionist quest to conquer the Middle East and have the ‘goyim’ do the dirty work. Israel’s leaders have the audacity to call their rogue nation ‘America’s greatest friend.’ That begs the question: with friends like Israel, who needs enemies?
CHAPTER ELEVEN

The Cover-Up: Zionist Insiders in Key Positions

The criminals who pulled off 9/11 would have never even attempted the operation if they were not completely certain that they could get away with it. Israel, as I have shown, has a long history of terrorism, particularly false flag provocations, and has to date never suffered any consequences for its wicked actions. When Jewish terrorists bombed the King David Hotel in 1946 killing nearly one hundred people, including dozens of British military officers, they got away with it. The mastermind of the attack, Menachem Begin, later went on to become the Prime Minister of Israel. When Israeli agents bombed American and British targets in Egypt in 1954 and tried to frame Egyptians for the attacks, they got away with it. When Israel attacked the USS Liberty in 1967 killing and wounding over two hundred American servicemen, they got away with it. In fact, the U.S. President at the time, Lyndon Baines Johnson, dutifully helped Israel whitewash the atrocity by going along with the insulting Zionist nonsense that the attack was just an innocent ‘case of mistaken identity.’ Since that time, Israel has committed a litany of false flag terror attacks, hundreds if not thousands of targeted assassinations, and have murdered tens of thousands of Palestinians, Lebanese, Egyptians and other Arabs in military assaults, yet have gotten away with all of it. These historical precedents irrefutably demonstrate that it is certainly within the power of Israel and the Jewish crime network to commit mass murder without any repercussions.

The cover-up of what really happened on 9/11 was largely organized by one behind-the-scenes string puller: Michael Chertoff. Chertoff is 9/11’s leading cover-up man who oversaw the official “investigation,” or lack thereof, into the attacks. In his key position as the Assistant Attorney General heading the U.S. Justice Department’s “criminal division,” Chertoff had oversight of all of the critical evidence that could prove what actually happened on that day and determine who was responsible. Instead of revealing what happened, Chertoff engaged in a concerted effort to hide and bury all of the anomalies and occurrences that didn’t fit with the narrative that the Zionist-occupied Bush administration wanted the public to believe.

It is highly relevant to point out that Michael Chertoff is not only a Talmudic Jew, he is a dual citizen of the U.S. and the state of Israel whose late mother Livia Eisen, an El Al airlines employee, participated in a secret Mossad mission to transfer Yemenite Jews to occupied Palestine in the 1950s, code-named “Operation Magic Carpet.” (Chris Bollyn, “Michael Chertoff’s Childhood in Israel,” Bollyn Official Website, Oct. 26, 2007.) Chertoff’s entire family are dedicated Jewish supremacists and zealous Zionists. His father and grandfather, who had emigrated to the U.S. from Tsarist Russia, were both rabbis and prominent teachers of the...
Talmud. (Chris Bollyn, “Controlled Press Hides Chertoff’s Israeli Roots,” Bollyn Website, Mar. 4, 2005.) His wife, Meryl Chertoff, served on the Board of the New Jersey branch of the Jewish hate group, the ADL of B’nai B’rith, and received an award from the group for her diligent work to advance the Zionist cause. (Huffington Post) Why in the world is a man who is a citizen of a foreign nation — a nation whose intelligence operatives were caught red-handed on 9/11 participating in the attack — allowed to head the official ‘investigation’ into this crime? To say that this would be the equivalent to putting the fox in charge of the hen house would be an understatement.

The astute Iraqi-American writer Jonathan Azaziah, in his informative study of this matter entitled “9/11: Israel’s Grand Deception,” accurately depicted Michael Chertoff as the “savior of the Israeli spy ring.” Performing his duties as a loyal ‘sayan,’ Chertoff went straight to work to hide Israel’s participation in the attacks. Chertoff and his synagogue buddy Michael Mukasey, the New Jersey judge who ensured that Larry Silverstein got a massive insurance payout on his destroyed buildings, arranged for the release of the over two hundred Israeli spies and terrorists who had been arrested before, on and after 9/11, including the infamous five dancing Israelis and the ones who were arrested trying to plant explosives on the George Washington Bridge. Chertoff and his Jewish cohorts put intense pressure on the FBI to close down all investigations into the Israeli suspects. Chris Bollyn reported:

“In the days after the attacks, Mukasey and other New York judges worked behind closed doors, seeing some of the first material witnesses detained by federal authorities. This must have included a large number of Israelis, particularly the “five dancing Israelis,” who were seen celebrating as they videotaped the destruction of the World Trade Center.” (“Bush Nominates Zionist Jewish Judge Involved in Key 9-11 Judgements to Serve as U.S. Attorney General,” Gnostic Liberation Front, Sept. 17, 2007.)

After months of feverish lobbying and maneuvering on the part of Chertoff and Zionist groups like AIPAC and the ADL, all of the Israeli terror suspects who were being held in U.S. jails were quietly released back to Israel. The cover-up was in full swing. At 9:45 am on 9/11, all American civilian flights were ordered to be grounded by the Transportation Secretary Norman Mineta. In contravention of this rule, a fully loaded flight with the Israeli airline El Al was allowed to take off from JFK airport at 4:11 in the afternoon heading to Tel Aviv, according to Wayne Madsen. The flight’s departure, says Madsen’s sources, was “authorized by the direct intervention of the U.S. Department of Defense.” (“Full El Al flight took off on 9/11 from JFK to Tel Aviv,“ Wayne Madsen Report, Mar. 16, 2010.) Who within the Department of Defense authorized this flight? Who were the Israelis onboard this suspicious flight? Were they Mossad agents? And why were Israelis allowed to fly back to Israel on 9/11, when all other airlines in the country were grounded? In a Zionist America, Israelis are given privileges that even American citizens are not afforded. For obvious reasons, Michael Chertoff was not willing to investigate the matter.

In a criminal effort to obscure the arrest of nearly one hundred Israelis in the aftermath of 9/11, Chertoff organized a massive round-up of thousands of Arabs and Muslims in the New York/New Jersey area and falsely classified them as ‘9/11 suspects’ in order to make the arrests
of Israelis appear less conspicuous. (Mike Whitney, “Failing Upwards: The Rise of Michael Chertoff,” CounterPunch, Jan. 22-24, 2005.) The Jewish-owned press refused to cover the story of the Israeli arrests anyway and there was no protest from the Bush administration when they were all released. For his determined efforts to cover-up Israel’s involvement in 9/11 and falsely pin the crime on Muslims, Chertoff was later appointed by President George Bush as the second director of the newly created “Homeland Security” Department — the organ that would be used to implement a police state in America. Bush heaped accolades on Chertoff, praising him as “a key leader in the war on terror.” (Ibid.)

Chertoff is the principal architect of the “Patriot Act,” the treasonous legislation railroaded through Congress immediately after 9/11 which essentially eroded the Bill of Rights and Constitution, giving the U.S. government dictatorial powers to be able to legally spy on and arrest American citizens merely on suspicion or other nebulous justifications. In the words of writer Mike Whitney, the Patriot Act was a “300-plus page blueprint for the modern National Security State; patterned to great extent on the successes of the KGB in the Soviet system.” (Ibid.) The freedom-destroying act had already been written and prepared by Chertoff and his associates long before 9/11, waiting to be picked up off the shelf and signed into law. The enemies of freedom were banking on a 9/11-style event which would create circumstances conducive to executing their insidious plans to transform America into a re-run of the communist hell of Soviet Russia. By all honest accounts, Chertoff is an anti-American, anti-gentile Bolshevik brigand and a ring leader of the Jewish crime network. He bears a striking resemblance to communist despot Vladimir Lenin, and indeed shares Lenin’s insatiable appetite for power and control over the population, whom they view as mere sheep and cattle to be herded and culled. The enormity of Chertoff’s crimes and treason against the United States cannot be quantified with mere words.

Another cardinal culprit responsible for the concealment of Israel’s hand in 9/11 is Alvin K. Hellerstein, a judge for the Federal District Court in Manhattan. He has been involved in several high-profile 9/11 related cases, including a consolidated master case against three airlines, ICTS International NV and Pinkerton’s airport security firms, the World Trade Center owners, and Boeing Co., the aircraft manufacturer. Hellerstein has done everything in his power to shield the Mossad-linked airport security firm ICTS, and its U.S.-based subsidiary ‘Huntleigh USA’ which provided “passenger screening” at several of the airports involved in 9/11, from prosecution. (Chris Bollyn, “Behind Closed Doors: Israelis Dismissed from 9/11 Trial,” Bollyn Website, June 12, 2011.) No airline or airport security company has been found negligent by his court, and not one victim’s lawsuit has made it past his byzantine rules and been granted a trial. In an effort to hurriedly sweep 9/11 under the carpet to avoid any embarrassing revelations that would inevitably emerge through lawsuits, he told the families who lost loved ones on September 11th: “We have to get past 9/11. Let it go. Life is beautiful. Life is short. Live out your years. Take the award.” (Tom Flocco, “NY judge ‘sanitizes’ 9/11 airline trials, blocks key fed witness testimony,” Flocco Official Website, June 28, 2007.)

Alvin Hellerstein has a clear conflict of interest and as such is not suitable to preside over these trials. Besides the fact that Hellerstein and his entire family are Jewish and zealous Zionists with long-standing ties to Israel, his son Joseph is a lawyer in Israel with Amit, Pollak, and Matalon, a law firm which represents the Israeli owners of ICTS and Huntleigh USA. (Chris
Bollyn, “Judge Hellerstein’s Unethical Connection to Key Defendant in 9-11 Lawsuit,” Bollyn Website, May 10, 2010.) Hellerstein’s wife is a former senior officer and treasurer of AMIT, Americans for Israel and the Torah. His late sister, Helene Mullhall, made “Aliyah” to Israel. (Chris Bollyn, “Is 9-11 Judge Hellerstein Working for Israel?,” Rumor Mill News, Oct. 23, 2007.) Christopher Bollyn discovered that in 1956, a young Hellerstein was on board the same flight from Mexico City to New York City as Hank Greenspun, a notorious Jewish gangster who was heavily involved with illegally smuggling weapons to Zionist forces in occupied Palestine. Bollyn writes:

“The 23-year-old Alvin K. Hellerstein traveled from Mexico City in August 1956 when the Suez Crisis was getting very hot and at a time when U.S. weapons sales to Israel were banned. Given the fact that the Israelis used Mexico City for all kinds of illegal shipments of weapons and drugs, and seeing that Hellerstein is doing everything possible to protect the Israeli defendants in the 9-11 litigation, it is fair to ask: What was Alvin Hellerstein doing in the summer of 1956 and exactly what are his ties to the State of Israel?” (Ibid.)

Two more rabid Jewish-Zionist lawyers, Kenneth Feinberg and Sheila Birnbaum, were brought in to persuade 9/11 victims’ families not to pursue any lawsuits against the airlines, the U.S. government, and most especially the Israeli Mossad-run security firm ICTS. Feinberg was appointed by the Attorney General John Ashcroft to be the ‘Special Master’ of the 9/11 “victims compensation fund,” working on the issue for 33 months entirely pro-bono. (“Compensation Czar Kenneth Feinberg,” TIME Magazine, Oct. 23, 2009.) This entity provided lump sums of cash to the families of the victims of 9/11 in exchange for binding promises that they wouldn’t file any lawsuits against the government or parties involved with the events of 9/11, such as the airlines and airport security companies. Little did they know that their own government was fully complicit in the attacks, assisting Israel. Feinberg managed to persuade 97 percent of the victims’ families to take the money in exchange for renouncing their right to sue the 9/11 criminals, awarding $7 billion in total compensation money. Feinberg was notoriously corrupt in his practices — one family member of a 9/11 victim called him “patronizing, manipulative and at times, even cruel.” (“Countering loss with compassion and money,” Los Angeles Times, Nov. 30, 2011.)

Sheila Birnbaum was a lawyer for the Jewish-dominated law firm “Skadden, Arps,” which Jonathan Azaziah has described as “a Zionist fifth column in the United States that is loaded to the brim with Hebrew-speaking, dual-citizen Zionist attorneys hellbent on assisting ‘Israeli’ companies in raising capital outside of the Zionist entity.” (“The 9/11 Delusion: Israel’s False Flag, Jingoism And Inhumanity,” Mask of Zion, Sept. 17, 2011.) She served as the ‘special mediator’ for the cases of the 3 percent of victims’ families who decided not to take Feinberg’s bribes and seek justice for their lost loved ones through the courts. (“The 9/11 Victim Settlements: A Chat With Skadden’s Sheila Birnbaum,” Wall Street Journal, Mar. 13, 2009.) In this function, Birnbaum used heavy-handed tactics to brow-beat many of these families into abandoning their quests for justice, acquiescing to the cash award from Feinberg’s corrupt compensation fund. This treacherous team of corrupt attorneys, lawyers and judges — unified by their Jewish heritage and Zionist ideology — worked in lock-step to suppress the truth about 9/11.
Another central aspect of the cover-up entailed the criminal destruction of the steel of the collapsed World Trade Center towers, in order to prevent studies from being undertaken that could determine what actually caused their unfathomable collapse at nearly free-fall speed. Two companies were involved in hurriedly processing and shipping over 300,000 tons of WTC steel to smelters in China and India: Metals Management and Hugo Neu Schnitzer East. Metals Management was owned by the Zionist Jew Alan D. Ratner. (“World Trade Center scrap sails for India, China,” Rediff, Jan. 21, 2002.) Hugo Neu Schnitzer East is a New Jersey scrap metal company that was established in 1947 by the German Jew and Rothschild agent Hugo Neu. Christopher Bollyn dug up some rather interesting connections between this company and Israeli firms specializing in producing the explosive substance nanothermite that was found in the dust of the pulverized Twin Towers, writing:

“Today, Hugo Neu is invested in an Israeli venture capital fund called the Agua-Agro Fund. The Agua-Agro fund is managed by Nir Belzer, a senior manager and co-founder of Israel’s Millenium Materials Technologies Funds with a man named Oren Gafri. From 1979 to 1989, Gafri served as an executive of the Israeli Aircraft Industries Ltd (IAI), Bedek Division, as the Manager of Materials and Process, in charge of the Chemical, Metallurgical, Composite and Non Destructive Testing (NDT) facilities, Labs and R&D. He trained at Israel’s Nuclear Research Center (Dimona) in the Negev Desert. Gafri is a specialist in energetic nano-composite coatings exactly like the one that pulverized the 220 acres of concrete floors in the World Trade Center. Belzer and Gafri’s MMT Fund is invested in several companies that produce such coatings. These connections are certainly not entirely coincidental.” (“Hugo Neu and the Giuliani Partners Who Destroyed the Steel of 9/11,” Bollyn Website, April 18, 2011.)

Hugo Neu’s managing director was Robert A. Kelman, a Zionist Jew. Two other Zionist Jews from Belgium, Jehuda Saar and Nathan Fruchter, were placed in the company to manage its international trading division. They worked closely with Marc Rich, the notorious Jewish fugitive, financial gangster and Mossad spy who was indicted for countless crimes, but was later pardoned by the Zionist puppet Bill Clinton. Bollyn explained the significance of these associations in this way:

“Marc Rich has long worked for the Mossad and with the Bush family. The Marc Rich foundations are managed by a high-level Mossad agent named Avner Azulay. The Saar-Fruchter international trading division at Hugo Neu was the Mossad network through which the 300,000 tons of steel from the World Trade Center were “recycled” in Asian steel mills. The steel was cut up into small pieces about two meters long before being sent to Chinese and Indian steel mills, which means that the steel evidence from the Twin Towers was being destroyed in the scrap yard of Hugo Neu before it was loaded onto ships bound for China.” ("Who Destroyed the 9/11 Evidence?,” Bollyn Website, Dec. 25, 2010.)

Overseeing and approving all of this criminal destruction of evidence was the mayor of New York City on 9/11, Rudy Giuliani, a servile stooge of Jewish interests. A hawkish supporter of Israel, Giuliani once said jokingly that he is a “half Israeli citizen now,” due to his frequent
visits to that nation to pander to Zionist politicians. ("Giuliani Meets With Israel’s Olmert,” New York Times, June 18, 2007.) Groomed by Jewish billionaires and surrounded by Zionists, Giuliani served as nothing more than window dressing for the Jewish crime network. To appease his Jewish handlers, he falsely asserted that since 9/11 the “vast majority of Americans feel an increased kinship with Israel.” (The Jewish Voice and Opinion, April 2007.) A central figure who took a leading role in the so-called “clean up” process of getting rid of the steel rubble of the WTC was Richard J. Sheirer, Rudy Giuliani’s right-hand man who he called the “man behind the curtain” of his administration. New York Magazine dubbed him the invisible “man behind the mayor,” pulling Giuliani’s strings from behind the scenes. (Amanda Griscom, “Man Behind the Mayor,” New York Magazine, Oct. 15, 2001.) A New York board of Rabbis named Sheirer a “Jewish Knight” after Rudy Giuliani and several other top NYC officials were knighted in England. (The Jewish Week, Jan. 18, 2002.) When, on January 1, 2002, Michael Bloomberg took over for Rudy Giuliani as Mayor of New York City, Sheirer stayed over into the new administration to continue to manage the removal of the WTC steel from Ground Zero, saying he was doing so “to finish what I started.” (Ibid.) Bloomberg is, of course, a Jewish-Zionist kingpin with a net worth of $25 billion, the tenth richest man in America.

The final and most imperative aspect of the cover-up was the U.S. government’s sham official report on the attack. The Bush administration held off a whopping 411 days before finally establishing a rigged ‘commission’ on November 27, 2002, to whitewash what happened. Called the “9/11 Commission,” this group of government insiders were paid to lie to the American people about what really happened on 9/11. The 9/11 commission’s budget was a measly $14 million, hardly enough money to investigate the biggest case of mass murder on American soil. Compare that figure with the $40 million budget that was allocated to an investigation of Bill Clinton’s extramarital affair with Monica Lewinsky! Something is seriously wrong with that picture. The commission’s report, titled the “9/11 Commission Report,” was a work of pure fantasy, riddled with errors, innuendo, speculation, distortions and lies. In a televised interview, Michael Scheuer, a 22-year veteran of the CIA and former chief of its ‘Bin Laden Unit,’ told Judge Napolitano of Fox Business News that the 9/11 Commission Report is a “whitewash and a lie from top to bottom.” (“U.S. Army & CIA – 9/11 Commission A Cover Up From Top To Bottom,” YouTube) Out of the ten primary 9/11 commission members, three are discernibly Jewish: Jamie Gorelick, John Lehman, and Richard Ben-Veniste. Of the seventy-eight staff workers at the commission, at least twenty of them can be identified as Jewish by name, including the “Executive Director” Philip Zelikow, the “General Counsel” Daniel Marcus and the “Deputy for Communications” Alvin S. Felzenberg. (“National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States,” Official Website) The most important and influential of the
Jewish staff members on the commission was undoubtedly Philip Zelikow. In his key position as the “Executive Director” of the group, Zelikow was the leader of the pack who was responsible for selecting what would and what would not be included in the final report.

Zelikow was a Bush administration insider, serving on the President’s transition team in early 2000. From 1989-91 Zelikow served with Condoleeza Rice on the National Security Council, and in 1995 they wrote a book together. From 1996-98, Zelikow was Director of the Aspen Strategy Group which also included Condoleeza Rice, Dick Cheney and Paul Wolfowitz as members. In his book “The Commission: The Uncensored History of the 9/11 Investigation,” author Philip Shenon pointed out that Zelikow had a clear conflict of interest, in that he wrote a policy paper in 2002 for the Bush administration advocating a maniacal strategy of “pre-emptive” warfare. On CSPAN’s Book TV, Shenon bolstered the case that Zelikow had been intimately involved in formulating policy for the White House and thus was not suitable to serve as the director of the inquiry into 9/11, noting:

“Zelikow was the author of a very important document issued by the White House in Sept. 2002 that really turned military doctrine on its head and said that the United States could become involved in pre-emptive war, pre-emptive defense, that we could attack a nation that didn’t pose an immediate military threat to this country. And obviously in September 2002, it sure appeared that document was being written with one target in mind: Iraq.” (“Shenon: Zelikow Designed Bush Administration’s Pre-Emptive War Doctrine In 2002,” Think Progress, Mar. 10, 2008.)

In his book Shenon discerned that “[t]he appointment of Zelikow to head the inquiry into America’s response to the September 11 terrorist attacks was akin to putting the fox in charge of the hen house.” One area of Zelikow’s expertise is ‘public mythology.’ While at Harvard, Zelikow wrote about the use and misuse of history in policymaking.

“Prof. Zelikow’s area of academic expertise is the creation and maintenance of, in his words, ‘public myths’ or ‘public presumptions’ which he defines as ‘beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known with certainty) and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community.’ In his academic work and elsewhere he has taken a special interest in what he has called ‘searing’ or ‘molding’ events (that) take on ‘transcendent’ importance and therefore retain their power even as the experiencing generation passes from the scene. . . . He has noted that ‘a history’s narrative power is typically linked to how readers relate to the actions of individuals in the history; if readers cannot make the connection to their own lives, then a history may fail to engage them at all.’” (“Rape Story – Phillip D. Zelikow… 911 Myth Maker,” Rense, Oct. 12, 2007 / “Thinking about Political History,” Miller Center Report, Winter 1999, pp. 5-7)

In a set of circumstances that even Orwell could never have imagined, the author of the 9/11 Commission Report was a specialist in how to misuse public trust and create myths to shape the perception of the masses around a predetermined political agenda! Stunning, but it gets better. In 1998, Zelikow co-authored a paper with former CIA director John M. Deutch, a Zionist Jew. Entitled “Catastrophic Terrorism,” Zelikow and Deutch prophetically envisioned a
“transforming event” rather like Pearl Harbor, that would “divide our past and future into a before and after” and would result in police state measures being enacted. Zelikow wrote:

“… the resulting horrific horror and chaos would have exceeded our ability to describe it. Such an act of catastrophic terrorism would be a watershed event in American history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented in peacetime and undermine America’s fundamental sense of security, as did the Soviet atomic bomb test in 1949. Like Pearl Harbor, the event would divide our past and future into a before and after. The United States might respond with draconian measures scaling back civil liberties, allowing wider surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects and use of deadly force.” (“Catastrophic Terrorism: Tackling the New Danger,” Foreign Affairs, volume 77, issue 6, November/December 1998, pages 80-94.)

Ever since this 1998 paper, everything has evolved exactly as Zelikow had predicted it would! As the executive director of the 9/11 Commission, Zelikow conceived the myth that has blinded so many people and created so much hatred, carnage, chaos and destruction — the myth of Muslim culpability for 9/11. As Zelikow has implied, the post-9/11 world depends entirely on “public myths” — fairy tales invented by society’s Jewish supremacist supervisors who perpetuate the illusion of freedom, democracy and the rule of law. As we shall see later on in these pages, these mythical stories and illusory inventions that are presented to the public as ‘authorized history,’ are an indispensable ideological weapon of International Jewry with which to subdue their gentile host population and march them into endless wars with their enemies, wherever they may happen to be in the world.

New York City – an enclave of Jewish financial, media and political power – was the perfect staging ground for a Mossad false flag operation with the courts, banks, city councils, mayor’s office, governor’s office, World Trade Center, and even the scrap yards, in their hands. Jews are so dominant and influential in New York, noted MSNBC, that “even non-Jewish mayors take counsel from rabbis.” (“Bloomberg — America’s first Jewish president?,” MSNBC, July 6, 2007.) Did it happen merely by chance that at every critical juncture of the 9/11 ‘investigation’ we find Jews who possess affectionate attachments with Israel standing firmly in the way of truth and justice? A political cover-up of this magnitude required a supremely organized and influential network of individuals, united around a common goal and motivated by a common interest. That network is a Jewish network and the goal that they ferociously pursue is Zionism — Jewish hegemony in the Middle East.
CHAPTER TWELVE

The Terrorism Industry: A Kosher Racket

Much like ‘the Holocaust industry,’ the terrorism industry is a perfidious kosher racket for Jewish economic and political gain. Statistics show that more Americans die each year as a result of peanut allergies, bee stings, accidental drownings, deer accidents and lightning strikes than from terrorism. You are more likely to be killed in a car crash or by a tornado than by the hand of a terrorist. (Paul Joseph Watson, “Peanuts Kill More Americans Than Terrorists,” Prison Planet, Jan. 5, 2007.) So why have we been inundated with hype, hysteria and fear mongering about terrorism?

Since 9/11, Western statesmen have claimed to be engaged in a struggle against terrorism which has been dubbed the “war on terror.” The primary aim of organized terrorism is to terrorize populations and governments into acquiescing to their political demands through the use of violent force. The only way this can be achieved, is by generating a sufficient amount of fear to make people believe that their lives are significantly threatened by potential terrorism. This false fear of terrorism is what is needed for the terrorists to succeed; without the fear of terrorism they are essentially disarmed. If Western governments were actually trying to win a ‘war on terror’ then they would do everything possible to alleviate people’s fear of terrorism by pointing out that an individual is more likely to be struck by lightning than killed in a terrorist attack. But that’s not what Western leaders are doing; instead they have consistently attempted to psychologically intimidate their own populations by hyping “terrorist threats,” fear mongering about “weapons of mass destruction” and predicting mushroom clouds over large cities.

Anyone of average intelligence should understand by now that there is no ‘war on terror,’ as our traitorous leaders tell us, but only the illusion of one. The governments and politicians who claim to be fighting a war against terrorism are the greatest terrorists and killers of all. How can people who commit terrorism on a grand scale, like the governments of the U.S., Britain and Israel, claim to be trying to eradicate terrorism in the world? The Orwellian hypocrisy of this narrative requires a special kind of depravity on the part of its purveyors. The Jewish-owned mass media would have us believe that modern terrorism is a uniquely ‘Islamic’ phenomenon. According to a study released by Duke University and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, “the terrorist threat posed by radicalized Muslim-Americans has been exaggerated.” (Study: Threat of Muslim-American terrorism in U.S. exaggerated,” CNN, Jan. 6, 2010.) Not only has it been exaggerated, it is an outright Zionist media deception. The Zionist big lie of the ‘Islamic terrorist threat’ has been obliterated not only by the facts about Jewish terrorism already presented in this work, but by the FBI’s own statistics on terrorism as well. The FBI’s stats on terrorist incidents in the United States by group from 1980 to 2005, shows that 5 percent of terror attacks were committed by communists, 6 percent by Muslim extremists, 7 percent by Jewish extremists, 24 percent by radical leftists, 42 percent by Latinos and 16 percent is listed as ‘other.’ By the FBI’s own records, radical Jews commit more acts of terrorism in the U.S. than radical Muslims! (“All Terrorists are Muslims…Except the 94% that Aren’t,” Loon Watch, Jan. 20, 2010.)
So why aren’t the American media and government warning us of the dire threat of Jewish, Latino and leftist terrorism? Why have they misled us to believe that all terrorists are Muslims? The media is clearly pursuing a Zionist agenda to demonize Muslims who just happen to be the enemies of Israel. The terrorism statistics that the FBI has produced are not even accurate when it alleges that Muslims are responsible for 6 percent of the terrorist acts in America from 1980 to 2005, because all of the major terror attacks on U.S. soil that have been blamed on Muslims were actually committed, either in part or exclusively, by Israeli Intelligence with the help of the “Sayanim” network. All of the big ‘Muslim’ terror events have been false flag operations and most of the minor ones — or incidents that were supposedly ‘prevented’ from taking place by authorities — were created and set-up by the FBI itself! Since 9/11, the FBI has consistently tried to frame Muslims and, by utilizing informants and provocateurs, incite Muslims to commit terrorism. (“Are the feds planting terrorists?,” RT America, Mar. 23, 2010 / “FBI Cooked Up DC Bomb Plot?,” The Alyona Show (RT America), Oct. 28, 2010 / “Unplugged: FBI Creating Terrorists?,” The Alyona Show (RT America), Nov. 30, 2010.) According to a report put out by the RAND Corporation, since September 11, 2001, not a single U.S. civilian has been killed by an ‘Islamist’ bombing or assassination. (“RAND report: Threat of homegrown jihadism exaggerated, Zero civilians in U.S. killed since 9/11,” Loon Watch, May 08, 2010.) Since no Muslims are actually perpetrating acts of terrorism in America, the FBI has endeavored to entrap young American Muslims in sting operations in order to perpetuate the myth of Islam as the ‘perennial and existential threat of our times’ and to justify the Zionist agenda of a ‘war on terror.’

The mass media would have us believe that ‘Al-Qaeda’ operatives are lurking behind every corner ready to strike. Let’s have a closer look at some ‘Al-Qaeda’ people. On January 11, 2000, the Indian Bureau of Civil Aviation Security (BCAS) had issued a top secret circular warning of a possible hijack attempt on a Bangladesh Biman aircraft originating out of India. The following day, eleven men dressed in Islamic garb were detained by Indian authorities as suspected hijackers before boarding a flight headed to Bangladesh. It turned out that all eleven men were — despite their attempt at disguising themselves as Islamic preachers — Israeli nationals of Afghan origin, evidently recruited by Mossad for a secret mission. (“It is not unlikely for Mossad to recruit 11 Afghans in Iran and grant them Israeli citizenship to penetrate a network such as Bin Laden’s. They would begin by infiltrating them into an Islamic radical group in an unlikely place like Bangladesh,” said intelligence analyst Ashok Debbarma. Israel exerted intense pressure on the Indian government to secure the release of the eleven Mossad assets posing as Islamists. (Subir Bhaumik, “Aborted Mission: Investigation: Did Mossad Attempt to Infiltrate Islamic Radical Outfits in South Asia?,” The Week (India), Feb. 6, 2000.) Of course, there was no Western media coverage of this Mossad mishap in India.

It was noted earlier that Ahmad Ajaj, who is alleged to have been a major ‘Al-Qaeda’ kingpin that is said to have been involved in planning the 1993 WTC bombing, was a Mossad asset recruited out of an Israeli prison. In December of 2002, shortly after 9/11, Likud leader Ariel Sharon claimed that Al-Qaeda had established a foothold in the Gaza strip. (“Israel says al-Qaeda active in Gaza.” BBC News, Dec. 5, 2002.) Three days later Palestinian police uncovered a phony ‘Al-Qaeda’ cell in Gaza that had been set up and created by the Mossad. (“Israel ‘faked al-Qaeda presence.’” BBC News, Dec. 8, 2002.) In June of 2004, an Israeli Jew named Mera Doutvsky was caught trying to organize terror attacks in the Philippines under the umbrella of
‘Al-Qaeda.’ (Aris Ilagan, “In Baguio City: Israeli terror suspect falls; cops eye link to al-Qaeda,” The Manilla Bulletin Online, June 14, 2004.) In October of 2008, the president of Yemen, Ali Abdullah Saleh, announced that his security forces had arrested a group of ‘Islamist’ terrorists with established links to Israeli Intelligence and that the arrests were connected with an attack on the U.S. embassy in Sanaa which killed at least 18 people. (“Yemen Seizes ‘Israel-linked’ Cell,” BBC News, Oct. 7, 2008.) Mike Rivero, the webmaster of the What Really Happened alternative news website, has shown in his article entitled, “Fake Al Qaeda,” that every major personality whom the media has labeled an ‘Al-Qaeda’ kingpin have had long-standing connections with the American CIA, British MI6 or Israeli Mossad, usually operating as double agents for these terror agencies. Evidently, the ‘terrorists’ whom we are being told to fear are nothing more than double agents, useful idiots, dupes and patsies for the Jewish-Zionist conspirators behind the New World Order.

It gets better. An American named Adam Gadahn supposedly converted to Islam at age 17, renounced his U.S. citizenship, made a voyage to Pakistan, and is now a “senior operative, cultural interpreter, spokesman and media advisor for the Islamist group Al-Qaeda.” (“Adam Yahiye Gadahn,” Wikipedia) He has appeared in several ‘official’ Al-Qaeda videos preaching ‘Jihad’ against the United States. For these activities, Gadahn has been indicted for treason and has earned himself a top spot on the FBI’s “most wanted” list with a $1 million bounty for his capture. (“AZZAM THE AMERICAN: The making of an Al Qaeda homegrown,” The New Yorker, Jan. 22, 2007.) There is one huge problem with this story: Adam Gadahn is not an Arab, but a Jew whose real birth name is Adam Pearlman! Not only is he Jewish, his grandfather Carl Pearlman was a prominent Zionist activist from California who served on the board of the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith, was the first local chairman of the “Bonds for Israel” campaign and also the chairman of the “United Jewish Welfare Fund.” (Peggy Lowe, “Radical conversion: How a man with O.C. roots turned toward al-Qaida,” The Orange County Register, Nov. 18, 2009.) Dr. Carl Pearlman, according to his Jewish colleague Dr. Mel Singer, “had a very strong feeling for Israel” and “felt very sincerely and deeply that he wanted that country to survive.” It was when he was living with his Zionist ADL-affiliated Jewish grandfather that Adam Pearlman abruptly “converted” to Islam and moved to Pakistan. (Peggy Lowe, “Radical Conversion, Part 3: Meeting up with extremists,” The Orange County Register, Nov. 17, 2009.) Gadahn actually acknowledged all of this in one of his ‘official’ Al-Qaeda videos, perhaps in a desperate attempt to retain his credibility and cover. In the video Gadahn says, “Your speaker has Jews in his ancestry, the last of whom was his grandfather.” He affirmed that his grandfather was, in his words, “a zealous supporter of the [Israeli] usurper entity, and a prominent member of a number of Zionist hate organizations.” Gadahn continued, “He used to repeat to me what he claimed were the virtues of this entity [Israel] and encouraged me to visit it, specifically the city of Tel Aviv, where relatives of ours live.” (“American al Qaeda member acknowledges Jewish ancestry,” CNN, June 13, 2009.)

So, what is Gadahn’s purpose? I suspect that he is a Mossad double agent tasked with perpetuating the myth of Al-Qaeda’s responsibility for 9/11, and more generally to make Muslims look bad, evil and ‘scary.’ In one video, Gadahn says the followers of Osama bin Laden “love nothing better than the heat of battle, the echo of explosions and slitting the throats of the infidels.” (The Orange County Register, Nov. 18, 2009.) This type of wild rhetoric is designed to bolster Jewish propaganda about the threat of ‘Islamic extremism,’ portraying
Muslims as bloodthirsty killers and terrorists. There is simply no logical explanation for Gadahn’s miraculous overnight conversion from Zionism to ‘radical Islam.’ His activities as an Al-Qaeda spokesman cannot be interpreted as anything other than a propaganda show that serves the interests of Zionism.

Another ‘kosher jihadist’ who has received a lot of media attention was a New York City taxi driver calling himself ‘Yousef al-Khattab,’ the founder of a radical ‘Islamist’ website called “Revolution Muslim.” (“Revolution Muslim,” Wikipedia) On his website, Al-Khattab posted numerous provocative photographs of himself dressed in camo gear, wielding a long knife and generally looking like a ‘menacing Muslim.’ He caused a media frenzy after a contributor to his website made numerous threats against the producers of the comedy show “South Park” over that show’s depiction of Muhammad wearing a teddy bear costume. Formerly known as Joseph Cohen, this wannabe ‘Jihadist’ was born into a Jewish family in New York. In 2000 he moved to Israel as a Jewish settler and attended an Orthodox Rabbinical school, converting to Islam shortly thereafter, or so he claims. (“U.S. Based Revolution Muslim Website Spreading Messages of Hate,” FOX News, Mar. 26, 2008.)

It is difficult to believe that these two kosher clowns — Pearlman and Cohen — could depart from their Jewish upbringing and shake off their Zionist indoctrination so abruptly, becoming full-blown Islamic activists in such a short time-span. It just doesn’t smell right. The Jewish-owned media has given these two characters an inordinate amount of attention, which they only do with people whom they control and can use to advance an agenda. Pearlman and Cohen are not really ‘jihadis’ who decided to join forces with the likes of Osama bin Laden; rather, they are Jewish hucksters working to give Muslims a bad name and to perpetuate the myth of the ‘Al-Qaeda threat.’

The source for most of the videos released by ‘Al-Qaeda’ is IntelCenter, a U.S. based private intelligence organization that claims to “monitor terrorist activity.” (“IntelCenter,” Wikipedia) Since 9/11, the company has conveniently produced frightening images of Osama bin Laden and other media-made “bad guys” just when public opinion is flagging. IntelCenter is owned and run by Ben Venzke, a Zionist Jew. The company is an offshoot of IDEFENSE, which was staffed by senior PSYOP officer Jim Melnick, another Jew, who had previously answered directly to U.S. Secretary of State, Donald Rumsfeld. Melnick is the president of the group “Friends of Russian Jewry, Inc.” Neal Krawetz, an expert computer analyst, presented evidence at a 2007 BlackHat Security Conference in Las Vegas that so-
called ‘Al-Qaeda’ tapes are routinely digitally doctored. Krawetz’s most telling discovery came in the form of a detail contained in a 2006 Al-Qaeda tape of Ayman al-Zawahiri, showing that the IntelCenter logo and the ‘evil Islamic logo’ of As-Sahab (the alleged media arm of Al-Qaeda) were added to the video at the exact same time. (Paul Joseph Watson, “Analyst: Al-Qaeda Videotapes Digitally Doctored,” Prison Planet, Aug. 2, 2007.)

Another principal source for many scary ‘Al-Qaeda’ videos is the shady organization known as S.I.T.E. Intel Group, which is owned and operated by two fanatical Zionist Jews, Rita Katz and Josh Devon. The flaccid credibility of this Jewish-run group has been noted by researchers. Veterans Today chief editor Gordon Duff opined:

“Who says Al Qaeda takes credit for a bombing? Rita Katz. Who gets us bin Laden tapes? Rita Katz. Who gets us pretty much all information telling us Muslims are bad? Rita Katz? Rita Katz is the Director of Site Intelligence, primary source for intelligence used by news services, Homeland Security, the FBI and CIA. What is her qualification? She served in the Israeli Defense Force. She has a college degree and most investigative journalists believe the Mossad “helps” her with her information. We find no evidence of any qualification whatsoever of any kind. A bartender has more intelligence gathering experience. [...] How is it that a Jewish owned group like S.I.T.E. can outperform the world’s best and brightest in the intelligence field and be the first to know that a group like al-Qaeda is getting ready to release another tape?” (Gordon Duff and Brian Jobert: Is Israel Controlling Phony Terror News?,” Veterans Today, Dec. 30, 2009.)

To say that Katz has a ‘conflict of interest’ is an understatement. Born into a wealthy Jewish family in Iraq, her father was tried and executed as an Israeli spy by Saddam Hussein in the wake of the Six-Day War. Katz and the rest of her Zionist family escaped to Israel where she served in the Israeli Occupation Forces and received a degree from the Middle Eastern Studies program at Tel Aviv University. She immigrated to the U.S. in 1997, evidently bringing her Zionist ideology with her. (Benjamin Wallace-Wells, “Annals of Terrorism: Private Jihad,” The New Yorker, May 29, 2006.) In addition to inventing lies and propaganda about the “Islamist threat,” the racist Jews at S.I.T.E. are now monitoring White nationalists and patriots, calling their new kosher propaganda project: “Monitoring Service: White Supremacist Threat.” (“Zionist Jew “SITE” Now Tracking White Americans,” INCOG MAN, Jan. 20, 2012.)

The economic factor of the terrorism industry almost solely benefits Jews and Israel. This kosher racket is an enormous swindle designed to provide World Jewry with an inexhaustible source of cash flow to their coffers in Tel Aviv, the Zionist crime capital. The liberal Jewish writer Naomi Klein revealed in her book “The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism” that Israel saved its economy after the dot-com crash in 2000 by conveniently taking all of its chips and investing them in “anti-terror” security right before 9/11, an industry which has since ballooned into a multi-billion dollar enterprise as a result of the events of that day. On pages 542 and 550 of her book, Klein writes:

“The reasons for Israeli industry’s comfort level with disaster are not mysterious. Years before U.S. and European companies grasped the potential of the global security
boom, Israeli technology firms were busily pioneering the homeland security industry, and they continue to dominate the sector today. The Israeli Export Institute estimates that Israel has 350 corporations dedicated to selling homeland security products, and 30 new ones entered the market in 2007. [...]

With the most tech-dependent economy in the world, Israel was hit harder by the dot-com crash than anywhere else. The country went into immediate free fall, and by June 2001, analysts were predicting that roughly three hundred high-tech Israeli firms would go bankrupt, with tens of thousands of layoffs. The Tel Aviv business newspaper Globes declared in a headline that 2002 was the “Worst Year for Israeli Economy Since 1953.”

The only reason the recession was not even worse, the newspaper observed, was that the Israeli government quickly intervened with a powerful 10.7 percent increase in military spending, partially financed through cutbacks in social services. The government also encouraged the tech industry to branch out from information and communication technologies and into security and surveillance. In this period, the Israeli Defense Forces played a role similar to a business incubator. Young Israeli soldiers experimented with network systems and surveillance devices while they fulfilled their mandatory military services, then turned their findings into business plans when they returned to civilian life. A slew of new start-ups were launched, specializing in everything from “search and nail” data mining, to surveillance cameras, to terrorist profiling. When the market for these services and devices exploded in the years after September 11, the Israeli state openly embraced a new national economic vision: the growth provided by the dot-com bubble would be replaced with a homeland security boom.”

Josh Nathan-Kazis, a writer for the Jewish daily Forward, reported on how Jewish organizations and groups have gobbled up Homeland Security ‘anti-terrorism’ grants, since they started the program back in 2005. In his report he noted that:

“Jewish institutions throughout the United States will receive $9.7 million in federal anti-terrorism grants this year out of a total of $10 million allocated to not-for-profit institutions by the Department of Homeland Security. … A full 97% of the available funds in the Non-Profit Security Grant Program for 2012 have been allocated to Jewish organizations, compared with 73% that went to Jewish groups from 2007 through 2010. In 2011, Jewish groups received about 80% of NSGP funds. The NSGP has disproportionately benefited Jewish groups since 2005, when it was first instituted. [...] In her interview with the Forward, [U.S. Homeland Security Chief Janet] Napolitano said that she saw no problem with the overwhelming proportion of NSGP funds going to Jewish groups.” (“Jewish Groups Grab Huge Share of Grants Almost All Homeland Security Grants Go to Jewish Non-Profits,” The Forward, July 5, 2012.)

On December 22nd, 2001, Richard Reid allegedly attempted to cause an explosion on a flight from Paris to Miami by mixing and then igniting explosives hidden in his shoes. The “shoe bomber” only managed to light a few matches before being subdued by passengers and arrested. ("Shoe bomber: Tale of another failed terrorist attack," CNN, Dec. 25, 2009.) The Israeli firm
ICTS, the same Mossad front company that controlled security at 9/11 airports, was in charge of security at Charles de Gaulle Airport in Paris where Reid was allowed to board his flight. According to Joe Quinn and Niall Bradley, the entire debacle was a political sham cooked up by the Mossad to stoke up Western fears of ‘terrorism’ shortly following 9/11. (“Underwear Bomber Redux – Was Mutallab An Israeli “Secret Weapon”?,” Signs of the Times, Feb. 01, 2012.) On Christmas Day 2009, Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab boarded a flight from Amsterdam to Detroit with explosives hidden in his underwear. Umar’s makeshift bomb contained the same combination of explosives as Reid’s, and as he attempted to detonate them he too was easily subdued. ICTS International also controlled security at Amsterdam’s Schiphol Airport and once again they deliberately allowed a “terrorist” to board an aircraft. Gordon Duff has conclusively shown that the ‘underwear bomber’ incident is another phony terror plot organized and directed by the Israeli Mossad. (“Evidence Mounts for US Complicity in Terrorism: Mutallab’s father is no ordinary ‘banker’,” Veterans Today, Dec. 31, 2009.) American Free Press writer Victor Thorn exposed the alleged ‘underwear bomber’ as a bumbling patsy whose father, a wealthy Nigerian banker, was in bed with the Mossad and other elite Zionist political forces in the Western world. Thorn writes:

“‘His explosives couldn’t have blown up his own seat. Even if full power, it wouldn’t have worked.’ These were the words relayed to me during a Jan. 2 interview with military analyst and counterinsurgency specialist Gordon Duff in regard to the attempt of Christmas Day underwear bomber Umar Farouk Abdul Mutallab [sometimes referred to as Abdulmutallab] to ignite 80 grams of the explosive PETN on a flight destined for America. He also explained how the patsy’s country of origin, Nigeria, is clandestinely controlled by the Israeli army and Mossad. These entities train the military, sell weapons, run the airports, and wield power over DICON (Defense Industries Corporation). Furthermore, Mutallab’s father is a Mossad partner and Israel’s No. 1 contact in Nigeria. As the former CEO of his country’s most influential bank and the man who ran their national arms industry, Mr. Mutallab also harbors extremely close relationships with the U.S. ambassador and CIA chief in Nigeria. […]

Another significant detail is being neglected by mainstream media sources. The firm in charge of security at Amsterdam’s Schiphol Airport is the Israeli-owned International Consultants on Targeted Security (ICTS). They’re also the same outfit responsible for all three airports used by “Muslim hijackers” on 9-11. ICTS also handled security for London’s bus system during their 7-7 “Muslim bombing,” while doing the same at Charles de Gaulle Airport when “shoe bomber” Richard Reid boarded a plane in Paris on Dec. 22, 2001. When a Michigan passenger, attorney Kurt Haskell, reported that a “well-dressed” Indian man arranged for Umar Mutallab to perform a “walkaround” without a passport in Amsterdam, ICTS was one of only a few entities that could have permitted this security breach to take place. […]

Of course, the American public is being misled again into believing that this “lone nut” terrorist sneaked through the system (no-fly lists, airport scrutiny etc) due to mere incompetence, similar to what occurred on 9-11. Yet Israeli intelligence provided security at the Amsterdam Airport, where Mutallab boarded a plane with no passport; the NSA is equipped to electronically eavesdrop anywhere around the world; the Mossad is tied to
Yemen, Nigeria and India; while the suspect’s father opened up banking and arms contacts in the Middle East while harboring an extremely close relationship with American and Israeli intelligence. What we’re being fed is another propagandized cover story that is intended to keep ratcheting up Orwellian-style trauma and fear, all the while further spreading our global “terror war” to Yemen and the African continent.” ("Mossad Tied to ‘Underwear Bomber’,” American Free Press, Jan. 18, 2010.)

Besides the propagandistic value this would have to legitimize the Jewish-led ‘War on Terror,’ the underwear bomber incident further revealed the Jewish economic objectives behind these phony staged terror events. Immediately following the incident, the Jewish-owned mass media bombarded us with frantic rhetoric advocating the need for new security measures to be implemented in airports to protect us from ‘terrorism.’ The new security measures primarily involved the introduction of “full body scanners” at all international airports worldwide. Before boarding a flight, passengers are now subjected to a full-body image scan. Michael Chertoff, the Jewish-Zionist architect of the 9/11 cover-up as well as the Orwellian ‘Patriot Act,’ was waiting at-the-ready with his ‘full body scanner’ technology, promoting these horrific, intrusive, cancer-causing devices as essential to protect Westerners from the likes of ‘crotch bombs’ and other hidden explosive contraptions. Chertoff made his sales pitch for these dangerous devices all over mainstream media, including ABC News’s “World News Tonight,” “Fox and Friends,” CNBC’s “Squawk Box,” CNN and Bloomberg TV. ("Fear Pays: Chertoff, Ex-Security Officials Slammed For Cashing In On Government Experience ,” Huffington Post, Nov. 23, 2010.) In 2009, Chertoff founded the Chertoff Group, a security consulting agency. In an interview with CNN’s Campbell Brown, Chertoff admitted that some of his clients manufacture full-body scanners. ("Chertoff and Company – The Cover Up (Body Scanners),” YouTube) Chertoff’s consulting firm “represents OSI Systems, one of two companies licensed to sell full-body scanners to the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).” (“Body Scanners Create Profits for Chertoff and Others,” All Gov, Nov. 23, 2010.) Cashing in on ‘terror scares’ has become a lucrative business for Jewish bigwigs like Michael Chertoff and other parasitical profiteers. The ‘underwear bomber’ was not a manifestation of Muslim grievances with the United States, but a manufactured hoax — a set-up designed to provide more justification for the build-up of a global Zionist police state, a ‘New World Order’ slave society under Jewish domination.

The very conception and implementation of the ‘war on terror’ agenda has Jewish origins and Zionist motivations. This fact was made abundantly clear in a statement that was issued by the treacherous Anti-Defamation League on September 17, 2001, vehemently supporting President Bush’s call for a ‘war on terror.’ ADL National Directors Glen A. Tobias and Abraham H. Foxman published the following self-incriminating statement:

“We join all Americans and people of good will around the world in expressing outrage at the horrific terrorist attacks on our nation. Our country and our world will never be the same, and we are only beginning to understand the enormity of the threat and the challenges that lie ahead. Our first and greatest priority must be to recognize that our enemy is evil to the core and, if not deterred, has the means to cause even greater destruction. The world is looking to America once again to be the beacon of freedom that will take the lead, with support from every corner of the globe, in turning back this enemy. President Bush and his Administration have risen to the
occasion and we stand firm with them, offering our full support in the difficult time ahead.

As we gird ourselves for this new war on terrorism, we know that confronting terrorists is particularly difficult because they have no government and do not abide by any laws or code of moral decency. Therefore, we need to recalibrate the existing balance between security precautions and individual liberties. As we move forward, we must protect our civil liberties, which make us who we are, at the same time that we provide law enforcement with new tools to safeguard our security. We have every right to protect ourselves and our nation, even if doing so requires us to submit to more expansive searches of person and property and perhaps other new limitations on our daily activities.” (“ADL Supports President Bush’s Call for War on Terrorism,” ADL Official Website, Sept. 17, 2001.)

Using 9/11 as a pretext, the ADL essentially advocated the creation of a police state in America that would be implemented by their proxies and servants at the helm of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. It is hardly surprising that the ADL has been the prime entity engaged in special ‘training’ of American police and law enforcement nationwide. The ADL’s ‘special relationship’ with American law enforcement and intelligence agencies should be troubling to any American citizen who enjoys their freedom. Few Americans are aware that in 1993 the ADL was caught red-handed directing a massive spying operation against American citizens and organizations, particularly those that they perceive to be ‘enemies’ of Jewish-Zionist political and economic aims, passing on this illegally obtained information to the Israeli secret service. (“The Anti-Defamation League’s Spy Scandal of 1993,” Focal Point Publications) Reporting on this scandal for The Spotlight, Michael Collins Piper revealed:

“Police sources have revealed that the information they have uncovered suggests the ADL has been maintaining secret files on more than 950 political groups, newspapers and labor unions and on a minimum of 12,000 people. (The 12,000 figure, however, is based only on the number of names discovered in the West Coast offices of the ADL. The ADL has offices all over the United States, suggesting that the individual files kept by the ADL are much more numerous, perhaps as many as 1 million people nationwide.) The Los Angeles Times reported on April 9 that the ADL may face a total of 48 felony counts for not properly reporting the employment of its spy Bullock. According to the Times, the ADL disguised payments to Bullock for more than 25 years by funneling $550 a week to a Beverly Hills, California
attorney, Bruce I. Hochman, who turned the money over to Bullock.” (“ADL spying exposed nationally,” The Spotlight)

The ADL is, for all intents and purposes, nothing more than the public relations arm of the Zionist state of Israel and the global Jewish crime network. It was established in 1913 by the supremacist Jewish-Masonic society known as B’nai B’rith in response to the public hanging of Leo Frank, a criminal Jew who raped and murdered a little girl named Mary Phagan. The ADL has, ever since its creation, operated as an attack dog for criminal Jewish interests, attempting to slander, defame, vilify, silence and suppress all critics of Zionist misconduct and opponents of Jewish domination. (See: “The Dark Side of the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith,” Google Video / “The Ugly Truth About the ADL,” Executive Intelligence Review)

Much to the chagrin of the ADL, awareness of Israeli-Zionist-Jewish involvement in international terrorist spectacles has been steadily growing, thanks in large part to the internet. As a means of propaganda, Jews have been using terrorism to manipulate the minds of the Western public. They use these acts of terror to sway public sentiment toward Israel and away from Israel’s enemies – the Muslims, the Arabs and the Palestinians; molding our minds to view their enemies as our enemies. ‘Al-Qaeda’ is a Jewish propaganda invention — an illusion. All of the terrorist acts attributed to ‘Al-Qaeda’ are the work of Jewish theater, a Hollywood stage production for non-Jewish consumption. Phony terrorism blamed on Muslims is an effective method of distraction that the Jewish media uses to redirect the world’s attention from the ongoing slow-motion genocide of the Palestinians by the Jewish state. The constant hype and hysteria about ‘Islamic radicalism’ is all a clever diversion from the Jewish rape of Palestine and other ongoing Jewish atrocities in Middle East. Thus we see that the Israeli false-flag assault of 9/11 served two primary purposes for International Jewry:

1. To galvanize American public opinion to support wars of aggression against Israel’s Arab and Islamic enemies in the Middle East, kick-starting the preplanned ‘clash of civilizations’ between the West and the Islamic world.

2. To provide a pretext for the build-up of a Jewish-led police state in America (and the broader Western world), which is being used to quell any and all dissent against the insane Zionist drive to conquer the Middle East and thereby the world.
CHAPTER THIRTEEN

Netanyahu: Evil Inventor of the “Global War on Terror”

The ideological framework of the malignant ‘Global War on Terror’ doctrine of destruction has its genesis in the Likud Party in Israel and its hard-line Zionist terrorist leader, Benjamin Netanyahu. (“The Origins and Development of the War on Terror Doctrine and its Future Prospects,” Shamsali, Sept. 08, 2002.) The true origin of this monstrous ideology traces back to a little-known organization with offices in Jerusalem, New York and Washington, D.C. called the “Jonathan Institute,” an Israeli propaganda outfit created in 1979 by Benjamin Netanyahu. The group is named after his dead brother Jonathan who was killed in the Israeli raid on Entebbe Airport in Uganda in 1972. The former Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin — a former commander of the Jewish terrorist group Irgun — was also a key figure with the Jonathan Institute, giving the opening address at the group’s 1979 conference in Jerusalem. (Edward S. Herman and Gerry O’Sullivan. The “Terrorism” Industry: The Experts and Institutions That Shape Our View of Terror. New York: Pantheon, 1989, pp. 104-6) The primary function of the group was to organize conferences crafted around promoting the spurious idea that Yassir Arafat, the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) and the broader Arab and Muslim world, represent a global ‘terrorist threat.’ Netanyahu and his Zionist conspirators emphasized that the “Western democracies” must take steps to extinguish this so-called ‘threat’ posed by Muslim nations and resistance groups. (Ibid.)

Countless high-ranking American and British intelligence officials, politicians and media figures attended the two conferences put on by the Jonathan Institute in 1979 (in Jerusalem) and 1984 (in Washington). Netanyahu and his ‘institute’ essentially endeavored to recruit influential Westerners in media, government and military to promote a clash of civilizations between the West and the Islamic world for the benefit of Zionist Jews and their deranged dream of a “Greater Israel.” The 1984 conference produced a widely reviewed book edited by Netanyahu entitled Terrorism: How the West Can Win and established Netanyahu as a leading international voice in the “war against terrorism” – a war of terrorism against Israel’s enemies. The institute also effectively publicized its espoused doctrine of “pre-emptive retaliation,” the Israeli policy of killing those designated as ‘terrorists’ before they can act. (Ibid.)

Netanyahu has written and edited numerous books promoting his warped ‘war on terror’ creed such as ‘International Terrorism: Challenge and Response’ (1981), the aforesaid ‘Terrorism: How the West Can Win’ (1987), ‘Fighting Terrorism: How Democracies Can Defeat
the International Terrorist Network’ (1995), and other paltry works of Zionist nonsense. The foundation of Netanyahu’s crazed worldview ultimately lies with his Revisionist Zionist upbringing. His father, BenZion Netanyahu, was a disciple and former senior aide of Vladimir “Ze’ev” Jabotinsky, the militant extremist founder of ‘Revisionist Zionism’ and the Irgun. (J. Goldberg, “From Peace Process To Police Process.” New York Times, Sept. 14, 1997.) BenZion ensconced his son in the militant doctrine of Jabotinsky, guiding him in the principles of Jewish supremacy and the Zionist dream of a ‘Greater Israel.’ On July 8, 2007, Bibi Netanyahu was the keynote speaker at an event at the Jabotinsky Institute to mark the 67th anniversary of the death of the founder of the Irgun. In July 2006, at a conference commemorating the 60th anniversary of the King David Hotel bombing, Netanyahu gave a disgraceful speech blatantly defending that act of terrorism and mass murder by the Irgun, calling the unprovoked attack a “legitimate military action” and praising the Jewish terrorists who masterminded the atrocity. (Tom Segev, “The spirit of the King David Hotel,” Haaretz, July 23, 2006.) The Irgun, a murderous Zionist terrorist group who set out to establish ‘Greater Israel’ through ethnic cleansing and mass murder, is the political parent of the Likud Party which Benjamin Netanyahu currently heads. All of this exposes Netanyahu’s big talk of ‘fighting global terrorism’ for what it really is – a facetious farce.

The New York offices of “American Friends of the Jonathan Institute” is, perhaps not coincidentally, located amazingly close to the Twin Towers at 277 Broadway Street, 801 New York, NY. (“9/11 Israeli soldiers guard Netanyahu’s Jonathan Institute,” The Information Underground, Mar. 17, 2010.) Even more significant is the alleged financial ties between Larry Silverstein, the Zionist lesseholder of the WTC, his good friend Benjamin Netanyahu and the Jonathan Institute. French writer Thierry Meyssan has alleged that Larry Silverstein was the treasurer of Netanyahu’s Jonathan Institute and Netanyahu’s electoral campaigns. (Thierry Meyssan, “L’Effroyable imposture 2, Manipulations et désinformations.” Voltaire Net, Mar. 09, 2010.) If true, this establishes yet another direct connection between Silverstein and the criminal Israeli politicians and groups that fostered the ‘war on terror’ charade.

A crystal clear illustration that Netanyahu is the evil villain behind this agenda came in the form of a speech he delivered in front of the U.S. House of Representatives immediately following the 9/11 attacks on the 20th of September, 2001. In the speech, Netanyahu vehemently implored the U.S. to launch ‘pre-emptive’ military strikes against “Iran, Iraq, Syria, Taliban Afghanistan, Yasser Arafat’s Palestinian Authority, and several other Arab regimes, such as the Sudan,” adding that he’d also like to see “Osama bin Laden in Afghanistan, Hizbullah and others in Syrian-controlled Lebanon, Hamas, Islamic Jihad, and the recently mobilized Fatah and Tanzim factions in the Palestinian territories” destroyed by the United States military. The common denominator of all of the countries and groups listed by Netanyahu as targets of his war of terror is that they are all opponents of and threats to Israeli hegemony in the Middle East. Netanyahu’s demented rant evolved into all-out fear mongering, predicting biological and nuclear attacks that could kill hundreds of thousands of American citizens if the U.S. government did not take immediate action against the aforementioned countries and resistance groups. Speaking about his psychopathic desire for the U.S. to conquer the Middle East for Israel, Netanyahu proclaimed:
“I have absolute confidence that if we, the citizens of the free world, led by President Bush, marshal the enormous reserves of power at our disposal, harness the steely resolve of a free people, and mobilize our collective will – we shall eradicate this evil from the face of the earth. … The time for action is now. Today the terrorists have the will to destroy us, but they do not have the power. There is no doubt that we have the power to crush them. Now we must also show that we have the will. Once any part of the terror network acquires nuclear weapons, this equation will fundamentally change and with it the course of human affairs. This is the historical imperative that now confronts all of us all. …

This is the great mission that now stands before the free world. That mission must not be watered down to allow certain states to participate in the coalition that is now being organized. Rather the coalition must be built around this mission. It may be that some will shy away from adopting such an uncompromising stance against terrorism. If some free states choose to remain on the sidelines, America must be prepared to march forward without them, for there is no substitute for moral and strategic clarity. I believe that if the United States stands on principle, all the democracies will eventually join the war on terrorism. The easy route may be tempting, but it will not win the day.

On September 11, I like everyone else was glued to a television set, watching the savagery that struck America. Yet amid the smoking ruins of the twin towers, one could make out the Statue of Liberty, holding high the torch of freedom. It is freedom’s flame that the terrorists sought to extinguish. But it is that same torch so proudly held by the United States that can lead the free world to crush the forces of terror and to secure our tomorrow. It is within our power. Let us now make sure that it is within our will.”

In 2001, Netanyahu was videotaped at his nephew’s Barmitzvah, in which he was casually discussing politics with relatives. Unaware that he was being recorded, Netanyahu bragged about his ability to deceive and manipulate American presidents, telling them that “America is a thing you can move very easily, move in the right direction.” ("Netanyahu In 2001: ‘America Is A Thing You Can Move Very Easily’," Huffington Post, July 16, 2010.) Commenting on the video, Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy disparagingly expressed the view that Netanyahu is “a man…who thinks that Washington is in his pocket and that he can pull the wool over its eyes.” ("Tricky Bibi," Haaretz, July 15, 2010.) Netanyahu’s belief that he has Washington “in his pocket” was confirmed when on May 24, 2011, Netanyahu delivered an ignominious speech before a joint meeting of the U.S. Congress, during which he received an outrageous twenty-nine standing ovations! ("Israeli Prime Minister Gets 29 Standing Ovations in Congress, Sends Message to White House," ABC News, May 24, 2011.) The slaves of Zion who inhabit the kosher brothel known as the U.S. Congress couldn’t have done a better job at selling their country down the river for the benefit of a foreign power. “America” is no longer the land of the free and home of the brave, but the land of the hopelessly enslaved!

According to Wayne Madsen, American intelligence sources have reported that Netanyahu is considered an extreme threat to U.S. national security. ("The demise of global
communications security: The neocons’ unfettered access to America’s secrets,” Online Journal, Sept. 21, 2005.) Netanyahu visited convicted Israeli spy Jonathan Pollard in his North Carolina prison cell and lobbied vigorously for his release. Netanyahu was actually overheard by an ex-CIA agent telling a group of his supporters: “Once we squeeze all we can out of the United States, it can dry up and blow away.” (Ibid.) Netanyahu has claimed that both he and his father ‘predicted’ the events of 9/11 in the early 1990s. (“Netanyahu claimed to have predicted WTC attacks,” The Jerusalem Post, Sept. 11, 2011.) It would be more accurate to say that Netanyahu and his father ‘planned’ 9/11 and then ‘predicted’ the successful outcome of their own demonic plot. As the emissary of Vladimir Jabotinsky in the United States, Netanyahu’s father played an instrumental role in co-opting both the Democratic and Republican parties, crafting them into tools of Israel. (Maidhc Ó Cathail, “Benzion Netanyahu and the Origins of Bipartisan Support for Israel,” The Passionate Attachment, May 11, 2012.)

It is impossible to believe that Benjamin Netanyahu is not a central player in the September 11th attacks. He had intimate connections to Israeli Intelligence, a close friendship with Larry Silverstein, and a shared ideology with the neoconservative Jews in the Bush administration. He openly gloated that 9/11 was “good for Israel” and “swung American public opinion” in favor of Israel. It is an unvarnished, inescapable fact — Netanyahu is the mastermind of 9/11 and the ‘Global War on Terror,’ and is therefore directly responsible for the deaths and suffering of millions of innocent people! One question remains: when and how will he pay for his devilish deeds?
CHAPTER FOURTEEN

Neoconservatism: A Treacherous Guild of Jewish Imperialists

It is impossible to understand 9/11 and the illegal wars that followed without examining the diabolical intrigues of what are known as the “neoconservatives.” This tightly-knit network of ‘intellectuals’ and political lobbyists are first-class warmongers. They were the driving force behind the genocidal U.S. war against Iraq and are still today aggressively advocating for new wars against Arabs and Muslims in the Middle East. The neocons are, at their very core, a racist cult of psychopathic Jewish supremacists. This mendacious Jewish clique formed a dangerous Zionist fifth-column inside the United States, burrowing their way into the highest echelons of power in the White House and the Pentagon, during the Bush administration. Operating as de-facto agents of the Israeli government, these Zionist schemers were tasked with harnessing American military might in the service of Israel. To the detriment of the world, especially Iraqis, Afghans and Palestinians, these evil sociopathic criminals succeeded in their wicked objective of dragging the U.S. into several senseless wars of conquest in the Middle East, needlessly causing the deaths of millions of innocent people.

The former Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad once stated: “The Jews rule the world by proxy. They get others to fight and die for them.” A glance at the neoconservative warlords and their hidden Jewish agenda validate his every word.

The Jewish origins and agenda of neoconservatism, much like the Jewish origins and agenda of Communism, is a carefully guarded secret that has been hidden from the general public. No mainstream history text dares to mention this fact — almost no prominent professors of political science are willing to broach it for fear of being labeled ‘anti-Semitic.’ The movement itself was born and codified in the United States in the early 1960s. Several Jewish-Marxist intellectuals and agitators from the Trotskyite camp – ideological disciples of the Jewish-Communist theorist and mass murderer Leon Trotsky – conceived this malicious doctrine of death. Like its counterpart Bolshevism, Jewish extremists have used neoconservatism as a carnivorous tool of destruction to root out the enemies of Zionism in the Middle East. Metapedia, the Alternative Encyclopedia, surmised that,
“Neoconservatism is a political ideology with origins in the Marxist Trotskyite movement that has played a critical role in formulating American foreign policy, especially since the 9/11 attacks. The ideology represents the embrace of Zionism and is totally focused upon the racial supremacy and group interests of Jews and the Israeli state. Neoconservatism can be seen as a strategy replacing the previous Jewish objective of Marxist world revolution.” (“Neoconservatism,” Metapedia)

Author Michael Collins Piper explained that the neocons are nothing more than “Trotskyite communists of the old school who re-tooled and re-configured their own philosophy in order to adapt it to the needs of the modern period.” (“The Judas Goats: The Enemy Within,” American Free Press, (2006), p. 28.) Gal Beckerman, a Jewish writer for the Jewish publication The Forward, put it this way:

“Acknowledging the Jewishness of neoconservatism has always triggered the red, flashing lights of antisemitism, especially since the start of the Iraq War.... But there is some truth to the suspicion. If there is an intellectual movement in America to whose invention Jews can lay sole claim, neoconservatism is it. ...it is a fact that as a political philosophy, neoconservatism was born among the children of Jewish immigrants and is now largely the intellectual domain of those immigrants’ grandchildren.” (“The Neoconservative Persuasion: Examining the Jewish roots of an intellectual movement,” The Forward, Jan. 06, 2006.)

Notable among the ideological founders of neoconservatism were Irving Kristol, Irving Howe, Daniel Bell, Nathan Glazer, Norman Podhoretz and his wife Midge Decter, Max Shachtman, Albert Wohlstetter, and Leo Strauss. All Jews, avid Marxists and staunch Zionists, this coterie of crooks formulated a duplicitous plan for the infiltration and subversion of the “right-wing” of American politics, launching a 'putsch' to neutralize the conservative movement and Republican Party, shaping them into a wing of Zionism. Benjamin Ginsberg, a Jewish political scientist and professor at Johns Hopkins University, pointed out the communist roots of the neoconservatives, noting that “the neocons are internationalists. Many neocons were at one time liberal Democrats or, in some cases, even Socialists or Marxists.” (Ginsberg, Benjamin. The Fatal Embrace: Jews and the State. Chicago: University of Chicago, 1993. p. 231.) He also keenly observed their essentially Jewish ethnic motivation for shifting from the left to the right side of the political spectrum, attributing it to tribal loyalties and concern for the well-being of Israel:

“One major factor that drew them [the Jewish neocons] inexorably to the right was their attachment to Israel and their growing frustration during the 1960s with a Democratic party that was becoming increasingly opposed to American military preparedness and increasingly enamored of Third World causes [e.g., Palestinian rights]. In the Reaganite right’s hard-line anti-communism, commitment to American military strength, and willingness to intervene politically and militarily in the affairs of other nations to promote democratic values (and American interests), neocons found a political movement that would guarantee Israel’s security.” (Ibid.)
Ginsberg revealed that the ‘conservative’ philosophy espoused by these Marxist Jews was nothing more than a mask – a facade that provided a convenient smokescreen for their real Zionist motivations:

“A number of Jews ascertained for themselves that Israeli security required a strong American commitment to internationalism and defense. Among the most prominent Jewish spokesman for this position was Norman Podhoretz, editor of Commentary Magazine. Podhoretz had been a liberal and a strong opponent of the Vietnam War. But by the early 1970s he came to realize that continued American support for Israel depended upon continued American involvement in international affairs – from which it followed that American withdrawal into [isolationism] represented a direct threat to the security of Israel. This was one major reason that Podhoretz broke with liberals…” (Ibid., pp. 204, 231.)

In 1973, Irving Kristol, the Marxist-Zionist Jew who has been called the “godfather of neoconservatism,” let slip his real agenda when he said:

“Senator McGovern is very sincere when he says that he will try to cut the military budget by 30%. And this is to drive a knife in the heart of Israel… Jews don’t like big military budgets. But it is now in the interests of the Jews to have a large and powerful military establishment in the United States… American Jews who care about the survival of the state of Israel have to say, no, we don’t want to cut the military budget, it is important to keep that military budget big, so that we can defend Israel.” (Congress Bi-Weekly, a publication of the American Jewish Congress, 1973.)

Irving Kristol was quite frank in his pro-Zionist view that the U.S. should support Israel even if it is not in America’s national interest to do so. He once said that,

“Large nations, whose identity is ideological, like the Soviet Union of yesteryear and the United States of today, inevitably have ideological interests in addition to more material concerns…. That is why we feel it necessary to defend Israel today, when its survival is threatened. No complicated geopolitical calculations of national interest are necessary.” (Seltzer, Irwin. Neoconservatism. Atlantic Books, 2004, p. 36.)

Norman Podhoretz, a Marxist-Zionist Jew and early progenitor of the neoconservative philosophy, admitted that the safety and hegemony of Israel is at the heart of neoconservative thinking. In his own memoir he confessed:

“There was, to be sure, one thing that many of even the most passionately committed American Zionists were reluctant to do, and that was to face up to the fact that continued American support for Israel depended upon continued American involvement in international affairs – from which it followed that an American withdrawal into the kind of isolationist mood that prevailed most recently between the two world wars, and that now looked as though it might soon prevail
again, represented a direct threat to the security of Israel.” (Norman Podhoretz, “Breaking Ranks: A Political Memoir,” Harper & Row, 1979.)

Prime among the early theoretical forebearers of neoconservatism was Leo Strauss, another Marxist-Zionist Jew. Strauss taught political science at the University of Chicago and was an influential teacher of many of the top neocons who would later go on to usurp the Bush administration and orchestrate the war against Iraq in 2003. Strauss’s political philosophy was Machiavellian in nature, whereby he advocated the perpetual deception of the public by those in power. Noam Chomsky has argued that Strauss’s theory is a form of Leninism, in which society should be led by a group of elite vanguards whose job is to protect liberal society against the dangers of excessive individualism, and by creating inspiring myths to trick the masses into believing that they are fighting against evil. Journalist Seymour Hersh opined that Strauss endorsed noble lies, “myths used by political leaders seeking to maintain a cohesive society.” (“Selective Intelligence,” The New Yorker, May 12, 2003.) As a youth growing up in Germany, Strauss embraced political Zionism and became an activist for the movement. At age 17, Strauss became a dedicated disciple of the extremist Zionist leader Vladimir Jabotinsky. (“Leo Strauss: Strauss and Zionism,” Wikipedia) The connection with Jabotinsky is a common theme among the neocons and the murderous Israeli politicians with whom they are intimately associated.

In addition to all of the Jewish-owned mass media outlets that have given the Jewish neocons a platform to disseminate their hateful propaganda, they established a vast and harrowing conglomeration of magazines, journals, periodicals and think-tanks with which to promote and advance their pernicious policies of war and aggression. Some of their publications include:

* Commentary Magazine (founded in 1945 by the American Jewish Committee; neocon godfathers Irving Kristol and Norman Podhoretz served as editors)
* National Review (founded in 1955 by Zionist agent William F. Buckley)
* The Weekly Standard (founded in 1995; chief editor is William Kristol, son of Irving Kristol)
* The New Republic
* The National Interest (founded by Irving Kristol in 1985)
* The Public Interest

Kevin MacDonald is a professor of psychology at the University of California-Longbeach, who has authored several books, viewing Jews and Judaism as an evolutionary group strategy. He produced a list of the most prominent Pro-Israel and neocon groups in the U.S. in his well-written study entitled “Understanding Jewish Influence”. These organizations include:

* AEI: American Enterprise Institute—A neoconservative think tank; produces and disseminates books and articles on foreign and domestic policy; www.aei.org.
* AIPAC: American Israel Public Affairs Committee—The main pro-Israel lobbying organization in the U.S., specializing in influencing the U.S. Congress; www.aipac.org.
* CSP: Center for Security Policy—Neoconservative think tank specializing in defense policy; formerly headed by Douglas Feith, CSP is now headed by Frank Gaffney; the
CSP is strongly pro-Israel and favors a strong U.S. military; www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org.

* JINSA: *Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs*—Pro-Israel think tank specializing in promoting military cooperation between the U.S. and Israel; www.jinsa.org.

* MEF: *Middle East Forum*—Headed by Daniel Pipes, the MEF is a pro-Israel advocacy organization overlapping with the WINEP but generally more strident; www.meforum.org.

* PNAC: *Project for the New American Century*—Headed by Bill Kristol, the PNAC issues letters and statements signed mainly by prominent neocons and designed to influence public policy; www.newamericancentury.org.

* SD/USA: *Social Democrats/USA*—“Left-neoconservative” political organization advocating pro-labor social policy and pro-Israel, anticommunist foreign policy; www.socialdemocrats.org.


* ZOA: *Zionist Organization of America*—Pro-Israel lobbying organization associated with the more fanatical end of the pro-Israel spectrum in America; www.zoa.org.

A 2003 documentary produced by the BBC called *The War Party* offers great insight into the behind-the-scenes machinations of the Jewish neocons in Washington. In the film, British reporter Steve Bradshaw follows around several high-profile neocons in the run-up to the war in Iraq. He attended some of their meetings and conducted one-on-one interviews with the biggest players in neoconservatism, including numerous high-ranking Bush administration officials who were devout neocons. In the film, a number of top neocons brag about their successful usurpation of the Bush administration and American foreign policy; some even admitted the Jewishness of the movement. Richard Perle, who headed the powerful “Defense Policy Board” in the Pentagon under Bush, acknowledged that “the President of the United States on issue after issue has reflected the thinking of neconservatives.” Bill Kristol, a Jewish neocon kingpin who is the son of Irving Kristol and editor of *The Weekly Standard*, boasted that “George Bush’s current foreign policy is basically a neoconservative foreign policy.” Meyrav Wurmser, a neocon Jewess who founded the Zionist propaganda outlet “The Middle East Media Research Institute” (MEMRI), was obliged to confess: “Yes, many of us [neocons] are Jewish… Most of us, all of us in fact, are Pro-Israel.” Neocon Jew Eliot Cohen, a member of the Defense Policy Board in the Pentagon under Bush, complained that in Washington the term ‘neoconservative’ is often used as a euphemism for ‘Jew.’ “Well sometimes the word neoconservative is used when what they really would like to say is ‘Jew’… and as a Jew I find it offensive,” said Cohen.

A look at the roster of the *Project for the New American Century* group proves this notion to be correct; ‘neoconservative’ can accurately be considered synonymous with ‘Zionist Jew.’ This warmongering neocon think-tank was founded in 1997 by two Jewish neocon kingfish, Bill Kristol and Robert Kagan. In the tradition of his father, PNAC’s founder Bill Kristol let slip the real Zionist objectives behind his subversive lobbying activities. Despite his disingenuous rhetoric about “global crusades for democracy,” Kristol’s chief concern is the power and well-being of Israel. He told the *Jerusalem Post (27th July 2000)*: “I’ve always thought it was best
for Israel for the US to be generally engaged and generally strong, and then the commitment to Israel follows from a general foreign policy.” A look at the authors and signatories of any given PNAC document show an abundance of Jewish names, some of which are: Mark Gerson (PNAC project director), Randy Scheunemann (PNAC project director), Charles Krauthammer, Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Perle, Douglas Feith, Elliott Abrams, Eliot Cohen, Dov Zakheim, Paula Dobriansky, Aaron Friedberg, Peter W. Rodman, Michael Goldfarb, Stephen P. Rosen, Midge Decter, I. Lewis “Scooter” Libby, Norman Podhoretz, John Podhoretz, Kenneth Adelman, Reuel Marc Gerecht, Robert B. Zoellick, Donald Kagan, John Lehman, and so on. (“Project for the New American Century: Persons Associated With PNAC,” Wikipedia) In total, at least half of PNAC’s members and signatories were Jewish. The non-Jewish members all had long-standing ties with the Jewish lobby, serving as nothing more than useful tools for Jewish intrigue. There have been a number of corrupt non-Jews who have achieved prominent status within the ranks of the neoconservatives. On the participation of non-Jews in the movement, Kevin MacDonald intelligently observed that,

“As with the other Jewish intellectual and political movements, non-Jews have been welcomed into the movement and often given highly visible roles as the public face of the movement. This of course lessens the perception that the movement is indeed a Jewish movement, and it makes excellent psychological sense to have the spokespersons for any movement resemble the people they are trying to convince. That’s why Ahmed Chalabi (a Shiite Iraqi, a student of early neocon theorist Albert Wohlstetter, and a close personal associate of prominent neocons, including Richard Perle) was the neocons’ choice to lead postwar Iraq.” (“Understanding Jewish Influence III: Neoconservatism as a Jewish Movement,” The Occidental Quarterly)

So this is just another part of the deception. In order to sell their ideas to the gentiles whom they are trying to trick, they recruit non-Jews to promote their objectives. Jews have mastered this deceitful stratagem. Its usage can also be noticed with the advent of Bolshevism in Russia, where non-Jew Stalin served as the figurehead for the Jewish-dominated regime of the USSR. In America, Organized Jewry always select a subservient gentile frontman to serve as President of the country; that way, when things go south, the puppet non-Jew is left holding the bag, while his coterie of Jewish advisers generally avoid any serious scrutiny. The Jewish-owned press also helps to create the illusion that the President of the USA actually has control of his own ship, when in reality he is nothing more than a lowly pawn on the grand Jewish chessboard.

Many researchers have noted PNAC’s leading role in fomenting a war with Iraq, having aggressively advocated military action against the country in two letters to President Bill Clinton in 1998, continuing their maniacal push for war until it was finally achieved in 2003. After the election of George W. Bush in 2000, a number of PNAC’s members were appointed to key positions within the
President’s administration. In a letter to President Bush dated April 3, 2002, the PNAC group revealed the Jewish-Zionist motivations underlying their treasonous agenda. Seizing the opportunity to capitalize on the 9/11 attacks, the letter — which was signed by Jewish neocon luminaries such as William Kristol, Ken Adelman, Richard Perle, Midge Decter, Robert Kagan, Joshua Muravchik, Daniel Pipes, and Norman Podhoretz — urged the president to support Israel by attacking Iraq. Here is a portion of the letter:

“In particular, we want to commend you [President Bush] for your strong stance in support of the Israeli government as it engages in the present campaign to fight terrorism. . . . Israel now needs and deserves steadfast support. This support, moreover, is essential to Israel’s continued survival … for only the United States has the power and influence to provide meaningful assistance to our besieged ally. And with the memory of the terrorist attack of September 11 still seared in our minds and hearts, we Americans ought to be especially eager to show our solidarity in word and deed with a fellow victim of terrorist violence. No one should doubt that the United States and Israel share a common enemy. . . . You have declared war on international terrorism, Mr. President. Israel is fighting the same war.

… Furthermore, Mr. President, we urge you to accelerate plans for removing Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq . . . . It is now common knowledge that Saddam, along with Iran, is a funder and supporter of terrorism against Israel . . . . If we do not move against Saddam Hussein and his regime, the damage our Israeli friends . . . have suffered until now may someday appear but a prelude to much greater horrors. Israel’s fight against terrorism is our fight. Israel’s victory is an important part of our victory. For reasons both moral and strategic, we need to stand with Israel in its fight against terrorism.” (“Letter to President Bush on Israel, Arafat and the War on Terrorism,” PNAC Official Website, April 3, 2002.)

The ghastly grip of Jewry over George W. Bush and his administration was something to behold. In order to gain an overview of this development it is imperative to reproduce a full list of Jews who served in the Bush administration during his two terms in office from 2001 to 2008. This list reveals how much control Zionist Jews had over the U.S. government when the 9/11 attacks took place, in the crucial years that followed when the cover-up was instituted, and the wars against Iraq and Afghanistan were initiated. The Jewish officials in the Bush administration included:

* Richard Perle - Foreign policy advisor, chairman of the Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board;
* Edward Luttwak - Member of the National Security Study Group of the Department of Defence at the Pentagon;
* Henry Kissinger - Foreign Policy Advisor, sits on the Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board under Perle;
* Kenneth Adelman - Foreign Policy Advisor, Adelman also sits on the Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board under Perle;
* Robert Satloff - U.S. National Security Council Advisor;
* Marc Grossman - Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs;
*Richard Haass* - Director of Policy Planning at the State Department and Ambassador at large;
*Robert Zoellick* - U.S. Trade Representative, a cabinet-level position;
*James Schlesinger* - Foreign Policy Advisor, sits on the Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board;
*David Wurmser* - Special Assistant to John Bolton, the under-secretary for arms control and international security;
*Eliot Cohen* - Member of the Pentagon’s Defense Policy Board;
*Steve Goldsmith* - Senior Advisor to the President, and Bush’s Jewish domestic policy advisor;
*Joseph Gildenhorn* - Bush Campaign’s Special Liaison to the Jewish Community;
*Samuel Bodman* - Deputy Secretary of Commerce;
*Bonnie Cohen* - Under Secretary of State for Management;
*Ruth Davis* - Director of Foreign Service Institute, who reports to the Office of Under Secretary for Management;
*Lincoln Bloomfield* - Assistant Secretary of State for Political Military Affairs
*Ken Melman* - White House Political Director;
*Elliott Abrams* - Director of the National Security Council’s Office for Democracy Human Rights and International Operations;
*Jeffrey Berkowitz* - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community and then office of presidential scheduling, 2005-2006;
*Stuart Bernstein* - Ambassador to Denmark;
*Brad Blakeman* - White House Director of Scheduling;
*Josh Bolten* - Chief of Staff, 2006-;
*Nancy Brinker* - Ambassador to Hungary and then chief of protocol for the State Department;
*Michael Chertoff* - Head of the Justice Department’s criminal division;
*Douglas Feith* - Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, 2001-2005;
*Ari Fleischer* - White House Press Secretary, 2001-2003;
*David Frum* - Speechwriter, 2001-2002;
*Chris Gersten* - Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Administration for Children and Families at HHS;
*Adam Goldman* - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community, 2001-2003;
*Blake Gottesman* - President’s personal aide;
*Jeremy L. Katz* - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community, 2007-;
*Daniel Kurtzer* - Ambassador to Israel, 2001-2005;
*Frank Lavin* - Ambassador to Singapore;
*Jay Lefkowitz* - Deputy Assistant to the President and Director of the Domestic Policy Council, 2001-2004;
*I. Lewis Libby* - Chief of Staff to the Vice President, 2001-2005;
*Ken Mehlman* - White House Political Director;
*John Miller* - Director, State Department Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons;
*Michael Mukasey* - Attorney General, 2007-;
*Noam Neusner* - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community, 2004-2005;
*Mel Sembler* - Ambassador to Italy;
* Martin Silverstein - Ambassador to Uruguay;
* Cliff Sobel - Ambassador to the Netherlands;
* Tevi Troy - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community;
* Mark D. Weinberg - Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development for Public Affairs, 2003-2004;
* Ron Weiser - Ambassador to Slovakia;
* Paul Wolfowitz - Deputy Secretary of Defense, 2001-2005;
* Dov Zakheim - Undersecretary of Defense, Controller, 2001-2004;
* Jay Zeidman - White House Liaison to the Jewish Community, 2006-2007;
* Randy Scheunemann - Member of the U.S. Committee on NATO, Project on Transitional Democracies, International Republican Institute;
* Paula Dobrinansky - Under-Secretary of State for Global Affairs, 2001–2007;
* Aaron Friedberg - Deputy Assistant for National Security Affairs and Director of Policy Planning, Office of the Vice President, 2003–2005;

Fifty-three in total, these Jewish-Zionist partisans established a powerful foothold inside the Bush administration, many of whom purportedly hold dual Israeli-American citizenship. (Dan Eden, “Dual Citizenship — Loyal to Whom?,” View Zone) It can be said with a great degree of certitude that these fifty-three Zionist Jews represented the real ‘power behind the throne’ of the Bush administration — a true “shadow government.” Bush merely served as a figurehead, a useful gentile frontman, for the sadistic ambitions and genocidal desires of the Jewish-Zionist elite. The goal of the Jewish elite from the outset of Bush’s first term in office was war with Iraq. Paul O’Neill, the former Treasury Secretary under Bush, who was fired for constantly challenging the President’s policy decisions, revealed that eight months before 9/11 at Bush’s first ever National Security Council meeting, removing Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq was already being discussed and meticulously planned out. According to O’Neill, Bush asked his foreign policy advisers to “find me a way” to invade Iraq. (”Paul O’Neill Speaks Out,” CBS 60 Minutes, June 14, 2006.) Ari Shavit, an Israeli senior correspondent at Haaretz Newspaper and a member of its editorial board, brazenly admitted that the Iraq war was instigated by Zionist Jews. In an article for that newspaper, Shavit conceded that “the war in Iraq was conceived by 25 neoconservative intellectuals, most of them Jewish, who are pushing President Bush to change the course of history.” (“White man’s burden,” Haaretz, Mar. 04, 2003.) He goes on to acknowledge that,

“In the course of the past year, a new belief has emerged in the town: the belief in war against Iraq. That ardent faith was disseminated by a small group of 25 or 30 neoconservatives, almost all of them Jewish, almost all of them intellectuals (a partial list: Richard Perle, Paul Wolfowitz, Douglas Feith, William Kristol, Eliot Abrams, Charles Krauthammer), people who are mutual friends and cultivate one another and are convinced that political ideas are a major driving force of history. They believe that the right political idea entails a fusion of morality and force, human rights and grit.” (Ibid.)
In 2008, the University of Chicago professor John Mersheimer gave a speech at the World Affairs Council of Oregon, in which he affirmed the admissions of Ari Shavit regarding the Jewish-Zionist push for war with Iraq:

“There’s no question that the neoconservatives, one of the core constituencies in the [Israel] lobby, were the main driving force behind the [Iraq] war. They were supported by key organizations in the lobby like AIPAC. Now that the war has gone south it’s common to hear Israel’s supporters say that the main organizations in the Lobby did not push for war. But that’s not true. This point is made clear in a May 2004 editorial that appeared in The Forward:

‘As President Bush attempted to sell the war in Iraq, America’s most important Jewish organizations rallied as one to his defense. In statement after statement community leaders stressed the need to rid the world of Saddam Hussein and his weapons of mass destruction. Concern for Israel’s safety rightfully factored in to the deliberations of the main Jewish groups.’

One sometimes hears the argument today that AIPAC took no position on the Iraq war and certainly did not advocate it. But this is not true either. First of all, this claim fails the common sense test as AIPAC usually supports what Israel wants and Israel certainly wanted the United States to invade Iraq. Second, there is hard evidence that AIPAC lobbied for the war. For example, AIPAC’s executive director Howard Core told the New York Sun in January 2003 that one of AIPAC’s successes over the past year was ‘quietly lobbying congress to approve the use of force in Iraq.’

The neoconservatives of course were the main driving force behind the war. They initiated the idea of using force to topple Saddam in two letters written to President Clinton in early 1998. Over the next five years, and especially after 9/11, they pushed relentlessly for war against Iraq. No other group or institution in the United States was seriously committed to invading Iraq over that five year period. Indeed, there was significant opposition to invading Iraq, even after 9/11, within the State Department, the intelligence community and the Uniformed Military. The neoconservatives are, by their own admission, deeply committed to Israel. In fact, many of them are connected with key organizations in the Lobby like the American Enterprise Institute (AEI) and the Washington Institute for Near East Policy.”

(“Iraq, the Neocons and the Israel Lobby – John Mearsheimer,” YouTube)

Mersheimer also made the key point that it was the events of 9/11 “that created circumstances where they could help convince both President Bush and Vice President Cheney that invading Iraq was a smart idea.” (Ibid.) To sell the war in Iraq to the American people the neocons needed an excuse, a pretext. Section V of the PNAC group’s “Rebuilding America’s Defenses” report entitled “Creating Tomorrow’s Dominant Force” includes the sentence: “Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event — like a new Pearl Harbor.” That prophetic and disturbing sentence was penned in September of 2000, a full year before the September 11 attacks. 9/11 was the ‘New Pearl Harbor’ that the neocons needed to
fully implement their program of invasion and conquest — without it their wet dreams of war would have never come to fruition. Immediately after the attacks, the Zionist neocons in the government and media began to make baseless accusations of Iraqi involvement in 9/11, Iraqi “ties to Al-Qaeda,” and began frantically hyping the ‘threat’ of Saddam Hussein’s alleged “Weapons of Mass Destruction” (WMDs) to the American people. Although no evidence existed connecting Iraq to 9/11 — just as no evidence exists tying Osama bin Laden or Al-Qaeda to the events of that day — many naive people bought into these lies. Although there was no evidence that Iraq had any “Weapons of Mass Destruction” — it is now admitted that there weren’t any such weapons — nor was there any conceivable way that Iraq posed any kind of military threat to the United States, the ignorant American masses believed the big lie.

The three primary architects of the war in Iraq were Richard Perle (Defense Policy Board chairman), Paul Wolfowitz (Deputy Defense Secretary) and Douglas Feith (Undersecretary of Defense for Policy) — all neocon Jews and dual citizens of the U.S. and Israel who had long-standing relationships with one another. This terrible trio were all members of PNAC, JINSA, the AEI, and had close connections with AIPAC and other U.S.-based Pro-Israel groups. Each of them also had intimate ties with Israel itself and a clear record of disloyalty to America in favor of Israel. Perle, Wolfowitz and Feith had all been investigated for passing classified documents to Israeli agents while serving in high positions in previous American administrations, primarily that of Ronald Reagan. (Stephen Green, “Serving Two Flags: Neo-Cons, Israel and the Bush Administration,” CounterPunch, Feb. 28, 2004.) Due to the overwhelming Jewish grip over the American government and judicial system, all investigations into their spying activities for Israel were eventually quashed. Despite their past malfeasance, this triad of traitors held three of the top four civilian leadership positions in the Pentagon.

Richard Perle, who was known in Washington as “The Prince of Darkness,” was not only Pro-Israel, he was directly linked with the rogue Zionist state as a board member and director of the Israeli newspaper The Jerusalem Post and also having worked as a lobbyist for the Israeli weapons manufacturer Soltam. (Jeff Gerth, “Aide Urged Pentagon To Consider Weapons Made By Former Client,” New York Times, April 17, 1983.) He was also very tight with Israel’s Likud Party and the Israeli military. Paul Wolfowitz is no slouch when it comes to Zionist fanaticism. His father was a Zionist through and through. Like many top neocon Jews, Wolfowitz was a student and disciple of Jewish neocon ideologues Leo Strauss and Albert Wohlstetter at the University of Chicago. (Mann, Jim. Rise of the Vulcans: The History of Bush’s War Cabinet, New York: Viking, 2004, pp. 28-31.) One source inside the Bush administration has described Wolfowitz as “over-the-top crazy when it comes to Israel.” (Kathleen and Bill Christison,
Notably, in 1992 Wolfowitz conceived the U.S. government’s doctrine of pre-emptive warfare, dubbed the ‘Wolfowitz Doctrine.’ Later, when President Bush adopted Wolfowitz’s heinous ideas, Jewish neocon commentator Charles Krauthammer revised the phrase to the ‘Bush Doctrine.’ (Maidhc Ó Cathail, “Dear Mr. President: Letters from Israel partisans that took America to war,” The Passionate Attachment, Mar. 14, 2012.) In June of 2001, just months before the events of 9/11 would unfold, Paul Wolfowitz delivered a chilling commencement speech at West Point wherein he focused on ‘surprise attacks,’ making heavy reference to Pearl Harbor. (“Wolfowitz chilling speech,” YouTube) In an audacious act of Zionist arrogance, Wolfowitz actually acknowledged in the speech that the Pearl Harbor incident, which created circumstances that led to the United States’ entry into World War Two, was “preceded by an astonishing number of unheeded warnings and missed signals.” (Ibid.) This bizarre speech – viewed in light of the PNAC report in which Wolfowitz and his Zionist colleagues envisioned a new ‘Pearl Harbor’-type attack on the U.S. homeland that would help facilitate their militaristic and imperialist policies – is beyond surreal. As I pointed out earlier, the Jewish power elite – believing that the ‘stupid goyim’ won’t even notice – enjoy the ritual of announcing their evil plans publicly; albeit in subtle, cryptic ways. Wolfowitz certainly was rejoicing afterwards, when in February of 2002 he impudently spoke of 9/11 as “an opportunity” to accomplish his globalist aims. (Interview with Robert Collier, San Francisco Chronicle, Feb. 23, 2002.)

Douglas Feith’s feverish commitment to Zionism is certainly no less evident. In the 1930s, Feith’s father, Dalck Feith, was an active member in Betar, the youth terrorist wing of the Revisionist Zionist movement founded by Vladimir Jabotinsky in Poland. (Jim Lobe, “Loss of Feith in Douglas,” Asia Times Online, Nov. 07, 2003.) This group later morphed into the Irgun which then became the Likud Party itself after the illegal formation of Israel in 1948. Back in 1986, Feith founded the Feith & Zell law firm, based initially in Israel. (Tom Barry, “Douglas Feith: Portrait of a Neoconservative,” Anti War, Sept. 16, 2004.) Feith and his evil father have both been honored by the Zionist Organization of America (ZOA) for their allegiance to Israel and the Zionist cause. In 1997, Douglas Feith and his father were the Guests of Honor at the 100th anniversary dinner of the ZOA in New York City where Dalck Feith received the organization’s special Centennial Award for his “lifetime of service to Israel and the Jewish people.” (ZOA News Release, Official Website, Oct. 13, 1997.) At the dinner, Douglas Feith received the prestigious Louis D. Brandeis Award for his loyalty to the cause of Jewish supremacy. (Ibid.) On August 18, 2010, the Zionist group “Americans for a Safe Israel” held a special memorial to the Jewish terrorist leader Vladimir Jabotinsky, where Feith was the keynote speaker.
speaker. (“Yizkor for Jabotinsky: The Prophet Half-Heeded,” The Forward, Aug. 25, 2010.) Commenting on the event, Jewish writer Gal Beckerman pointed out that “Jabotinsky simply does not need a special day to be remembered, not when most of the members of the current Israeli government would classify themselves as ‘Jabotinskyites.’” (Ibid.) If these facts do not illustrate clearly enough that Feith’s loyalties lie with Israel and Zionism, the following piece of information should pacify any doubts in your mind. Journalist Jeffrey Goldberg, a Jewish-Zionist zealot himself, visited Douglas Feith’s home and reported that Feith literally has a large portrait of Theodore Herzl, the “Godfather of Zionism,” hanging on the wall of his library! (“A Little Learning: What Douglas Feith knew, and when he knew it,” The New Yorker, May 9, 2005.)

The villainous goal of Jabotinsky and his “Revisionist Zionism” movement was to establish a ‘Greater Israel’ in which all of the land between the Nile and the Euphrates rivers would be grafted into a Jewish super-state. Of course, the indigenous non-Jewish inhabitants of these lands were to be expelled or killed — Old Testament-style. In Jabotinsky’s most famous essay, “The Iron Wall,” he expressed the belief that the Jewish state could only survive by using brutal, unrelenting military force that would crush all opposition and drive its Arab opponents into hopelessness and despair. Speaking bluntly about his own vicious ideology, Jabotinsky was open about his criminal aims, stating: “Revisionism is naïve, brutal and primitive. It is savage. You go out into the street and pick any man—a Chinaman—and ask him what he wants and he will say 100 percent of everything. That’s us. We want a Jewish Empire.” (Brenner, Lenni. Zionism in the Age of the Dictators. Lawrence Hill & Co, 1983, ch. 10) The Likud Party and its neoconservative patrons in Washington strictly adhere to Jabotinsky’s doctrine of death.

To deflect blame from the Jewish-Neocon cabal, dishonest writers and commentators in the alternative media who are sympathetic to Jews always bring up the name of Vice President Dick Cheney and are keen to point out that he is not Jewish. Although not a Jew, Cheney was nothing more than a workhorse for Zionism — an acquiescent vassal of Jewish intrigue. Before becoming Vice President, Cheney was a member of the Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs (JINSA) as well as the Jewish-led PNAC group. His wife, Lynne Cheney, was a member of the Jewish-led American Enterprise Institute (AEI). Cheney is the one who brought all of his Jewish neocon associates into Bush’s administration and handed them key policy-making positions. Cheney’s Chief of Staff was none other than PNAC member I. Lewis Libby, a Zionist Jew and diehard neocon. Libby is responsible for hand-picking most of Cheney’s staff from Pro-Israel think-tanks. On Libby’s
relationship to Israel, an article in The Jewish Daily Forward reported that “Israeli officials liked Libby. They described him as an important contact who was accessible, genuinely interested in Israel-related issues and very sympathetic to their cause.” (Ori Nir, “Libby Played Leading Role on Foreign Policy Decisions,” The Forward, Nov. 4, 2005.) The article also noted Libby’s extremely influential position, basically operating as the ‘brains’ behind all of Cheney’s decisions:

“With the indictment and subsequent resignation of Vice President Dick Cheney’s chief of staff, I. Lewis Libby, the Bush administration has lost its most influential Jewish foreign-policy maker, Washington insiders say. Following the departure over the summer of top Pentagon officials Paul Wolfowitz and Douglas Feith, no Jewish official in the administration had more power than Libby, 55. In fact, some say that his relationship with Cheney — widely viewed as America’s most influential vice president ever — was so symbiotic that Libby was even more influential than Feith and Wolfowitz. Libby’s formal title, assistant to the president, gave him a rank that was equivalent to the president’s national security advisor.

… Known for his obsessive tendency to avoid publicity, Libby does not wear his Jewishness on his sleeve. However, he is a member of Virginia's largest Reform synagogue, Temple Rodef Shalom, which is not far from his home in the Washington suburb of McLean. . . . Libby’s fingerprints, Washington insiders said, were on most major foreign policy decisions made by the Bush administration. On Iraq and the war on terrorism, he played a leading role…” (Ibid.)

In 2005, Libby resigned after being indicted for perjury for leaking the name of an undercover CIA agent named Valerie Plame to reporters, as an act of revenge for her husband’s public criticism of the Jewish-Neocon conspiracy to start a war with Iraq on false pretenses. (Jeffrey Steinberg, “The Plame Affair: Rove and Cheney Are Guilty As Charged,” Executive Intelligence Review, July 16, 2005.) Another influential neocon Jew in the Bush White House was Ari Fleischer who held the position of White House Press Secretary, the ‘mouth of Sauron.’ Not only is he a zealous neocon and a dual citizen of Israel, Fleischer is a prominent member of the racist supremacist cult of Hasidic Jews known as ‘Chabad Lubavitch.’ It was reported that Fleischer picked up an award from “American Friends of Lubavitch” and helped “boost the group’s extensive Jewish outreach efforts on Capitol Hill.” (James Besser, “Ari Fleischer: Reform Lubavitch,” Baltimore Jewish Times, Oct. 26, 2001.) He was given the group’s “Young Leadership” award for his work as an early leader and co-president of Chabad’s Capitol Jewish Forum “which brings together lawmakers and congressional and administration staffers for Jewish events study.” (Ibid.) Fleischer also “lavished praise on the active Chabad effort that emphasizes the army of young staffers in government and political jobs.” (Ibid.) Forthrightly describing his passionate devotion to Israel, Fleischer told a Jewish audience: “It is terribly important for Israel to have throughout American political parties, strong support, in the most liberal wings of the Democratic Party and the most conservative wings of the Republican Party. This is good for Israel.” (Dallas Jewish Week, Jan. 24, 2004.) His main concern, evidently, is not what is good for the United States, but what is good for Israel.
I mentioned earlier that Bush’s primary speechwriter who coined the Talmudic ‘Axis of Evil’ catchphrase was the venomous Zionist-Neocon Jew, David Frum. Frum, opined journalist Robert Novak, was “more uncompromising in support of Israel than any other issue” and co-authored a propagandistic book with Richard Perle called An End To Evil: How To Win The War On Terror. Natan Sharansky, a high-ranking Israeli cabinet minister who heads the “Jewish Agency” and hard-line extremist Jew who once called Ariel Sharon “soft,” has been dubbed “Bush’s brain” by commentators. It has been confirmed that President Bush’s call for “worldwide democratic revolution” — deceptive neocon code-speak for global communist tyranny — was inspired by the neocon philosophy espoused by Natan Sharansky in his book “The Case For Democracy”. (Michael Collins Piper, “An Israeli Fanatic Is ‘Bush’s Brain’,” Rense, Jan. 01, 2005.) Another neocon Jew who was extremely influential in these circles was Princeton professor and infamous orientalist Bernard Lewis, the man who contrived the iniquitous ‘Clash of Civilizations’ idea.

Michael Ledeen, a Zionist Jew who has been described by the Jerusalem Post as “Washington’s neoconservative guru,” was one of the most fanatical warmongers in Washington, being a founding member of JINSA and a leading spokesperson for the AEI. Ledeen, an unscrupulous criminal, has a long and loathsome history of being involved in government corruption. As one of Ronald Reagan’s National Security advisers in the 1980s, he played a role in the infamous Iran-Contra affair as “the administration’s intermediary with Israel in the illegal-arms deal.” (James Bamford, “Iran: The Next War,” Rolling Stone, July 24, 2006.) Ledeen was the ringleader behind the ridiculous ‘Niger Forgeries scandal’ wherein neocon Jews concocted phony documents to make it appear as though Saddam Hussein had tried to purchase yellow cake uranium from the African nation of Niger. (Thomas Keyes, “Did Michael Ledeen Circulate The Niger Forgeries For Karl Rove?,” Useless-Knowledge, July 23, 2005.) This hoax was quickly exposed as a fraud, much to the embarrassment of the neocon Jews.

Despite not having an official government post, Ledeen was an influential outside adviser to Bush’s chief deputy Karl Rove and thus had direct input in the shaping of U.S. foreign policy. (Jim Lobe, “Veteran neo-con advisor moves on Iran,” Asia Times Online, June 26, 2003.) A Jew and Zionist extremist, Ledeen admitted the Machiavellian roots of his beliefs, stating: “I’m a student of Machiavelli, I wrote a book about Machiavelli, and I know the struggle against evil is gonna go on forever.” (“The War Party,” BBC) “Evil,” in the eyes of a neocon, is whatever gets in the way of the neocon ideal of Jewish world domination. Ledeen wrote an article titled “What Machiavelli (A Secret Jew?) Learned From Moses” (Jewish World Review, June 7, 1999.) in which he posits the theory that his idol, Machiavelli, could have been a secret Jew. In the piece, Ledeen revealed his deep-seated desire to commit evil deeds, stating that “[t]here are circumstances when only doing evil ensures the victory of a good cause.” “A good cause,” in the mind of a neocon, is simply what is good for the Jews. Ledeen’s latest book is called “Obama’s Betrayal of Israel,” which should tell you something about his loyalties. In his atrocious neocon manifesto entitled, “The War Against the Terror Masters,” Ledeen proudly confessed to his purposefully destructive, megalomaniacal aims, stating:

“Creative destruction is our middle name, both within our own society and abroad. We tear down the old order every day, from business to science, literature, art,
architecture, and cinema to politics and the law. Our enemies have always hated this whirlwind of energy and creativity, which menaces their traditions (whatever they may be) and shames them for their inability to keep pace. Seeing America undo traditional societies, they fear us, for they do not wish to be undone. They cannot feel secure so long as we are there, for our very existence—our existence, not our politics—threatens their legitimacy. They must attack us in order to survive, just as we must destroy them to advance our historic mission.”

(Leeden, Michael Arthur. The War Against the Terror Masters: Why It Happened, Where We Are Now, And How We’ll Win. New York: St. Martin’s Press, pp. 212/3.)

The “war for Israel” thesis would not be complete without mentioning that in 1996 both Richard Perle and Douglas Feith, along with David and Meyrav Wurmser, participated in an Israeli study group called the “Study Group on a New Israeli Strategy Toward 2000”. Under the auspices of an Israeli policy think-tank known as the “Institute for Advanced Strategic & Political Studies,” this team of Zionist extremists authored a strategy paper intended as advice for incoming Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanayhu entitled, “A Clean Break: A New Strategy For Securing The Realm”. In it, they urged Netanyahu to break off the then-ongoing peace initiatives and suggested strategies for reshaping the Middle East in the Zionists’ favor. Among the group’s arguments was the idea that “removing Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq is an important Israeli strategic objective in its own right.” They also ferociously advocated the violent overthrow of the governments of Iran and Syria — essentially calling for the destruction of all remaining impediments to Jewish domination of the entire Middle East. Author James Bamford highlighted the significance of this paper in his book “A Pretext For War” (pp. 261-269):

“The blueprint for the new Bush policy [of aggression against Iraq] had actually been drawn up five years earlier by three of his top national security advisors. Soon to be appointed to senior administration positions, they were Richard Perle, Douglas Feith, and David Wurmser. Ironically the plan was originally intended not for Bush but for another world leader, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.

At the time, the three officials were out of government and working for conservative pro-Israel think tanks. Perle and Feith had previously served in high level Pentagon positions during the presidency of Ronald Reagan. In a very unusual move, the former—and future—senior American officials were acting as a sort of American privy council to the new Israeli Prime Minister. The Perle task force to advise Netanyahu was set up by the Jerusalem based Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies, where Wurmser
was working. A key part of the plan was to get the United States to pull out of peace negotiations and simply let Israel take care of the Palestinians as it saw fit. “Israel,” said the report, “can manage its own affairs. Such self-reliance will grant Israel greater freedom of action and remove a significant lever of pressure used against it in the past.”

But the centerpiece of the recommendations was the removal of Saddam Hussein as the first step in remaking the Middle East into a region friendly, instead of hostile, to Israel. Their plan “A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm,” also signaled a radical departure from the peace-oriented policies of former Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, who was assassinated by a member of an extreme right-wing Israeli group.

As part of their “grand strategy” they recommended that once Iraq was conquered and Saddam Hussein overthrown, he should be replaced by a puppet leader friendly to Israel. Whoever inherits Iraq, they wrote, dominates the entire Levant strategically. Then they suggested that Syria would be the next country to be invaded. Israel can shape its strategic environment, they said.

This would be done, they recommended to Netanyahu, by re-establishing the principle of pre-emption and by rolling back its Arab neighbors. From then on, the principle would be to strike first and expand, a dangerous and provocative change in philosophy. They recommended launching a major unprovoked regional war in the Middle East, attacking Lebanon and Syria and ousting Iraq’s Saddam Hussein. Then, to gain the support of the American government and public, a phony pretext would be used as the reason for the original invasion.”

The Clean Break document and its psychopathic authors were likely influenced by an earlier Israeli strategy, conceived by Zionist zealot Oded Yinon in his paper “A Strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties,” published by the World Zionist Organization in 1982. Yinon’s paper outlined a wicked scheme in which the Arab states surrounding Israel would be destroyed from within by exploiting their internal religious and ethnic tensions. Yinon singled out Iraq in particular as Israel’s primary hegemonic roadblock. He methodically laid out how he wished to annihilate and then feast upon Iraq’s corpse in this way:

“Iraq, rich in oil on the one hand and internally torn on the other, is guaranteed as a candidate for Israel’s targets. Its dissolution is even more important for us than that of Syria. Iraq is stronger than Syria. In the short run it is Iraqi power which constitutes the greatest threat to Israel. An Iraqi-Iranian war will tear Iraq apart and cause its downfall at home even before it is able to organize a struggle on a wide front against us. Every kind of inter-Arab confrontation will assist us in the short run and will shorten the way to the more important aim of breaking up Iraq into denominations as in Syria and in Lebanon. In Iraq, a division into provinces along ethnic/religious lines as in Syria during Ottoman times is possible. So, three (or more) states will exist around the three major cities: Basra, Baghdad and Mosul, and Shiite areas in the south will separate from the Sunni and Kurdish north.”
The neocon Jews believe that by weakening Israel’s external enemies they will thereby weaken Israel’s internal enemies, the native Palestinians, who have received support from countries like Iran, Iraq, Lebanon and Syria. In the psychotic tradition of Jabotinsky, they plan on accomplishing their vile goals by any means necessary.

All of the false and fabricated propaganda that was used by President Bush and his Jewish administration to justify a pre-emptive invasion of Iraq emerged from a shadowy group within the Pentagon called the ‘Office of Special Plans’ (OSP). Established by Donald Rumsfeld and Paul Wolfowitz shortly after 9/11 as a way to bypass the intelligence community, it was headed by Jewish neocon kingpin Douglas Feith. The co-director of the OSP was the neocon Jew Abram Shulsky, an apprentice of Richard Perle and disciple of neocon grandsire Leo Strauss. Reporter Jim Lobe, a critic of the neocons and the Iraq war, pointed out the absurdity of the situation:

“What would you do if you wanted to topple Saddam Hussein, but your intelligence agencies couldn’t find the evidence to justify a war? A follower of Leo Strauss may just hire the “right” kind of men to get the job done – people with the intellect, acuity, and, if necessary, the political commitment, polemical skills, and, above all, the imagination to find the evidence that career intelligence officers could not detect.” ("Leo Strauss' Philosophy of Deception," AlterNet, May 18, 2003.)

In 1999, Shulsky wrote an essay about his mentor Leo Strauss within which he suggests that deception is the norm in political life. (Ibid.) Shadia Drury wrote in her book “Leo Strauss and the American Right” that Strauss argued for the need of an ‘external threat’ which is used to maintain control over society. If no external threat existed, Strauss argued, “then one has to be manufactured.” How ironic that these dedicated political deceivers, ensconced in the diabolical doctrine of Strauss, are the ones who fed us the myth of the “dangers of Islamic terrorism” and the myth of Iraq’s “Weapons of Mass Destruction”. Robert Dreyfuss and Jason Vest, in their January 26, 2004, Mother Jones article “The Lie Factory,” wrote about the skullduggery of the Jewish cabal running the OSP:

“Kwiatkowski, 43, a now-retired Air Force officer who served in the Pentagon’s Near East and South Asia (NESA) unit in the year before the invasion of Iraq, observed how the Pentagon’s Iraq war-planning unit manufactured scare stories about Iraq’s weapons and ties to terrorists. “It wasn’t intelligence-it was propaganda,” she says. “They’d take a little bit of intelligence, cherry-pick it, make it sound much more exciting, usually by taking it out of context, often by juxtaposition of two pieces of information that don’t belong together.” It was by turning such bogus intelligence into talking points for U.S. officials-including ominous lines in speeches by President Bush and Vice President Cheney, along with Secretary of State Colin Powell’s testimony at the U.N. Security Council last February-that the administration pushed American public opinion into supporting an unnecessary war. [...]
Defense William Luti, under the rubric Office of Special Plans, or OSP; the new unit’s
director was Abram N. Shulsky. By then, David Wurmser had moved on to a post as
senior adviser to Undersecretary of State John Bolton, yet another neocon, who was in
charge of the State Department’s disarmament, proliferation, and WMD office and was
promoting the Iraq war strategy there. Shulsky’s OSP, which incorporated the secret
intelligence unit, took control, banishing veteran experts—including Joseph McMillan,
James Russell, Larry Hanauer, and Marybeth McDevitt—who, despite years of service to
NESA, either were shuffled off to other positions or retired. For the next year, Luti and
Shulsky not only would oversee war plans but would act aggressively to shape the
intelligence product received by the White House. …

According to Lt. Colonel Kwiatkowski, Luti and Shulsky ran NESA and the Office of
Special Plans with brutal efficiency, purging people they disagreed with and enforcing
the party line. ‘It was organized like a machine,’ she says. ‘The people working on the
neocon agenda had a narrow, well-defined political agenda. They had a sense of mission.’
At NESA, Shulsky, she says, began ‘hot-desking,’ or taking an office wherever he could
find one, working with Feith and Luti, before formally taking the reins of the newly
created OSP. Together, she says, Luti and Shulsky turned cherry-picked pieces of
uncorroborated, anti-Iraq intelligence into talking points, on issues like Iraq’s WMD and
its links to Al Qaeda. Shulsky constantly updated these papers, drawing on the
intelligence unit, and circulated the
m to Pentagon officials, including Rumsfeld, and to
Vice President Cheney. ‘Of course, we never thought they’d go directly to the White
House,’ she adds.

Kwiatkowski recalls one meeting in which Luti, pressed to finish a report, told the staff,
“I’ve got to get this over to ‘Scooter’ right away.” She later found out that “Scooter” was
none other than I. Lewis Scooter Libby, Vice President Cheney’s chief of staff.
According to Kwiatkowski, Cheney had direct ties through Luti into NESA/OSP, a
connection that was highly unorthodox.”

The OSP cabal conspired with Ariel Sharon’s government in Israel to promote an
invasion of Iraq. Dozens of Israeli military and intelligence officials would just traipse in and out
of Douglas Feith’s office in the Pentagon without going through regular check-in procedures.
Journalist Julian Borger revealed that,

“The OSP was an open and largely unfiltered conduit to the White House not only
for the Iraqi opposition. It also forged close ties to a parallel, ad hoc intelligence
operation inside Ariel Sharon’s office in Israel specifically to bypass Mossad and
provide the Bush administration with more alarmist reports on Saddam’s Iraq than
Mossad was prepared to authorise. “None of the Israelis who came were cleared into
the Pentagon through normal channels,” said one source familiar with the visits.
Instead, they were waved in on Mr Feith’s authority without having to fill in the
usual forms. The exchange of information continued a long-standing relationship
Mr Feith and other Washington neo-conservatives had with Israel’s Likud party.”
(“The spies who pushed for war,” The Guardian (UK), July 17, 2003.)
Jim Lobe also made note of this astonishing fact:

“[Retired Lt Col Karen Kwiatkowski] recounts one incident in which she helped escort a group of half a dozen Israelis, including several generals, from the first floor reception area to Feith’s office. “We just followed them, because they knew exactly where they were going and moving fast”. When the group arrived, she noted the book which all visitors are required to sign under special regulations that took effect after the Sep. 11, 2001 attacks. “I asked his secretary, ‘Do you want these guys to sign in’? She said, ‘No, these guys don’t have to sign in’. It occurred to her, she said, that the office may have deliberately not wanted to maintain a record of the meeting.” (“Pentagon Office Home to Neo-Con Network,” Inter Press Service, Aug. 7, 2003.)

Larry Franklin, a Pentagon staffer who worked with Feith and Shulsky in the OSP, was indicted and sentenced to 12 years in jail for passing classified documents to Israel through two employees of AIPAC. (“Pentagon Analyst Gets 12 Years for Disclosing Data,” New York Times, Jan. 20, 2006.) Espionage charges were also brought against the two AIPAC staffers involved in the affair, Keith Weissman and Steve Rosen, for accepting the classified documents, but due to Jewish pressure the charges against them were not pursued. In his article “AIPAC on Trial,” sociologist James Petras noted that,

“The Franklin-AIPAC-Israeli investigation was more than a spy case. It involved the future of US-Middle East relations and more specifically whether the “neo-cons” would be able to push the US into a military confrontation with Iran. Franklin was a top Pentagon analyst on Iran, with access to all the executive branch deliberations on Iran. AIPAC lobbying and information gathering was aggressively directed toward pushing the Israeli agenda on a US-Iranian confrontation against strong opposition in the State Department, CIA, military intelligence and field commanders.”

Referring to the political appointees associated with the OSP, Jim Lobe observed that “[a]long with Feith, all of the political appointees have in common a close identification with the views of the right-wing Likud Party in Israel.” (“How neo-cons influence the Pentagon,” Asia Times Online, Aug. 8, 2003.) Specifically, he mentioned three more neocon Jews on the OSP staff: Michael Rubin, a Middle East specialist, previously with AEI; David Schenker, previously with the Washington Institute for Near East Policy (WINEP), a think-tank associated with AIPAC; and Michael Makovsky, “the younger brother of David Makovsky, a senior WINEP fellow and former executive editor of pro-Likud Jerusalem Post.” (Ibid.)

Many people have been duped by the false theory that the Iraq war was a “war for oil.” This theory is promoted mainly by anti-war leftists who refuse to acknowledge the overwhelming evidence of the “war for Israel” thesis because then they would have to concede that Jews have enough power over the American government and media to bring about wars that are not in America’s interests. To point out truths about Jewish power would contradict political correctness and thus they blame it all on the desire for oil and greed. Academics John
Mersheimer and Stephen Walt laid this myth to rest in their book “The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy,” writing:

“Some Americans believe that this was a “war for oil,” but there is hardly any direct evidence to support this claim. Instead, the war was motivated in good part by a desire to make Israel more secure. According to Philip Zelikow, a member of the President’s Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (2001-2003), executive director of the 9/11 Commission, and now Counselor to Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, the “real threat” from Iraq was not a threat to the United States. The “unstated threat” was the “threat against Israel,” Zelikow told a University of Virginia audience in September 2002, noting further that “the American government doesn’t want to lean too hard on it rhetorically, because it is not a popular sell.”

On August 16, 2002, eleven days before Vice President Cheney kicked off the campaign for war with a hard-line speech to the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the Washington Post reported that “Israel is urging U.S. officials not to delay a military strike against Iraq’s Saddam Hussein.” By this point, according to Sharon, strategic coordination between Israel and the U.S. had reached “unprecedented dimensions,” and Israeli intelligence officials had given Washington a variety of alarming reports about Iraq’s WMD programs. As one retired Israeli general later put it, “Israeli intelligence was a full partner to the picture presented by American and British intelligence regarding Iraq’s non-conventional capabilities.”

… At the same time, former Prime Minister Ehud Barak wrote a New York Times op-ed warning that “the greatest risk now lies in inaction.” His predecessor, Benjamin Netanyahu, published a similar piece in the Wall Street Journal entitled “The Case for Toppling Saddam.” Netanyahu declared, “Today nothing less than dismantling his regime will do,” adding that “I believe I speak for the overwhelming majority of Israelis in supporting a pre-emptive strike against Saddam’s regime.” Or as Ha’aretz reported in February 2003: ‘The [Israeli] military and political leadership yearns for war in Iraq.’

The only point that needs to be made in regard to oil is that Israel plundered Iraq’s oil soon after the American occupation. Likud kingpin Benjamin Netanyahu told a group of British investors: “Soon you will see Iraqi oil flowing to Haifa. It is just a matter of time until the pipeline is reconstituted, and Iraqi oil will flow to the Mediterranean. It’s not a pipe dream.” (Steven Scheer, “Netanyahu Says Iraq-Israel Oil Line No Pipe-Dream,” Reuters, June 21, 2005.) Haaretz reported that “[t]he new pipeline would take oil from the Kirkuk area, where some 40 percent of Iraqi oil is produced, and transport it via Mosul, and then across Jordan to Israel.” (“U.S. checking possibility of pumping oil from northern Iraq to Haifa, via Jordan,” Haaretz, Aug. 25, 2003.) Another profiteering element of the Iraq war was exposed by Tom Barry who pointed out that Douglas Feith’s law firm, Feith & Zell, merged with the Israeli firm ‘Zell, Goldberg & Co.’ to become the ‘Fandz International Law Group’ which is responsible for bringing American companies into Iraq after the invasion and assisting them in “reconstructing” the shattered nation. (“Douglas Feith: Portrait of a Neoconservative,” Anti War, Sept. 16, 2004.) Iraqi writer Jonathan Azaziah exposed the fact that,
“it would be none other than Feith who would be put in charge of “reconstructing” Iraq. To maximize Zionist profits, Marc Zell, Feith’s partner in Fandz International Law Group, would go on to found the “Iraqi International Law Group (IILG)” with Salem “Sam” Chalabi, the nephew of none other than Ahmad Chalabi. This joint “Israeli”-Iraqi venture was the very first international law firm to open on Iraqi soil and it boastfully proclaimed on its website that, “Our clients number among the largest corporations and institutions on the planet.” Zell is an ultra-Zionist “Israeli” citizen and illegal settler that lives in the Jewish colony of Alon Shevut in the occupied West Bank. He served as a member of the Likud Party’s central committee and like Feith, is a vehement anti-Arab racist. Jewish birds of a feather indeed do flock together and in this case, the species of bird is ‘Zionist hawk.’” ("Jewish At The Root: Iraq’s Destruction, Hell Weapons, Hatred, Networking And The Interconnectedness Of It All,” Mask of Zion, April 14, 2012.)

Of course, in every war there are profiteers, and not always Jewish ones. But with respect to Iraq, nearly all of the beneficiaries and financial parasites who fleeced and robbed the country of all its resources and valuables were Jewish-Zionist criminals. (Ibid.) That is why, in 2008, Israel’s chief Ashkenazi rabbi Yona Metzger gleefully thanked President Bush for attacking Iraq. “I want to thank you for your support of Israel and in particular for waging a war against Iraq,” the ‘man of God’ told Bush in a brief verbal exchange at the Ben-Gurion airport terminal. ("Chief rabbi thanks Bush for ‘war against Iraq’,” Jerusalem Post, Jan. 10, 2008.) A lot of attention is focused on the war in Iraq, but not much is said about Afghanistan. The war in Afghanistan was launched on the false pretense that the Afghanistan-based Osama bin Laden and his Al-Qaeda group were responsible for the 9/11 attacks. Since there is no evidence that OBL, Al-Qaeda or the Taliban had anything to do with 9/11, the war in Afghanistan is an illegitimate and illegal act of naked aggression. NBC News made note of the incredible fact that the U.S. government already had detailed plans to attack Afghanistan many months before 9/11. NBC journalist Jim Miklaszewski reported:

“President Bush was expected to sign detailed plans for a worldwide war against al-Qaeda two days before Sept. 11 but did not have the chance before the terrorist attacks in New York and Washington, U.S. and foreign sources told NBC News. The document, a formal National Security Presidential Directive, amounted to a “game plan to remove al-Qaeda from the face of the earth,” one of the sources told NBC News’ Jim Miklaszewski. ... The plan dealt with all aspects of a war against al-Qaeda, ranging from diplomatic initiatives to military operations in Afghanistan.

... In many respects, the directive, as described to NBC News, outlined essentially the same war plan that the White House, the CIA and the Pentagon put into action after the Sept. 11 attacks. The administration most likely was able to respond so quickly to the attacks because it simply had to pull the plans “off the shelf,” Miklaszewski said. ... In another striking parallel to the war plan adopted after Sept. 11, the security directive included efforts to persuade Afghanistan’s Taliban government to turn al-Qaeda leader Osama bin Laden over to the United States, with provisions to use military force if it refused.
... The couching of the plans as a formal security directive is significant, Miklaszewski reported, because it indicates that the United States intended a full-scale assault on al-Qaida even if the Sept. 11 attacks had not occurred. (“U.S. sought attack on al-Qaida White House given plan days before Sept. 11,” MSNBC, May 16, 2002.)

The BBC’s George Arney confirmed that the war in Afghanistan was pre-arranged. Niaz Naik, a former Pakistani diplomat, told the BBC that “the US was planning military action against Osama Bin Laden and the Taliban even before last week’s [9/11] attacks.” According to Naik, the wider objective of the planned U.S. invasion “would be to topple the Taliban regime and install a transitional government of moderate Afghans in its place.” Naik said that the U.S. would be unlikely to drop its plans “even if Bin Laden were to be surrendered immediately by the Taliban.” (“US ‘planned attack on Taleban’,” BBC News, Sept. 18, 2001.) Months before the events of 9/11, the American government had already informed several other countries such as India, Russia, Uzbekistan, and others, that an initiative to oust the Taliban from power in Afghanistan was going to be undertaken by October of 2001 at the latest. (Ibid.)

When the strict Islamist Taliban movement came to power in Afghanistan in September of 1996, they immediately undertook a program of eradicating the opium crop, which was the country’s largest export up until that time. Opium is used to make the dangerous drug called heroin. Religious Muslims, like the Taliban, have a very negative view of drugs and alcohol, and it is considered sinful behavior to ingest these harmful substances. For this reason, they banned opium. Frederick Starr, the chairman of the Central Asia Institute at Johns Hopkins University, said that “the reduction [of opium crop in Afghanistan] is probably the most dramatic event in the history of illegal drug markets, not only in scale, but also in the fact that it was done domestically, without international assistance.” (“Taliban’s Eradication of Poppies Is Convulsing Opium Market,” New York Times, June 13, 2001.) This bold move by the Taliban to eliminate opium from their lands upset the world’s biggest drug dealers: the CIA and the Mossad. Since the American occupation of Afghanistan and the ousting of the Taliban, opium cultivation is back in full swing and the heroin drug market is stronger than ever. (“Who benefits from the Afghan Opium Trade?,” Global Research, Sept. 21, 2006.) The opium drug trade has largely been in the hands of Jewish financiers since the 1800s. The extended family of David Sassoon, a Jew of Iraqi origin who settled in Bombay, India in 1829, were the most prolific and successful opium peddlers in history, and were the principal cause of the bloody British-Chinese “opium wars” of 1839 to 1842 and 1856 to 1860. (“How the Opium War was started by the Jew!,” Wake Up From Your Slumber, Nov. 2, 2008.) Since the days of David Sassoon, not much has changed in terms of the ethnic character of the opium business. Today, Israeli and Russian-Jewish mobsters are controlling large segments of the global drug trade, working in collaboration with the Israeli and American secret services. (See: “Red Mafiya: How the Russian Mob Has Invaded America” by Robert I. Friedman)

Chris Bollyn has written extensively about 9/11 and the war in Afghanistan. In his 2001 article “The Great Game: The War for Caspian Oil and Gas,” Bollyn pointed out that the Israelis are deeply engaged in the Central Asian region and have established a strong economic foothold there. He wrote:
“Turkmenistan and Azerbaijan are also both closely allied with Israeli commercial interests and Israeli military intelligence. In Turkmenistan, a former Israeli intelligence agent, Yosef A. Maiman, president of Merhav Group of Israel, is the official negotiator and policy maker responsible for developing the energy resources of Turkmenistan.

“This is the Great Game all over,” Maiman told the Wall Street Journal about his role in furthering the “geopolitical goals of both the U.S. and Israel in Central Asia. “We are doing what U.S. and Israeli policy could not achieve,” he said, “Controlling the transport route is controlling the product.”

“Those that control the oil routes out of Central Asia will impact all future direction and quantities of flow and the distribution of revenues from new production,” said energy expert James Dorian recently in Oil & Gas Journal on September 10, 2001.

Foreign business in Turkmenistan is dominated by Maiman’s Merhav Group, according to the Washington Report on Middle East Affairs (WRMEA). Maiman, who was made a citizen of Turkmenistan by presidential decree, serves as Turkmenistan’s official negotiator for its gas pipeline, special ambassador, and right-hand man for the authoritarian President Saparmurad Atayevich Niyazov, a former Politburo member of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union.

The Merhav Group of Israel officially represents the Turkmen government and has brokered all of the energy projects in Turkmenistan, contracts worth many billions of dollars.”

On the Zionist objective in the Afghan war, Bollyn explained:

“The main Zionist goal in Afghanistan and Pakistan is to “pacify” these nations to allow the Turkmenistan-Afghanistan-Pakistan-India (TAPI) gas pipeline to be built. This line, once completed, could be extended to China. This project is the pipe dream of Joseph A. Maiman (a.k.a. Josef, Yosef, Yossi), one of the most powerful men in Israel. The Jerusalem Post of 23 July 2004, described Maiman as one of the leading miners of the gas of Central Asia, specifically Turkmenistan. … The “former” Israeli Mossad agent Joseph A. Maiman is reportedly the owner or co-owner of much of that gas and has been the key person managing the development of Turkmenistan’s gas fields. If the TAPI pipeline were to be completed, the Israelis connected with Maiman and Merhav would collect tens of billions of dollars by selling the gas of Turkmenistan to Pakistan, India, and China. This is certainly one of the key Israeli strategic goals in Afghanistan and Pakistan. The pipeline is meant to pass through Kandahar, the area where Canadians are serving and which Canada is obliged to defend until 2012.” (“Obama’s Deception — 9/11 and Afghanistan,” Bollyn Website, June 5, 2009.)

The occupation of Afghanistan proved very profitable indeed for the Jewish power elite and their minions in the West. Indisputably, ousting Saddam Hussein in Iraq and the Taliban in Afghanistan were on the agenda from the outset of Bush’s presidency. All that was needed was a “catalyzing event” to fast-track these imperialist endeavors. Tony Blair, the criminal British PM
at the time of 9/11, made the candid admission that “there was no way we could have got the public consent to have suddenly launched a campaign on Afghanistan but for what happened on September 11.” (Michael Meacher, “This war on terrorism is bogus,” The Guardian, Sept. 06, 2003.) Besides Iraq and Afghanistan, the Jewish clique in the Pentagon had Iran and Syria in their cross-hairs from day one as well. Juan Cole described their heinous war plans in this way:

“It is an echo of the one-two punch secretly planned by the pro-Likud faction in the Department of Defense. First, the United States would take out Iraq, and then Iran. David Wurmser, a key member of the group, also wanted Syria included. These pro-Likud intellectuals concluded that 9/11 would give them carte blanche to use the Pentagon as Israel’s Gurkha regiment, fighting elective wars on behalf of Tel Aviv (not wars that really needed to be fought, but wars that the Likud coalition thought it would be nice to see fought so as to increase Israel’s ability to annex land and act aggressively, especially if someone else’s boys did the dying).” (“AIPAC’s Overt and Covert Ops,” Anti War, Aug. 30, 2004.)

After Baghdad fell, the neocon Jews began to push hard for a U.S. attack on Syria. Two articles that appeared in The Forward described the driving forces behind the U.S.’s aggressive policies toward that nation. In one article from mid-April, the author noted: “A sudden flurry of U.S. warnings to Syria in recent days indicates that Washington has undertaken what Israel and its supporters here have been urging for months: a comprehensive reassessment of Syrian ruler Bashar Assad.” (M. Perelman, “Behind Warnings to Damascus: Reassessment of Younger Assad,” Forward, April 18, 2003.) A few months later in mid-July, the same author wrote: “During the past several months, top Israeli officials have warned their American counterparts and audiences about Assad’s unreliability. American officials have echoed the stance and press reports have speculated about possible American military intervention in Syria.” (M. Perelman, “Syria Makes Overture over Negotiations,” Forward, July 11, 2003.) It wouldn’t be until 2011 that serious action against Syria would be undertaken by these dark forces. At this time of writing, Syria is being dismantled in a brutal internal conflict wherein CIA and Mossad-sponsored mercenaries and terrorists are tearing the country apart, while the Jewish-owned media routinely fabricates war propaganda against Syria’s righteous ruler Basher al-Assad. A vindictive proxy war is being waged against the government of Assad by the familiar foes of humanity — the Zionist state and its Western surrogates. They plan to topple Assad and install an Israel-friendly stooge in his place. (Jonathan Azaziah, “Syria Under Fire: Zionist Destabilization Hits Critical Mass,” Mask of Zion, Aug. 14, 2011 / “Syria: Zionist Mobilization Kicks Into High Gear,” Mask of Zion, July 16, 2011.) Paul Craig Roberts, a former Reagan administration official, identified who is behind the charge to vaporize Syria. In an article called “The Neoconservative War Criminals In Our Midst,” Roberts said:

“What the State Department needs is an office that rounds up American war criminals. They are in abundance and not hard to find. Indeed, recently 56 of them made themselves public by signing a letter to President Obama demanding that he send in the US Army to complete the destruction of Syria and its people that Washington has begun. … Among the 56 signatures are a few names from the Syrian National Congress, believed to be a CIA front, and a few names from dupes
among the goyim. The rest of the signatures are those of Jewish neoconservatives tightly allied with Israel, some of whom are apparently dual-Israeli citizens who participate in the formation of US foreign policy. The names on this list comprise a concentration of evil, the goal of which is not only to bring armageddon to the Syrian people but also to the world.”

Libya, another target of Israel and the neocons for decades, was next on the chopping block. The fiendish campaign to destroy Libya began as a proxy war in early 2011, with U.S. and Israeli-backed mercenaries and insurgents being sent in to create chaos, turmoil and civil war, which later developed into a full-on bombing offensive by NATO. Led by the U.S., France and Britain, NATO pummeled Libya with bomb after bomb, mauling the entire nation and its people. The goal was to overthrow the anti-Zionist leader of Libya, Colonel Muammar Gaddafi, and install a stooge friendly to Israel and International Jewry’s vassal states in the West. (Jonathan Azaziah, “Libya: The Zionist Dragon and The Drums of War,” Mask of Zion, March 25, 2011.)

To the delight of the Jewish Media, Gaddafi was assassinated in a barbaric manner by a violent mob of terrorists on the 20th of October, 2011, which the researcher Martin Iqbal has established was carried out at the behest of NATO Special Forces. (“The ‘rebel’ assassination of Muammar Gaddafi: a NATO operation from A to Z,” Empire Strikes Black, Oct. 22, 2011.) To trace the origins of the butchery that has befallen Libya, look no further than the March 28, 2011 article in the neocon rag The Weekly Standard entitled “Qaddafi Must Go”. In this piece, Jewish neocon commentator Max Boot reveals his lust for Libyan blood. The British journalist David Swanson was quite correct in his depiction of the Libyan travesty as “another neocon war.” (“Libya: another neocon war,” The Guardian, April 21, 2011.) Jonathan Azaziah made note of the fact that,

“an organization called the Foreign Policy Initiative (FPI) wrote a letter to Obama, puppet of Zion, urging an immediate full-scale military intervention to take out Qaddafi and “end the violence.” Who are the leaders of FPI, you ask? PNAC repackaged: Wolfowitz, Kristol, Abrams, Kagan, Edelman and Senor. The genocidal maniacs who designed the ravaging and pillaging of Iraq and Afghanistan. In line with FPI’s request, Zionist hawks Joe Lieberman and John McCain called Washington from Tel Aviv demanding that Obama arm the “rebels,” who were already receiving training from Western Special Ops.”

A key architect of the aggression against Libya was the French Jew Bernard-Henri Levy who persuaded France’s President Nicolas Sarkozy to support intervention there. Levy’s 2012 documentary “The Oath of Tobruk” details his carnivorous quest to bring doom upon the people of Libya. The film contains footage of Levy’s visit to Israel where he debriefed 9/11 mastermind Benjamin Netanyahu about the progress of Libya’s dismemberment and the benefits that the Zionists will reap upon Gaddafi’s defeat. French reporter Leela Jacinto acknowledged Levy’s leading role in the instigation of the war against Libya and made note of his “Jewish roots and his pro-Israeli views.” (“The Libyan War, brought to you by Bernard-Henri Levy,” France 24 News, July 6, 2012.) Nicolas Sarkozy himself is Jewish and a radical Zionist. His intense devotion to Israel’s cause was explained by a report from the influential French daily Le Figaro which unveiled that Sarkozy is a long-standing asset of the Israeli Mossad, having been recruited by the terrorist group back in the 1980s. (“Sarkozy accused of working for Israeli
intelligence,” Global Research, Nov. 3, 2007.) This has earned him the nickname “Sarko the Sayan” in anti-Zionist circles. The French intelligence service, the DGSE, has for quite some time operated as a mere conduit of Israel’s Mossad, taking orders from their Zionist handlers in Tel Aviv. It’s not surprising that the French government, under such a brutal Jewish occupation, has even tried to jail peaceful activists who have called for a boycott of Israeli goods. (“In blow to Israel, French BDS activists acquitted of crime in calling for boycott,” Electronic Intifada, Dec. 18, 2011.) Since World War Two and the defeat of the Axis Powers, this once great European nation has quickly degenerated into a slaving surrogate of World Zionism.

The push for an American attack on Iran is now, as of late 2012, on the precipice. Thirsty for Iranian blood, Netanyahu and his neoconservative henchmen are flagrantly and aggressively agitating for the annihilation of Iran and the ousting of its valiant anti-Zionist leader Mahmoud Ahmadinejad. At a speech at the United Nations in September of 2012, Netanyahu held up a childish drawing of a cartoon bomb and claimed that this was “proof” Iran is close to acquiring atomic weaponry. (“Netanyahu’s bomb steals the show in his UN speech,” Fox News, Sept. 28, 2012.) Netanyahu’s pathetically foolish and inept sales pitch for a war with Iran was scoffed at and ridiculed even by mainstream political commentators. The man has clearly lost his marbles. All of America’s sixteen intelligence agencies unanimously agree that Iran has no nuclear weapons and is not seeking to acquire them. (“U.S. does not believe Iran is trying to build nuclear bomb,” Los Angeles Times, Feb. 23, 2012.) In spite of this, Israel and their neocon loyalists are keen on propagating the same false allegations about WMDs that they foisted upon us in 2003, during the run-up to the war in Iraq. While hissing about the so-called threat of Iran’s nuclear program, Netanyahu and the Jewish neocons always neglect to mention that Israel has hundreds of nuclear bombs, including thermo-nuclear warheads and the devices required to launch them, refusing to allow inspections of their facilities or to sign the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, which Iran has signed. (“IRAN LIE same as IRAQ LIE,” YouTube) Bluffing with their pants down, Netanyahu and his neocon friends are looking more foolish by the day. The pure desperation of these cowardly warlords has shown itself in their flimsy rhetoric and cheap accusations.

Looking at what has unfolded in the Middle East since the September 11 attacks, one is reminded of the nauseating diatribes of Ehud Barak on the BBC shortly after the collapse of the Twin Towers, wherein he called for military action against all of the countries that have since fallen victim to Zionist-led Western aggression and terrorism. Does Ehud Barak possess the supernatural gift of clairvoyance or have his devious Zionist war plans been implemented to the letter? All of these endless wars and acts of aggression against the Middle East and North Africa
are part of a long-term Zionist plan – first enunciated by the very founders of the Jewish state itself in the 1950s but most vividly put into words by Oded Yinon in his 1982 paper “A Strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties” – to reconfigure the Middle East, making it easier for Israel to dominate, usurp and plunder the entire region. The reality of this demonic agenda was confirmed by Wesley Clark, a retired general of the U.S. Army and the former Supreme Allied Commander Europe of NATO from 1997 to 2000. Clark claims that shortly following the 9/11 attacks in 2001 a top general in the Pentagon showed him a piece of paper and said:

“I just got this memo today or yesterday from the office of the secretary of defense upstairs. It’s a, it’s a five-year plan. We’re going to take down seven countries in five years. We’re going to start with Iraq, then Syria, Lebanon, then Libya, Somalia, Sudan, we’re going to come back and get Iran in five years.” (‘Seven countries in five years’,” Salon, Oct. 12, 2007.)

The Jewish-Zionist conspiracy to unleash a Straussian ‘war of civilizations’ between the West and the Islamic world has uncoiled before our very eyes. The evidence for this is so substantial, that it is a wonder why so many people refuse to recognize it. Hypnotized by the Jewish-controlled media, they cannot see the forest for the trees. Even more evidence for this collective Zionist effort to plunge the world into chaos and anarchy came on the day of 9/11 itself. At about 10:30 on the morning of the attacks, the White House received a call saying “Angel Is Next.” (“Egads! Confidential 9/11 Pager Messages Disclosed,” CBS News, Nov. 25, 2009.) ‘Angel’ was the Secret Service’s code word for Air Force One, the presidential plane that was safeguarding Bush on 9/11. (Ibid.) Here is Webster Tarpley’s take on the matter:

“Look, this is a forgotten clue of 9/11, which seems to me is the most important, because this is when the invisible government speaks. You may remember that at one point during the morning, 10:00 probably on 9/11, a death threat against Bush came into the Secret Service, saying, “Angel is next.” It essentially means, Air Force One will be shot down as the next step in these developments.

‘Angel is next’ implies the top-secret codename or codeword for the Presidential aircraft, Air Force One. I go into intelligence agency reports... The Réseau Voltaire version, which came out September 20th (or) 25th, says that the ‘Angel is next’ phone call came complete with top secret codeword, across a variety of agencies, suggesting that the people that were behind the attacks were a powerful faction inside the US intelligence community and government in general.

And that secondly, they had the nuclear launch codes in their possession. This report goes on to say that the Bush team thought during most of the day, that they were the target of a military coup. And it was only somehow later in the day that the situation was recomposed. Now what would it mean?

Essentially it means that the invisible government force behind 9/11 tells Bush that he must respond by stating that its Bin Laden, Al Qaeda, the Arabs, the Islamic world, and that what’s gonna happen is the invasion of Afghanistan, and above all the beginning of the ‘war of civilizations’ that Samuel Huntington writes about. An open-ended aggression
of the United States against the Arab and Islamic world.” (“Angel is next- a clue 911 was a set up by a faction in the government,” Democratic Underground, April 14, 2006.)

As a result of his adherence to political correctness, or perhaps his servitude to Jewish interests, Tarpley refuses to correctly identify the individuals who comprise what he vaguely calls the “rogue network.” This “invisible government force” that he speaks of was the Jewish-Neocon nexus embedded in the White House and the Pentagon, working with the Israeli Mossad. It is only Israel, with its vast network of spies in the United States, including inside the government, that could have acquired these top secret codes with which to threaten and blackmail the President. It is the intrigues of the Mossad-controlled firm Ptech, with its backdoor software installed on the most sensitive U.S. government computer systems, including those of the military, that could have made this happen. Look no further than Mossad’s computer guru Amit Yoran, the czar of cyber-security at the Pentagon, and the Zionist operative Michael Goff of Ptech. It was a contingent of Jewish conspirators who issued this dire threat against President Bush as a way of blackmailing him into acquiescing to their agenda of world war. Ironically, it was Osama bin Laden himself who correctly perceived that “the leaders in America and in other countries as well have fallen victim to Jewish Zionist blackmail.” (Chris Bollyn, “What Does Bin Laden Really Want?,” American Free Press, Oct. 11, 2001.) In his unheard protestations of innocence shortly after the attacks, bin Laden was not hesitant to clarify who runs the shadow “government within the government” of the United States, stating:

“… Is it not that there exists a government within the government in the United States? That secret government must be asked as to who made the [9/11] attacks. … This [American] system is totally in [the] control of the American Jews, whose first priority is Israel, not the United States.” (Ummat, Sept. 28, 2001.)

As soon as 9/11 occurred Barak, Sharon, Netanyahu, Perle, Wolfowitz and other Jewish kingpins unleashed a collective rallying cry for a third world war. Efraim Halevy, Mossad’s chief on 9/11 who spent 40 years with the terror agency, disseminated the same message of world war. In June of 2002, Halevy informed a closed meeting of the NATO Alliance Council in Brussels that the September 11 attacks had been “an official and biting declaration of World War III,” emphasizing that there should be no distinction between the various Arab and Muslim resistance groups that Israel considers enemies — they should be treated as one entity and targeted for extinction. In a stunningly arrogant display of psychological projection, Halevy proclaimed:

“The 11th of September was, if you will, an official and biting declaration of World War III. … Only on September 11, was the die cast, and the true character of the new war was revealed to the eyes of all. This is a war in which the sides are not only countries but also terrorist groups, that operate almost with impunity. It is a war which does not have clear fighting lines; it is a war that is being waged against free societies, with weapons and strategies we have not known until now. It is a war which does not adhere to the rules of war, or the international legal norms.” (Yidiot Aharonot, June 28, 2002.)
In a revealing televised interview with the Canadian news program *The Standard*, Halevy was put on the spot and asked whether there was any merit to the suspicions of many people that the Mossad was behind the 9/11 attacks. Amazingly, Halevy admitted openly that Israel and Zionist Jews were the greatest beneficiaries of the attack, but lamely denied that they were behind the operation by simply chastising the allegations of Israeli involvement as “a very vicious libel.” Here is a portion of the exchange:

**Peter Klein (Interviewer):** That reputation could also backfire can’t it? After 9/11, for instance, there were conspiracies all over, certainly within the Arab world but even beyond that, that Mossad was the only one who had the power and the strength and the organizational skills to pull off something that spectacular — somehow Israel was behind that for political gain. Do you feel that’s the dark side of the reputation of Mossad?

**Efraim Halevy:** Well, like everything in life, there’s a downside to the mystique as well. On 9/11, I was head of the Mossad. And within hours of the event becoming public there were rumors flying around the Middle East that this was a Mossad operation because, after all, **one of the immediate results of the 9/11 was clearly a very very severe backlash of international approbation of Islam in general.** Islam had a very bad day. The Saudis had a very bad day. Muslim countries had a very bad day, including moderate countries. **So who benefits from it? And as you know in a crime the immediate question is asked: “Who benefits? Who benefits from something?”** Obviously Israel benefited, the Jewish people benefited. So it was the Mossad. This of course was a very vicious libel, it was a clear lie. . . . **But yes, in situations like this obviously it is easy to pin things on the Mossad.** (“Efraim Halevy interviewed by Peter Klein on The Standard – Part 1,” YouTube)

The significance of Halevy’s stunning admission -- that 9/11 was a blessing of good fortune for Israel and the Jewish people -- cannot be taken lightly. Next to Benjamin Netanyahu and Ehud Barak, Halevy is a prime suspect as a cardinal mastermind of 9/11 and has stated on record that Israel benefited exponentially from this disaster. As head of Mossad at the time the attacks took place, he must have been heavily involved in overseeing the operation, guiding the Israeli agents who carried out this act of mass murder. Working in collaboration with the Jewish-Neocon clique inside the White House and the Pentagon, Halevy and his murderous Mossad henchmen are riddled with guilt and must be held accountable for their sickening crimes.

As the evidence presented here undeniably shows, the neoconservatives in Washington are Israeli empire builders, not American ones. They worked in lock-step with the Israeli government led by Ariel Sharon and share the ideology of the Jabotinskyites who run that evil regime. When it is all
said and done, anyone with the capacity to read cannot escape the conclusion that neoconservatism is a Jewish movement fueled by Jewish motivations and for Jewish gain. The hellish wars against Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and the push for imperialist incursions into Syria, Iran, Sudan and other countries, are Jewish wars fueled by Jewish motivations for Jewish gain.

Many authors have written lengthy books which corroborate this thesis. Dr. Stephen Sniegoski cogently documented this inescapable truth in his book “The Transparent Cabal: The Neoconservative Agenda, War in the Middle East, and the National Interest of Israel”. Likewise, Michael Collins Piper confirmed this reality in his informative text “The High Priests of War”. Even Jewish writers such as Murray Rothstein, author of “The Neoconservative Revolution: Jewish Intellectuals and the Shaping of Public Policy”, and Yuri Slezkine, author of “The Jewish Century”, admit this fact. These writers make quick work of the fools and shills who seek to hide and bury these forbidden truths underneath an edifice of lies and deceit.
CHAPTER FIFTEEN

The Neocons and the Holocaust®: Zionism’s Big Lie

Besides Al-Qaeda’s purported sponsorship of 9/11 and Saddam’s alleged “Weapons of Mass Destruction,” the neocons were intoxicated with a third monstrous myth: the holocaust story. Many of the most prominent Jewish neocons have acknowledged that their belief in the holocaust story lies at the very foundation of their Zionist fanaticism and lust for war with the perceived enemies of the Jews and Israel. Gal Beckerman, writing for the Jewish Forward, made note of the “holocaust consciousness” that enshrouds the thinking and worldview of Jewish neocons, writing:

“It was [Norman] Podhoretz, however, who gave neoconservatism its most explicitly Jewish cast. The August 1968 issue of Commentary featured Emil Fackenheim’s famous essay, “Jewish Faith and the Holocaust: A Fragment,” which included Fackenheim’s contention that after Auschwitz, Jews had a moral responsibility to defend Jewish interests so as not to hand Hitler a “posthumous victory.” By February 1972, Podhoretz himself wrote a piece titled, without irony, “Is It Good for the Jews?”

Holocaust consciousness was growing in the 1970s, as was a renewed sense of threat to Jews and a feeling that, as Podhoretz put it, the postwar “statute of limitations” on antisemitism had run out. Israel’s security, threatened in the Six Day War and the Yom Kippur War — both events that gave Jews existential pause — suddenly became a top American Jewish concern. Podhoretz came to identify more and more with the defense of Jews, and by the 1980s, half his articles on international affairs focused on Israel and threats to the Jewish people.” (“The Neoconservative Persuasion: Examining the Jewish roots of an intellectual movement,” The Forward, Jan. 06, 2006.)

The three prime engineers of the war in Iraq — Douglas Feith, Richard Perle and Paul Wolfowitz — have all conceded that it is the holocaust story that constitutes the engine that drives their calamitous policies of aggressive warfare. Speaking about what motivates his views on foreign policy, Richard Perle said:

“For those of us who are involved in foreign and defense policy today of my generation, the defining moment of our history was certainly the holocaust. It was destruction, a genocide of a whole people, and it was the failure to respond in a timely fashion to a threat that was clearly gathering. We don’t want that to happen again. When we have the ability to stop totalitarian regimes we should do so because when we fail to do so the results are catastrophic.” (“The War Party,” BBC)

A New York Times piece on Paul Wolfowitz noted his status as “one of Israel’s staunchest allies in the [Bush] administration” and explained that his worldview was,
“forged by family history… Brooklyn-born and raised in Ithaca, N.Y., Mr. Wolfowitz, 58, is the son of a Cornell University mathematician who escaped Poland after World War I. The rest of his father’s family perished in the Holocaust. ‘That sense of what happened in Europe in World War II has shaped a lot of my views,’ he said.” (Eric Schmitt, “The Busy Life of Being a Lightning Rod for Bush,” New York Times, April 22, 2002.)

Douglas Feith furnished some autobiographical material to explain why he is such a fervent promoter of war and death, telling journalist Jeffrey Goldberg:

“I had done a lot of reading, relative for a kid, about World War Two, and I thought about Chamberlain a lot. Chamberlain wasn’t popular in my house. What I was hearing from the antiwar movement, with which I had a fair amount of sympathy . . . were thoughts about how the world works, how war is not the answer. I mean, the idea that we could have peace no matter what anybody else in the world does didn’t make sense to me. It’s a solipsism. When I took all these nice-sounding ideas and compared it to my own little personal ‘Cogito, ergo sum,’ which was my understanding that my family got wiped out by Hitler, and that all this stuff about working things out-well, talking to Hitler to resolve the problem didn’t make any sense to me. The kind of people who put bumper stickers on their car that declare that ‘war is not the answer,’ are they making a serious comment? What’s the answer to Pearl Harbor? What’s the answer to the Holocaust? The surprising thing is not that there are so many Jews who are neocons but that there are so many who are not.” (“A Little Learning: What Douglas Feith knew, and when he knew it.,” The New Yorker, May 9, 2005.)

Expressing a deep hatred of gentiles and a desire to exterminate anyone who gets in the way of Jewish group interests, these three Zionist warmongers invoke nonsensical justifications in defense of their evil policies. The argument that they seem to be making, is that since some of their family members perished during the Second World War — along with the over 60 million others who also died in the war — a war instigated by the Jewish elite themselves — they now feel that they have an obligation to crush any possible ‘threat’ to Jewish world hegemony. Neocon crime boss Michael Ledeen also acknowledged the centrality of the holocaust story to his views. In an article entitled “The New Holocaust” (PJ Media, Dec. 22, 2010.) Ledeen writes that he has “spent a lot of time interviewing Holocaust survivors” and goes on to propagate the flaccid falsehood that:

“…unlike most of the Holocaust, the slaughter of contemporary Jews is not carried out in antiseptic gas chambers, but in the streets, markets, restaurants, airports, and skyscrapers of the modern world. These killers do not have the comforting distance that Nazi technology placed between killers and martyrs. The jihadis think of themselves as individual assassins, not deranged industrial managers, as did so many of Hitler’s men.”

Bradley Smith, the founder of the Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH), opined that this neocon obsession with, and blind belief in, the holocaust dogma of
German WMD – the myth of the gas chambers – is what lies behind their sinister policies of open-ended aggression against the entire Arab and Muslim world, with the intention of bolstering Israeli military and economic supremacy in the Middle East. Smith writes:

“We are left to imagine how this uninformed (I choose to trust that Wolfowitz is not willfully ignoring revisionist work on the gas chamber fraud) view of what happened between Germans and Jews during World War II influences how he morally justifies the creation of a Jewish state on Muslim land in the Middle East, the ensuing US alliance with Israel, and the war against Iraq which helps to secure Israel as a homeland for Jews, in the short run.” (“Paul Wolfowitz: the Blind Leading the Blind,” Outlaw History Newsletter, Nov. 23, 2004.)

The official account of the ‘holocaust’ is divorced from reality on a multitude of levels. The veracity of the claims made about ‘homicidal gas chambers’ in German concentration camps during the Second World War has been scientifically debunked by chemists and engineers such as Fred Leuchter, Germar Rudolf, Dr. William B. Lindsey and Walter Lüftl. The orthodox holocaust story has been cut to pieces by rigorous analysis and research done by revisionist scholars such as Ernst Zündel, Robert Faurisson, Arthur Butz, Mark Weber, Fredrick Töben, Carlo Mattogno, Jürgen Graf, Bradley Smith, David Cole and many others (whose works can be read for free online at VHO.org). Raul Hilberg, a leading holocaust dogmatist, was obliged to confess on the witness stand at the Toronto kangaroo court of the first Ernst Zündel trial in 1985 that there is neither documentary evidence nor any scientific proof of the holocaust. (“No scientific proof Jews exterminated: witness,” The Montreal Gazette, Jan. 18, 1985.) The French Emperor Napoleon wisely said that authorized history is merely a made-up fable agreed upon by the victors of any battle or war. Thus the professional deceivers calling themselves neoconservatives are not only upholding old lies that were used to justify the Zionist seizure of Arab land, but are continually concocting new lies with which to sucker Westerners into fighting more wars of aggression to secure the Zionist dream.

At the root of the holocaust deception lies the Zionist desire to conquer what was Arab-held Palestine and form a Jewish ‘homeland’ in its place. This nefarious Zionist conspiracy was articulated in plain English by a prominent American-Jewish rabbi named Stephen S. Wise, an influential adviser to numerous U.S. Presidents, including Woodrow Wilson and Franklin D. Roosevelt. In 1900 — fourteen years before World War One and thirty-nine years before World War Two — rabbi Wise spoke of “6,000,000 living, bleeding, suffering arguments in favor of Zionism,” unveiling the kabbalistic ‘6,000,000’ figure in the context of a Zionist public relations effort to use perceived persecution of Jews as a way of garnering world sympathy for the creation of a Jewish state.
The grand exaggerations and fabrications of the holocaust story are indeed a critical component of this whole narrative, but the intricacies of the holocaust fraud is a subject for another book. Considering the vastness of the subject, I will simply mention a few examples of the previous attempts by Jews to submerge unsuspecting non-Jewish populations underneath a tidal wave of Jewish persecution stories, invoking the kabbalistic ‘six million’ figure with an obsessive, religious passion. This was usually done as an attempt to divert the world’s attention away from Jewish-inflicted atrocities, like the heinous murders that were being perpetrated by Jewish Bolsheviks in Russia and elsewhere in Europe. It was also intended to elicit public sympathy and support for Jewish political causes, primarily Zionism. Here are a few examples:

1) In 1902, the tenth edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica references “six million Jews” of Rumania and Russia being “systematically degraded.”

2) In 1905, the New York Times released a report on the social situation of the Jews in Russia, claiming that, “From 1890 to 1902 he [Constantine Petrovich Pobiedonostzeff] caused 6,000,000 Jewish families to be expelled from Russia.” (“POBIEDONOSTIZEFF RESIGNS.,” New York Times, Nov. 1, 1905.)

3) In 1906, a German-Jewish publicist named Dr. Paul Nathan disseminated claims about the mistreatment of “6,000,000 Jews” in Russia, alleging that the Russian government had set in motion a “solution to the Jewish question” which amounted to a policy of “systematic… murderous extermination.” (“Dr. Paul Natan’s View of Russian Massacre,” New York Times, Mar. 25, 1906.)

4) In 1910, the American Jewish Committee’s annual report claimed that since the year 1890 Russia “adopted a deliberate plan to expel or exterminate” 6,000,000 Jews. (“The American Jewish Yearbook,” American Jewish Committee, Sept. 23 1911 to Sept. 11 1912, p. 15.)

5) In 1911, at the tenth Zionist Congress in Basle, Switzerland, the co-founder of the World Zionist Organization Max Nordau made a startling prediction that “6,000,000 Jews” would soon be annihilated by European governments. (Hecht, Ben. Perfidy. New York: Messner, 1961. p. 254.)


7) In 1915, about a year into World War One, Jewish leader Louis Marshall makes a dire proclamation alleging that the lives of “6,000,000 Jews” are at stake and that they are being “subjected to every manner of suffering and sorrow.” (“Jews’ Indifference to War Aid Rebuked,” New York Times, Jan. 14, 1915.)
8) On the 31st of October 1919, shortly after World War One had ended, the Governor of New York at the time, Martin H. Glynn, published an article in the American Hebrew Magazine entitled “The Crucifixion of Jews Must Stop” in which he speaks of the imminent destruction of “six million Jewish men and women,” calling it a “holocaust of human life.”

9) In 1919, the New York Times published a frantic article alleging that 6,000,000 Jews in Ukraine and Poland were being targeted to be “completely exterminated” in pogroms. (“Ukrainian Jews Aim To Stop Pogroms,” New York Times, Sept. 8, 1919.)

10) In 1921, another New York Times article alleges that 6,000,000 Jews in Russia “are facing extermination by massacre.” (“Begs America Save 6,000,000 In Russia,” New York Times, July 20, 1921.)

11) In 1936, Zionist kingpin Chaim Weizmann, in an effort to lobby the British government to permit mass Jewish immigration to Palestine, expressed the view that 6,000,000 Jews had “neither hope nor future” in Europe. (“Before The Royal Commission,” Jewish Western Bulletin, Dec. 11, 1936 / “The Jewish Case: Dr. Weizmann Before Royal Commission,” The London Times, Nov. 26, 1936.)

12) Also in 1936, a desperate appeal for the establishment of a Jewish state in Palestine appeared in the New York Times. The article spoke of the “sufferings of millions of persecuted Jews” in “the European holocaust.” Zionists yearned for England to “throw open the gates of Palestine and let in the victimized and persecuted Jews escaping from the European holocaust,” asserting that “the restoration of the land of Israel to the children of Israel is the guiding star in this great struggle for a better world.” (“Americans Appeal For Jewish Refuge,” New York Times, May 31, 1936.) World War Two would not begin for another three years.

13) In 1938, seven years before the end of World War Two, Jews claim exactly six million Jews are victims of “governmental anti-Semitism and persecution.” (“Persecuted Jews Seen On Increase,” New York Times, Jan. 9, 1938.)

14) In 1939, a Jewish publication called The Jewish Criterion predicts that “the coming world war would be the annihilation of six million Jews in East and Central Europe.” (“Review of Events,” The Jewish Criterion (Pittsburgh), Oct. 13, 1939.)

15) In 1941, Nahum Goldmann, the president of the World Jewish Congress at the time, makes an ominous prediction that if the National Socialist Germans are to achieve final victory “6,000,000 Jews in Europe are doomed to destruction.” (“Doom of European Jews Seen If Hitler Wins,” The Palm Beach Post, June 25, 1940.)

16) In November and December of 1944, with a full six months left of the war still to be fought, articles began appearing in Jewish publications already alleging that exactly 6,000,000 Jews had been killed. “Six Million Jews Listed Slaughtered” was the heading of an article published in the November 28, 1944, edition of the Pittsburgh Post.
On the same day, the Palestine Post ran the heading “Six Millions Murdered”. These stories were all based on the preposterous claims of Ilya Ehrenburg, a Jewish-Soviet communist propagandist who, in March of 1945, several months before fighting would cease, again prematurely announced that “the world now knows that Germany has killed six million Jews.” (Soviet War News, Mar. 15, 1945.)

That is just a small sample of Jewish persecution propaganda, alleging an imminent “holocaust of six million Jews,” emanating from Zionist media sources. What it shows is that Zionist Jews have been promoting the kabbalistic fable of “six million Jews on the brink of extermination” as a foregone conclusion bound to happen for many years before, during and after World War One. This is documented more thoroughly by Don Heddesheimer in his book “The First Holocaust: Jewish Fundraising Campaigns With Holocaust Claims During and After World War One”. Even as far as the official account is concerned, the figure of ‘six million’ Jewish deaths in their claimed ‘holocaust’ during World War Two was laid to rest long ago. Historian Mark Weber has noted that the “Auschwitz story has changed drastically over the years.” In 1990, the Auschwitz state museum officially revised the alleged death toll there from the absurd figure of 4 million — which was uncritically accepted as ‘fact’ for decades — to 1 or 1.5 million. Other prominent historians have said the total amount of deaths in Auschwitz may be as low as 500,000, whereas revisionists calculate that somewhere between 150,000 and 350,000 Jews and non-Jews died in Auschwitz from all causes, mainly disease and starvation. (Mark Weber, “Auschwitz: Myths and Facts,” Institute for Historical Review, Sept. 2009.) Majdanek, a German concentration camp in Poland, was claimed to be the resting place of 1.5 million murdered victims until, in recent years, the official authorities there drastically reduced the figure multiple times, now arriving at a modest figure of 79,000, a bare fraction of its former magnitude. Revisionist historians have arrived at an even lower number. (Jürgen Graf, “A Revisionist Analysis of Majdanek Concentration Camp,” Revisionist History) The 1963 edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica speaks of “2,000,000 people, mostly Jews” who were allegedly done to death by the Germans in Mauthausen, a concentration camp in Austria. Establishment sources were forced to concede that this figure is yet another grotesque exaggeration, now placing the Jewish death toll at that camp somewhere around 14,000. (“Mauthausen,” United States Holocaust Memorial Museum Website)

In a rare display of honesty, the Israeli author Tom Segev revealed that the oft-repeated figure of five million non-Jewish victims of Hitler and National Socialism is a tissue of lies. In his book “Simon Wiesenthal: The Life and Legends,” Segev explained that Simon Wiesenthal, the infamous ‘Nazi Hunter’ whose boisterous penchant for fraudulent and exaggerated claims are legend, conjured this figure out of thin air as a marketing ploy to elicit non-Jewish interest in holocaust lore — it has no basis in reality. (Deborah E. Lipstadt, “Simon Wiesenthal and the Ethics of History,” Jewish Review of Books) When taken together, the official reductions of ‘holocaust’ death figures — including the five million gentle victims invented by Wiesenthal — amounts to over 11,000,000 fabricated victims of the Germans! On top of that, there are millions of Jews who claim to be “survivors” of the holocaust. On page 81 of his book “The Holocaust Industry,” Jewish scholar Norman Finkelstein recalled a question that his mother used to ask: “If everyone who claims to be a [holocaust] survivor actually is one, then who did Hitler kill?” Evidently, Hitler did not order the killing of very many Jews at all. His policy all along was never one of extermination, but rather forced deportation.
Yet why, despite these official admissions and astonishing discoveries that have made a gargantuan dent in the sacrosanct “six million dead Jews” figure, are people still being taught in school that this many Jews perished in the Second World War? Why do the media, like trained parrots, endlessly repeat this number? A clue as to why this is was disclosed by the ‘holocaust’ pope Raul Hilberg. Speaking about the Jewish fixation on the ‘six million’ number he said, “call it religious if you like.” (“The war on truth,” The Guardian, Mar. 12, 2001.) Indeed, the holocaust story itself is not a matter of history but a matter of religion — a synthetic mythos designed to pacify the gentiles, paving the way for the creation of Israel and Jewish world dominion. Ian J. Kagedan, a top official of the Jewish-Masonic group B’nai B’rith, let slip the Jewish supremacist agenda underpinning the widespread promotion of the holocaust myth. In 1991, Kagedan said that memorializing the holocaust story “is central to the new world order” and that achieving their “quest of a “new world order” depends on our learning the Holocaust’s lessons.” (Toronto Star, Nov. 26, 1991.) This “New World Order” of global Jewish domination was promised to the leaders of American Jewry by the dedicated Zionist servant Arthur Greenwood, a member of the British War Cabinet during the Second World War. In a vocal pledge of servitude to World Jewry, Greenwood proclaimed that upon Hitler’s defeat in the war Jews everywhere would be given an opportunity to “make a distinctive and constructive contribution in the rebuilding of the world.” (“New World Order Pledged To Jews,” New York Times, Oct. 8, 1940.)

This analysis would not be complete without mentioning the lies that fomented the first Gulf War and their holocaust connection. Most people are unaware of the circumstances that facilitated the first Gulf War between the United States and Iraq. When Saddam Hussein moved his military forces into Kuwait on August 2, 1990, the Zionist Lobby was itching to use this action as an excuse to bomb Iraq and remove Saddam Hussein from power. The American public, however, was not eager to go to war with Saddam and did not feel obliged to get involved in inter-Arab squabbles in the Middle East. To counter the anti-war sentiment, a scheming Jewish-Zionist official in the U.S. government orchestrated a colossal propaganda hoax with which to demonize Iraq and drive the U.S. into war against Saddam and his forces. A short time after Saddam’s occupation of Kuwait, Tom Lantos, the late Jewish democratic Senator from California, created a phony ‘human rights’ organization called the “Congressional Human Rights Foundation”. The group was a front for the Jewish-run public relations firm Hill & Knowlton, which shared the same office building as Lantos’ organization. In October of 1991, Lantos organized a totally scripted conference called the “Congressional Human Rights Caucas” in which Kuwaiti actors posing as ‘downtrodden victims’ of Saddam’s aggression against Kuwait were paid to give false testimony. (“To Sell A War,” CBC News: The Fifth Estate) The star of this Zionist-produced show was a young 15-year-old Kuwaiti girl who appeared at the hearing
using the assumed name “Nayirah.” She gave a tearful testimony about how she witnessed atrocities committed by Iraqi soldiers in Kuwait with her own eyes, stating:

“While I was there, I saw the Iraqi soldiers come into the hospital with guns, and go into the room where . . . babies were in incubators. They took the babies out of the incubators, took the incubators, and left the babies on the cold floor to die.” (Ibid.)

Author John R. MacArthur observed that, “Of all the accusations made against the dictator [Saddam Hussein] none had more impact on American public opinion than the one about Iraqi soldiers removing 312 babies from their incubators and leaving them to die on the cold hospital floors of Kuwait City.” (“How PR Sold the War in the Persian Gulf,” PR Watch) It was later revealed that “Nayirah” was a member of the Kuwaiti Royal Family, the daughter of Saud Nasir al-Sabah, Kuwait’s Ambassador to the U.S. She spoke perfect American English without an accent, having lived in the U.S. her whole life. She had been given her lines and trained in the art of acting by the public relations firm Hill & Knowlton. (Ibid.) Her story was a complete fabrication, a lie from A to Z. Nayirah’s false testimony was trumpeted around the world by mainstream media outlets and phony ‘human rights’ groups, and even at the UN by lying Kuwaiti officials seeking Western support in their struggle against Iraq. This fabricated story was the single most critical piece of propaganda that turned the tides of American public and government opinion in favor of war against Saddam. President George H. W. Bush and his top Jewish adviser, the notorious war criminal Henry Kissenger, used it as the casus belli for their policy of aggression in the Gulf.

During the hearing, Lantos and some of his paid stooges incessantly made comparisons between Saddam Hussein and Adolf Hitler, and Iraq and Nazi Germany. Lantos, a Jew of Hungarian origin, claims to be one of the countless millions of ‘holocaust survivors,’ so he is well versed in the art of lying for a political cause. At the heart of his agenda to instigate an American attack against Iraq was certainly his commitment to the cause of Zionism, seeking the destruction of one of Israerl’s main adversaries in the Middle East, Iraq and Saddam Hussein. Lantos, who thankfully is now deceased, was one of the featured stars of Steven Spielberg’s egregious holocaust hoax documentary “The Last Days”. The utter fraudulence of Spielberg’s film and the testimony of the interviewees featured in it was exposed in a counter-documentary called “The Last Days of the Big Lie”.

To top off all of this disgraceful deception on the part of Jewish supremacists and their evil minions, there is another piece of information worth drawing attention to. In a stunning example of the Jewish propensity to spread malicious lies about their enemies, a ridiculous display of anti-Iraqi propaganda was featured in the New York-based Jewish Press, then
calling itself “the largest independent Anglo-Jewish weekly newspaper,” which had on its title page on February 21, 1991: “IRAQIS HAVE GAS CHAMBERS FOR ALL JEWS”. Moreover, the front cover announcement of volume 12, number 1 (spring 1991), of Response, a periodical published by the Jewish Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, was: “GERMANS PRODUCE ZYKLON B IN IRAQ (Iraq’s German-made gas chamber)”. Nobody took these claims seriously, and they were patently untrue, but it goes to show that Jews are not very inventive when it comes to war propaganda, simply repeating lame anti-German canards from the Second World War.

The lesson to be learned from all of this is that Jews love to tell atrocity tales involving young children as the victims. This type of propaganda is very effective at inflaming public opinion because nobody, other than Zionist Jews, likes to see innocent children suffer and die. While Jewish propagandists promulgate phony tales of Germans and Iraqis slaughtering children, the Israeli army actually guns down little Palestinian children in the streets of Gaza and the West Bank on a daily basis, having deliberately murdered tens of thousands of innocent children since the earliest days of the Zionist takeover of Palestine led by the Irgun and Stern gangs. In “Operation Cast Lead,” Israel’s barbaric and lethal siege against the people of Gaza in 2008/09, about one quarter of the nearly 1400 Palestinian casualties were children; of the 5300 injured, 1606 were children. (“Operation Cast Lead, 27 Dec. ’08 to 18 Jan. ’09,” B’tselem, Jan. 01, 2011.) Journalist Stephen Lendman reported that “[s]ince the September 2000 Second Intifada, Israeli forces killed 1179 children, including 865 in Gaza as part of a decades-long policy of collectively punishing millions of Palestinians in the Occupied Territories, mostly civilian men, women, and children.” (“Israeli War Crimes Against Children During Operation Cast Lead,” Global Research, June 12, 2009.) The Jewish lust for the blood of innocent children was perfectly epitomized in an unnerving interview featuring Madeleine Albright, the Secretary of State under Bill Clinton. In an interview with CBS 60 Minutes, the Zionist Jew Albright was asked if the inhuman economic sanctions imposed on Iraq by the U.S. government after the first Gulf War, which caused the deaths of over 500,000 Iraqi children, was “worth the price.” With a sick look in her eyes, Albright coldly responded: “I think this is a very hard choice, but we think the price was worth it.” (“Punishing Saddam,” CBS News 60 Minutes, May 12, 1996.) These facts reveal who the real child-killers are in this world.

Why are Jews such prolific peddlers of lies and falsehoods? The Jewish religion actually advocates lying to and deceiving non-Jews. In his scholarly study “The Truth About the Talmud,” revisionist historian Michael Hoffman noted that in Baba Kamma 113a of the Babylonian Talmud it condones the use of lies and subterfuges to “circumvent a Gentile.” Rabbi Joseph Telushkin plainly admitted that “Jewish tradition is tolerant of those who bend the truth… Jewish law is remarkably tolerant of ‘white lies.’” (“White Lies,” My Jewish Learning Website) Each year,
religious Jews undergo a ritual in which they pledge themselves to be recklessly dishonest, relinquishing all future oaths and promises. This ritual, called the “Kol Nidre,” is considered the holiest of Jewish prayers. Critics, however, have called the prayer a license to lie and cheat. (Rev. Ted Pike, “Kol Nidre: Judaism’s License To Lie,” Truth Tellers, Nov. 17, 2008.) On the eve of the ‘Day of Atonement,’ Jews recite these words:

“All vows, obligations, oaths, and anathemas, whether called ‘ḳonam,’ ‘ḳonas,’ or by any other name, which we may vow, or swear, or pledge, or whereby we may be bound, from this Day of Atonement until the next (whose happy coming we await), we do repent. May they be deemed absolved, forgiven, annulled, and void, and made of no effect; they shall not bind us nor have power over us. The vows shall not be reckoned vows; the obligations shall not be obligatory; nor the oaths be oaths.” (“KOL NIDRE,” Jewish Encyclopedia, 1906.)

The communist doctrine — the spawn of Jewish supremacist ideologues — also championed the use of lies and subterfuge to accomplish political objectives. The communist idol and first Soviet dictator Vladimir Lenin, a monstrous Jewish criminal who founded the Cheka, the genocidal secret police apparatus of the Soviet regime, once said that “a lie told often enough becomes the truth.” (M. Kumar. Dictionary of Quotations. APH Publishing: New Delhi, India, 2008. p. 134) Promises, Lenin surmised, “are like pie-crusts—made to be broken.” (LIFE Magazine, Dec. 23, 1957.) Extolling the virtues of deception, Lenin instructed his underlings to “utilize all possible cunning and illegal methods, deny and conceal the truth.” (“The present stage of Soviet global expansion: sources, goals and prospects,” U.S. Army Institute for Advanced Russian and East European Studies, p. 29.) In contrast, compare the maniacal teachings of Judaism and its birth-child Bolshevism with that of National Socialism. The ideology of Adolf Hitler and his National Socialist German Workers’ Party (NSDAP) was exactly the opposite of Judaism and Bolshevism, preaching honor, integrity, loyalty, pride and truth. (Gottfried Feder, “Programme of the Party of Hitler, the NSDAP and its General Conceptions,” Zentralverlag der NSDAP, 1932.) National Socialism is the most vilified political philosophy on earth due to its staunch opposition to Jewish domination. Despite the deliberate distortions and hatred surrounding Hitler and his movement, the true facts of the time paint quite a drastically different picture of the man and the philosophy he espoused. Unlike Bolshevism and its father, Judaism, National Socialism practiced a rather benevolent strategy when it came to propaganda. The NSDAP’s “Handbook On Propaganda” itself states on page 5:

“… we are not propagandizing lies and untruths as England did during the War, but rather we are preaching freedom and prosperity, socialism and patriotism to our confused people. Our struggle and our propaganda have one supreme principle: to take truth and only truth as the foundation of our propaganda.”

The National Socialist propaganda minister Joseph Goebbels, dubbed a “big liar” by the Jewish-dominated press, always held that the best propaganda is that which does no more than serve the truth. In 1935, at a Nuremberg rally, Goebbels righteously explained that, “Good propaganda does not need to lie … It has no reason to fear the truth. … A propaganda that lies proves that it has a bad cause. It cannot be successful in the long run.” (“Goebbels at Nuremberg — 1934,” Calvin German Propaganda Archive) The late Jacques Ellul, a French
professor of history and sociology at the University of Bordeaux and a former anti-German partisan during World War Two, conceded that Goebbels “never stopped battling for propaganda to be as accurate as possible.” (Garth S. Jowett and Victoria O’Donnell. Readings in Propaganda and Persuasion: New and Classic Essays. SAGE, 2006, p. 48, note 47)

Hitler, in his autobiography ‘Mein Kampf,’ repeated a phrase coined by the German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer that Jews are the ‘Great Master of Lies,’ explaining that “[f]rom time immemorial … the Jews have known better than any others how falsehood and calumny can be exploited.” (Mein Kampf, Murphy Translation, p. 134)

Hitler harshly condemned the use of “big lies” in political propaganda and identified Jewish supremacists and their tool of Marxism as the source of this technique. (Ibid.) Hitler stressed that those who are too foolish or dimwitted to recognize the deceitful nature of the supremacist Jews will “never be able to lend a hand in helping truth to prevail.” (Ibid.)

Hitler’s profound wisdom came through in his extraordinary speeches and writings. He was vastly knowledgeable and possessed expert reasoning abilities. He was a tremendously skillful logical thinker and had the uncanny gift of foresight. Writing about the Jewish lust for a ‘homeland’ in Palestine, Hitler sniffed out the insincerity of this Zionist endeavor, suggesting that the Zionist Jews did not actually want a state of their own for the purpose of living there. The real aim of Zionism, Hitler theorized, was to establish a “central organization for their international world swindle, endowed with its own sovereign rights and removed from the intervention of other states: a haven for convicted scoundrels and a university for budding crooks.” (Mein Kampf, Chapter XI: Nation and Race)

In much the same way that Osama bin Laden described the desire of Jewish supremacists to control and subjugate their foes, Hitler once stated that the modus operandi of International Jewry is to “exploit nations and make them the slaves of their international guild of criminals.” (Adolf Hitler, and Max Domarus. The Essential Hitler: Speeches and Commentary. Bolchazy-Carducci Publishers, Illinois. 2007, pp. 406-412.)

Undoubtedly, the Zionist propaganda of the past is propelling the Zionist propaganda of the present. In essence, these atrocious lies are designed to drive a wedge between the Christian world and the Muslim world, railroading gentiles into a third world war in which the only real victor will be World Jewry and their fabricated nation-state of Israel. Most importantly, the religious creed of these neoconservative warmongers is the holocaust fable – it is the backbone of neocon thinking. This dangerous convergence of historical untruths, and the blind acceptance of these falsehoods by the majority of the masses of people, is leading the entire planet toward perpetual hostilities and bloodshed.
CHAPTER SIXTEEN

Was American Intelligence Also Complicit in 9/11?

It is certainly true that American intelligence agencies, particularly the CIA and the FBI at the highest levels, were complicit in the staging of 9/11 and the subsequent cover-up, and are thus also culpable for this crime. It can easily be shown, however, that American intelligence agencies are under the direct influence of Organized Jewry and serve their Zionist-Globalist agenda.

Take, for instance, the provocative and sycophantic statements made by George Tenet, the director of the CIA from July 1997 to July 2004. In 2008, at an event hosted by the Anti-Defamation League, Tenet proclaimed: “I knew that on 9/11 we had all become Israelis.” (“Former CIA Director Reaffirms United States’ ‘Strategic Relationship’ With Israel In Fight Against Terrorism,” ADL Official Website, Oct. 07, 2008.) This pro-Zionist bootlicker further suggested that the ‘special relationship’ between Israel and America “will be unbroken and will always be bound together.” (Ibid.) ADL National Director Abe Foxman praised Tenet as a “committed friend to the State of Israel, who encouraged exchanges between the CIA and Israeli intelligence services.” (Ibid.) Tenet’s dealings with the Jewish lobby date back to his early years as chief of the agency. In 1999, allegations of “anti-Semitism” surfaced at the CIA and George Tenet launched a full investigation into the matter at the urging of the ADL. In a letter of fawning servitude to the ADL, Tenet announced that he “will not tolerate anti-Semitism … at the CIA,” assuring his master Abe Foxman that “there is no place for anti-Semitism at the CIA.” (“CIA Director Tells ADL He Will Not Tolerate Anti-Semitism at Intelligence Agency,” ADL Official Website, April 14, 1999.) For his loyal service to the cause of Zionism and global Jewish hegemony, Mr. Tenet received the ADL’s highest honor, “America’s Democratic Legacy Award,” as well as the William and Naomi Gorowitz Institute Service Award in 2008. (“Former CIA Director George Tenet Presented With ADL’S Highest Honor,” ADL Official Website, Feb. 11, 2005.)

The FBI, like the CIA, have been kissing cousins with America’s domestic Jewish lobby for decades. At the ADL’s National Commission Meeting in 2005, FBI director Robert Mueller, who officially assumed that position on September 4, 2001, laid out the cozy relationship between the two organizations, stating:

“The training and education you [the ADL] provide for the FBI and for law enforcement have never been more relevant. This especially includes the classes at the Holocaust Museum. At a time when law enforcement must be aggressive in stopping terror these classes provide powerful lessons on why we must always protect civil rights and uphold the rule of law.” (“ADL and Law Enforcement: Fighting Terror before 9/11 and Beyond,” ADL Official Website, Aug. 24, 2011.)

The FBI forces its new trainees to take a mandatory tour of the holocaust memorial museum in Washington, D.C. where they are subjected to a grotesque Zionist brainwashing campaign. The FBI’s own website boasts about how “before becoming special agents, students from the FBI Training Academy tour the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum,”
also acknowledging that “more than 60,000 law enforcement professionals—including about 10,000 new FBI agents and analysts—have gone through the [Holocaust] program.” (“A Different Kind of Training: What New Agents Learn from the Holocaust,” FBI Official Website, Mar. 30, 2010.) New applicants to the FBI are intensively conditioned to sympathize with the Jews and to loathe the enemies of the Zionists before they are even considered for employment with the agency. After touring the “U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum,” these aspiring FBI officers emerge with the perception that the historical enemies of the Jews are the ‘bad guys’ who don’t deserve the same civil rights as the rest of society. It is no coincidence that since 9/11 the FBI has been trampling all over the civil rights of American-Muslims with impunity. The two groups that presently threaten the New World Order are anti-Zionist Muslims and European nationalists, both of whom are constant targets for FBI sting operations and all kinds of other subversive shenanigans — all at the behest of the ADL. This is part and parcel of the FBI’s dedication to upholding Jewish supremacist rule in America.

On its website the ADL boasts of massive involvement in the training of American law enforcement, admitting that their influence with the police and intelligence community increased significantly as a direct result of 9/11. They write:

“The Anti-Defamation League has worked closely with law enforcement for decades to carry out our mission of protecting both the Jewish people and all others from extremism, hatred and injustice. But since the 9/11 terrorist attacks, the scale of our work with law enforcement has increased significantly and the greatest demand for ADL expertise has been in the area of training for law enforcement. As the foremost non-governmental authority on domestic terrorism, extremism, organized hate groups and hate crimes, ADL has been the leading non-government organization training police. In 2010 alone, we trained more than 10,500 law enforcement officers from all over the country.” (“ADL and Law Enforcement: Fighting Terror before 9/11 and Beyond,” ADL Official Website, Aug. 24, 2011.)


“The process of Israelification began in the immediate wake of 9/11, when national panic led federal and municipal law enforcement officials to beseech Israeli security honchos for advice and training. America’s Israel lobby exploited the climate of hysteria, providing thousands of top cops with all-expenses paid trips to Israel and stateside training sessions with Israeli military and intelligence officials. By now, police chiefs of major American cities who have not been on junkets to Israel are the exception.

“Israel is the Harvard of antiterrorism,” said former US Capitol Police Chief Terrance W. Gainer, who now serves as the US Senate Sergeant-at-Arms. Cathy Lanier, the Chief of the Washington DC Metropolitan Police, remarked, “No experience in my life has had
more of an impact on doing my job than going to Israel.” “One would say it is the front line,” Barnett Jones, the police chief of Ann Arbor, Michigan, said of Israel. “We’re in a global war.”

Karen Greenberg, the director of Fordham School of Law’s Center on National Security and a leading expert on terror and civil liberties, said the Israeli influence on American law enforcement is so extensive it has bled into street-level police conduct. “After 9/11 we reached out to the Israelis on many fronts and one of those fronts was torture,” Greenberg told me. “The training in Iraq and Afghanistan on torture was Israeli training. There’s been a huge downside to taking our cue from the Israelis and now we’re going to spread that into the fabric of everyday American life? It’s counter-terrorism creep. And it’s exactly what you could have predicted would have happened.”

The Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs (JINSA) is at the heart of American-Israeli law enforcement collaboration. JINSA is a Jerusalem and Washington DC-based think tank known for stridently neoconservative policy positions on Israel’s policy towards the Palestinians and its brinkmanship with Iran. The group’s board of directors boasts a Who’s Who of neocon ideologues. Two former JINSA advisors who have also consulted for Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, Douglas Feith and Richard Perle, went on to serve in the Department of Defense under President George W. Bush, playing influential roles in the push to invade and occupy Iraq.

Through its Law Enforcement Education Program (LEEP), JINSA claims to have arranged Israeli-led training sessions for over 9000 American law enforcement officials at the federal, state and municipal level. “The Israelis changed the way we do business regarding homeland security in New Jersey,” Richard Fuentes, the NJ State Police Superintendent, said after attending a 2004 JINSA-sponsored Israel trip and a subsequent JINSA conference alongside 435 other law enforcement officers.

During a 2004 LEEP trip, JINSA brought 14 senior American law enforcement officials to Israel to receive instruction from their counterparts. The Americans were trained in “how to secure large venues, such as shopping malls, sporting events and concerts,” JINSA’s website reported. Escort by Brigadier General Simon Perry, an Israeli police attaché and former Mossad official, the group toured the Israeli separation wall, now a mandatory stop for American cops on junkets to Israel. “American officials learned about the mindset of a suicide bomber and how to spot trouble signs,” according to JINSA. And they were schooled in Israeli killing methods. “Although the police are typically told to aim for the chest when shooting because it is the largest target, the Israelis are teaching [American] officers to aim for a suspect’s head so as not to detonate any explosives that might be strapped to his torso,” the New York Times reported. […]

Besides JINSA, the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) has positioned itself as an important liaison between American police forces and the Israeli security-intelligence apparatus. Though the ADL promotes itself as a Jewish civil rights group, it has provoked
controversy by publishing a blacklist of organizations supporting Palestinian rights, and for condemning a proposal to construct an Islamic community center in downtown New York, several blocks from Ground Zero, on the basis that some opponents of the project were entitled to “positions that others would characterize as irrational or bigoted.”

Through the ADL’s Advanced Training School course on Extremist and Terrorist Threats, over 700 law enforcement personnel from 220 federal and local agencies including the FBI and CIA have been trained by Israeli police and intelligence commanders. This year, the ADL brought 15 high-level American police officials to Israel for instruction from the country’s security apparatus. According to the ADL, over 115 federal, state and local law enforcement executives have undergone ADL-organized training sessions in Israel since the program began in 2003. “I can honestly say that the training offered by ADL is by far the most useful and current training course I have ever attended,” Deputy Commissioner Thomas Wright of the Philadelphia Police Department commented after completing an ADL program this year. The ADL’s relationship with the Washington DC Police Department is so cozy its members are invited to accompany DC cops on “ride along” patrols.

The ADL claims to have trained over 45,000 American law enforcement officials through its Law Enforcement and Society program, which “draws on the history of the Holocaust to provide law enforcement professionals with an increased understanding of…their role as protectors of the Constitution,” the group’s website stated. All new FBI agents and intelligence analysts are required to attend the ADL program, which is incorporated into three FBI training programs. According to official FBI recruitment material, ‘all new special agents must visit the US Holocaust Memorial Museum to see firsthand what can happen when law enforcement fails to protect individuals.’”

Commenting on the insidious influence of the ADL, the Reverend Ted Pike opined: “Since its founding in 1913, the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith has enjoyed almost mythical powers of intimidation against public figures who might criticize it.” (“A Year of Blunders By ADL,” National Prayer Network) Congressman John R. Rarrick wrote in the Congressional Record: “…the world’s largest spy network, the ADL – the Anti-Defamation League – is either too powerful to be curbed by Congress or too well embedded to be mentioned (by Congress) or to come under scrutiny.” (Ibid.) On December 6th, 1971, Rarrick read into the Congressional Record Senator Jack B. Tenney’s prophetic warning concerning the ADL’s dangerously subversive schemes:

“The CIA and FBI are tinker toys compared to the ADL. We are beginning to understand something of the magnitude of the ADL’s operations. We are beginning to appreciate the vast spy network sprawling over the nation and throughout the whole world. Our imagination is staggered by its apparent control of the avenues of communication. Their secret agents spy upon American citizens. Extensive files and dossiers are compiled on those with whom they disagree. Through their multitudinous controls of the media of communication, they are capable of destroying reputations and silencing all rebuttal.” (“The CIA and FBI are Tinker

Remarking on Tenney’s courageous and truthful observations, the brave anti-Zionist activist David Duke made this insightful analysis:

“When the 1940s Senator Jack Tenney was the heroic head of the Un-American Activities Committee for the State of California, his patriotic work made him one of the most knowledgeable people in the world concerning the Zionist-Communist network. Although Senator Tenney’s “Tinker Toy” comparison may sound a bit over the top, it is actually probably close to the mark when one considers that the B’nai B’rith has some 200,000 Jewish extremist supporters, that they are backed by the State of Israel, that the global Zionist media covers for them and that they are able to make use of the extensive Jewish sayanim intelligence gathering network to further their aims.” (Ibid.)

The indications are far too numerous to ignore: American intelligence and law enforcement agencies are under the control and direction of Israel and Organized Jewry. The close collaboration between U.S. intelligence and U.S. law enforcement with Israel and Organized Jewish groups reveals a one-sided relationship — that of a slave-owner and his servant. This disturbing reality unveils a pattern of treason on the part of the U.S. intelligence-police community, whose actions solely benefit a foreign power and a domestic power bloc who are loyal to that foreign power. With this in mind, it should not come as a shock to see the CIA and FBI participate in the attacks of 9/11 and the subsequent cover-up of the truth, working in tandem with their Zionist overlords.
CHAPTER SEVENTEEN

Jewish Occupation: Zionism Rules America

Since its founding in 1948, the Zionist entity known as ‘Israel’ has operated as a rogue terrorist state, truly a global pariah having violated over 65 United Nations resolutions from 1955 to 1992. (“UN Resolutions Targeting Israel and the Palestinians,” If Americans Knew Website) Founded upon terrorist atrocities and ethnic cleansing, Israel has consistently waged wars of aggression and conquest against its neighbors, such as the Six Day War (1967), the Yom Kippur War (1973), the invasion and occupation of Lebanon in 1978, 1982 and 2006, and so on. The terrorist state of Israel threatens the entire world with its large nuclear weapons arsenal that is, unlike most other states, not subject to any international inspections or regulations. Despite Israel’s murderous policies against innocent and defenseless people, American politicians are forced to express approval of its atrocious behavior and acquiesce to every Israeli demand, genuflecting at the altar of this entity like servile slaves.

The reason for this should be obvious: Jews completely control the United States. Using their media, economic and political clout, Jews force the American government to support and defend Israel no matter what the cost is to America. In the words of the former Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon: “We, the Jewish people, control America!” It is a fact that the U.S. panders to Israel — a state which offers no geostrategic or economic benefits to America — and this essentially validates Sharon’s boastful statement. Pat Buchanan, a well-known author, political commentator, influential staff member in the Nixon and Reagan administrations and former presidential candidate, once said that, “Capitol Hill is Israeli occupied territory.” Former U.S. Congressman Paul Findley documented the reality of Buchanan’s words in a book called, “They Dare to Speak Out: People and Institutions Confront Israel’s Lobby”. On page 161 of Findley’s book, he quotes the former Chairman of the U.S. Joint Chiefs of Staff Admiral Thomas Moorer, who said:

“I’ve never seen a President — I don’t care who he is — stand up to them [the Israelis]. It just boggles the mind. They always get what they want. The Israelis know what is going on all the time. I got to the point where I wasn’t writing anything down. If the American people understood what a grip those people have got on
our government, they would rise up in arms. Our citizens certainly don’t have any idea what goes on.”

In an interview with Vanity Fair magazine, British MP Tom Dalyell echoed these sentiments, stating that “a Jewish cabal have taken over the government in the United States and formed an unholy alliance with fundamentalist Christians.” (“Anger over Dalyell’s ‘Jewish cabal’ slur,” The Scotsman, May 5, 2003.) Israeli columnist Ari Shavit, in an essay reprinted in the May 27, 1996, issue of the New York Times, reflected on the Israeli massacre of hundreds of Lebanese civilians the month before, stating: “[w]e killed them out of a certain naive hubris. Believing with absolute certitude that now, with the White House, the Senate, and much of the American media in our hands, the lives of others do not count as much as our own.” (Joe Sobran, “‘In Our Hands’,” The Wanderer, June 13, 1996.)

The poisonous effects of this control have been felt by numerous U.S. politicians who refused to bow to Zionist demands when it comes to the Middle East. Cynthia McKinney, a former U.S. Congresswoman from the state of Georgia, was railroaded out of office by the Zionist lobby due to her sympathies for the oppressed Palestinians. In 1992, when McKinney’s political career was taking off, she received a fax from the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) which she says turned out to be “a pledge stating that as a candidate I would commit my tenure, should I win, to the military superiority of Israel, to Jerusalem as the capital city, to continued aid at the level requested by Israel.” (Dr. Hanan Chehata, “Cynthia McKinney, Former US Presidential candidate, blames the pro-Israel Lobby for ruining her political career,” Memo Middle East Monitor, Dec. 08, 2011.) Standing by her principles, McKinney refused to sign this treasonous ‘pledge’ which is essentially required of every candidate running for Congress if they wish to receive any significant amount of campaign contributions. Because of this, McKinney says, “war was declared” on her by the Jewish lobby, who eventually ousted her from Congress by funding and supporting her political opponents. (Ibid.) In 2009, McKinney told an interviewer that “more than 99% of Congress work for Pro-Zionist Israeli interests.” (TiU Radio, April 30, 2009.) Other American politicians driven from office for taking stances that Israel and its supporters in America didn’t like include Paul Findley, Pete McCloskey, Charles H. Percy, Roger Jepson, Earl Hilliard, Lincoln Chafee and William Fulbright. (Juan Cole, “Only a “For America” PAC Can Stop the Madness,” Jan 12, 2009.)

The righteous former U.S. Congressman James Traficant was also targeted by the Jewish lobby for his patriotic stances and policies. Because of his strong opposition to the war in Iraq and criticism of unilateral American support for Israel, in addition to his efforts to help out an innocent man named John Demjanjuk who was being wrongly pursued as a ‘Nazi war criminal,’ Jewish zealots saw to it that Traficant was taken out of the picture. (See: Collins, Michael Piper, Target Traficant, American Free Press, 2005.) The Jewish lobby engineered Traficant’s demise, having him thrown in jail for seven years on trumped-up corruption charges. (Ibid.) After being released from prison in 2009, Traficant hit back in a televised interview with Greta van Susteren of Fox News, in which he forcefully articulated the view that:

“… Israel has a powerful stranglehold on the American government. They [Zionist Jews] control both members of the House, and the Senate. They have us involved in
wars in which we have little or no interest. Our children are coming back in body bags. Our nation is bankrupt over these wars. And if you open your mouth, you get targeted. And if they don’t beat you at the poll, they’ll put you in prison. [...] They’re controlling much of our foreign policy. They’re influencing much of our domestic policy. Wolfowitz as undersecretary of defense manipulated President Bush number two back into Iraq. They’ve pushed definitely, definitely to try to get Bush before he left to move into Iran. We’re conducting the expansionist policy of Israel and everybody’s afraid to say it. They control much of the media, they control much of the commerce of the country, and they control powerfully both bodies of the Congress. They own the Congress.” (“James Traficant on the Jewish lobby’s power in the U.S.,” Radio Islam / “Greta Van Susteren – James Traficant,” YouTube)

It is because of this overwhelming Jewish influence that the U.S. government officially gives Israel $3 billion per year in foreign aid and military assistance. James Traficant pointed out that this is a deliberately understated figure, alleging that Israel gets closer to $15 billion per year of American taxpayers’ money. He told Greta Van Susteren:

“I did a live interview satellite with Bryant Gumbel, and I said, “When they put this innocent man [John Demjanjuk] to death, they’re going to lose $15 billion to $20 billion every year they get from the American taxpayers. And Bryant Gumbel says, “What are you talking about? They only get $3 billion.” And I said, “Bryant, that’s only the foreign aid bill. Look at all of the other trade compacts, economic assistance, military assistance.” I am saying this to you right now — Israel gets approximately $15 billion a year from the American taxpayers. That $15 billion is $30,000 for every man, woman and child. And people in my district are losing their pension benefits.” (Ibid.)

Conservative estimates put the total amount of aid that the United States has given to Israel since its founding at over 120 billion dollars. (Shirl McArthur, “A Conservative Estimate of Total U.S. Aid To Israel: More Than $123 Billion,” Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, Nov. 2011.) Taking Traficant’s revelations about U.S. aid to Israel into account, the real amount of U.S. taxpayer dollars that have gone to Israel since it was founded could actually be two or three times as high. This still goes on even at a time when millions of Americans cannot find work and are losing their homes due to foreclosure. As the U.S. economy spirals downward into collapse, Israel still gets its fat paycheck every year, signed by the U.S. government. As millions of American citizens starve on the streets while the government does nothing to help them, Israel receives millions each week to fund its war machine.

In 2010, Helen Thomas, a White House correspondent and fifty year veteran of the White House press corps, was pressured to resign from her position after she was recorded on video making statements against Israel. (“US reporter Helen Thomas quits over Israel comments,” BBC News, June 7, 2010.) A rabbi approached her on the street with a video camera and asked her to comment on Israel, to which she remarked: “Tell them [the Jews] to get the hell out of Palestine! Remember, these people [the Palestinians] are occupied, and it’s their land.” (Ibid.) Shortly after the video surfaced, Organized Jewry unleashed a frenzied witch-hunt to demonize Helen Thomas and drive her from her profession, even calling on journalism schools
and groups to revoke any honors given to her in previous years. Thomas told an interviewer that the Anti-Defamation League was trying to intimidate her, stating: “I’m going to tell the Anti-Defamation League to back off. They think they have the right of intimidation. They already got my job. They want to get my honorary degrees.” (“Helen Thomas says Anti-Defamation League is intimidating her,” Wake Up From Your Slumber, Dec. 09, 2010.)

Reflecting on the debacle, Thomas told another interviewer, “You can’t criticize Israel in this country and survive.” (“Helen Thomas on being anti-Semitic: ‘Baloney!’,” Associated Press, Oct. 12, 2010.) In a public speech Thomas hit back against the Jewish attacks, revealing the true nature of Zionist power over America, stating:

“We are owned by propagandists against the Arabs. There’s no question about that. Congress, the White House, and Hollywood, Wall Street, are owned by the Zionists. No question in my opinion. They put their money where their mouth is…We’re being pushed into a wrong direction in every way.” (“Wayne State ends Helen Thomas Award,” United Press International, Dec. 4, 2010.)

In a 2011 interview with Playboy Magazine, Thomas elaborated:

“[The Jews are] using their power, and they have power in every direction. Power over the White House, power over Congress … Everybody is in the pocket of the Israeli lobbies, which are funded by wealthy supporters, including those from Hollywood. Same thing with the financial markets. There’s total control … It isn’t the 2 percent. It’s real power when you own the White House, when you own these other places in terms of your political persuasion. Of course they have power. [To the interviewer] You don’t deny that. You’re Jewish, aren’t you?” (“Helen Thomas to Playboy: Jews ‘own the White House’,” Politico, Mar. 18, 2011.)

University of Chicago Professor, John Mersheimer, and Harvard academic Stephen Walt, co-authored the text “The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy” exposing the death-grip Israel has over American politics through pro-Israeli pressure groups like the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC). The Jewish lobby, in conjunction with the Jewish-owned press, launched a vicious smear campaign against these two erudite scholars, labeling them ‘anti-Semitic’ simply for exposing truths about Jewish power in America. In an interview with Amy Goodman of Democracy Now, the former Israeli minister Shulamit Aloni admitted that the defamation tactic of labeling all critics of Israel, Zionism and Judaism ‘anti-Semitic’ is “a trick, we always use it!” (“It’s a Trick, We Always Use It.” (calling people “anti-Semitic”),” YouTube) The vast network
of Jewish-Zionist lobby groups in the U.S. represents a giant octopus whose poisonous tentacles have stretched over the entire nation. The combined power of these criminal organizations over American political life, in congruence with Jewish media and financial control, is the death-knell of America. The Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations (CoP) describes itself as “a central address for key American, Israeli and other world leaders to consult on issues of critical concern to the Jewish community.” The official CoP website lists fifty-one of its members, America’s most powerful Jewish lobby groups who collaborate and work in tandem with one another to subvert the U.S. government to favor Israeli interests, operating as emissaries of the criminal Zionist regime occupying Palestine. These groups include:

- Ameinu
- American Friends of Likud
- American Gathering/Federation of Jewish Holocaust Survivors
- America-Israel Friendship League
- American Israel Public Affairs Committee
- American Jewish Committee
- American Jewish Congress
- American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee
- American Sephardi Federation
- American Zionist Movement
- Americans for Peace Now
- AMIT
- Anti-Defamation League
- Association of Reform Zionists of America
- B’nai B’rith International
- Bnai Zion
- Central Conference of American Rabbis
- Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting in America
- Development Corporation for Israel / State of Israel Bonds
- Emunah of America
- Friends of Israel Defense Forces
- Hadassah, Women’s Zionist Organization of America
- Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society
- Hillel: The Foundation for Jewish Campus Life
- Jewish Community Centers Association
- Jewish Council for Public Affairs
- The Jewish Federations of North America
- Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs
- Jewish Labor Committee
- Jewish National Fund
- Jewish Reconstructionist Federation
- Jewish Women International
- MERCAZ USA
- Zionist Organization of the Conservative Movement
- NA’AMAT USA
- NCSJ: Advocates on behalf of Jews in Russia, Ukraine, the Baltic States & Eurasia
– National Council of Jewish Women
– National Council of Young Israel
– ORT America
– Rabbinical Assembly
– Rabbinical Council of America
– Religious Zionists of America
– Union for Reform Judaism
– Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America
– United Synagogue of Conservative Judaism
– WIZO
– Women’s League for Conservative Judaism
– Women of Reform Judaism
– Workmen’s Circle
– World ORT
– World Zionist Executive, US
– Zionist Organization of America

The combined might of this prodigious Jewish crime network is truly breathtaking. According to James Petras’ informative book, *The Power of Israel in the United States*, AIPAC alone has 60,000 wealthy members with an annual budget of $60,000,000. The foundation of Jewish power is money, which affords them unchallenged political influence. Petras pointed out the extreme concentration of wealth in Jewish hands, stating that, “The basis of the (Jewish) Lobby’s PAC power is rooted in the high proportion of Jewish families among the wealthiest families in the United States. According to Forbes, 25 to 30 percent of U.S. multimillionaires and billionaires are Jewish.” Stephen Steinlight, former Director of National Affairs of the American Jewish Committee, conceded the “disproportionate political power” of Jews which he gloated is “pound for pound the greatest of any ethnic/cultural group in America.” He went on to explain that “Jewish economic influence and power are disproportionately concentrated in Hollywood, television, and in the news industry.” (S. Steinlight, “The Jewish Stake in America’s Changing Demography: Reconsidering a Misguided Immigration Policy,” Center for Immigration Studies, Nov. 2001.)

The Jewish author J.J. Goldberg, in his book “Jewish Power: Inside the American Jewish Establishment” (pp. 38-39), revealed that there are approximately 300 national Jewish organizations in the United States with a combined budget estimated in the range of $6 billion—a sum greater than the gross national product of half the members of the United Nations! And that doesn’t even take into account the influence of wealthy Jewish families or individuals, and Jewish-controlled Wall Street banking houses like Goldman Sachs who hand out millions of dollars in campaign contributions to both the Democratic and Republican parties every election year. (See: Collins, Michael Piper. *The New Babylon: Those Who Reign Supreme*. American Free Press, 2009.) The Jewish author Henry L. Feingold, writing on page 4 of his book “Jewish Power in America: Myth and Reality,” noted that, “Over 60 percent of campaign funds collected by the Democratic party and a respectable percentage of Republican campaign funds stem from Jewish sources.” The Jewish-American scholar Alfred M. Lilienthal, in his 1978 work “The Zionist Connection,” acknowledged the towering dominance of Jews in American society, writing:
“How has the Zionist will been imposed on the American people?… It is the Jewish connection, the tribal solidarity among themselves and the amazing pull on non-Jews, that has molded this unprecedented power … The Jewish connection covers all areas and reaches every level. Most Americans may not even sense this gigantic effort, but there is scarcely a Jew who is not touched by its tentacles… The extent and depth to which organized Jewry reached – and reaches – in the U.S. is indeed awesome … The most effective component of the Jewish connection is probably that of media control … Jews, toughened by centuries of persecution, have risen to places of prime importance in the business and financial world… Jewish wealth and acumen wields unprecedented power in the area of finance and investment banking, playing an important role in influencing U.S. policy toward the Middle East … In the larger metropolitan areas, the Jewish-Zionist connection thoroughly pervades affluent financial, commercial, social, entertainment, and art circles.” (A. Lilienthal, The Zionist Connection, New York: Dodd, Mead, (1978), pp. 206, 209, 212, 218, 228, 229.)

Manipulating the U.S. political system is something that Jewish supremacists take great pride in. The single biggest donor to American politicians is the billionaire Israeli tycoon and media mogul Haim Saban. In January of 2007, it was revealed that he had donated approximately $13 million to various American political candidates. (“Israeli Billionaire Saban is Biggest Donor to US Politicians,” Ynet News, Jan. 23, 2007.) The New York Times has noted Saban’s ardent devotion to the Jewish state: “He has since emerged as perhaps the most politically connected mogul in Hollywood, throwing his weight and money around Washington, and increasingly, the world, trying to influence all things Israeli. ‘I’m a one-issue guy and my issue is Israel,’ he said.” (“Schlepping to Moguldom,” New York Times, Sept. 05, 2004.) The New Yorker reported that Saban’s “greatest concern… is to protect Israel, by strengthening the United States-Israel relationship.” (Connie Bruck, “The Influencer: An entertainment mogul sets his sights on foreign policy,” The New Yorker, May 10, 2010.) At a conference in Israel in 2009, Saban described his influence-peddling formula, his “three ways to be influential in American politics”: make donations to political parties, establish think tanks, and control media outlets. (Ibid.)

Saban and his kinsmen have been very hard at work trying to brainwash the American public with fallacious Zionist propaganda. Russia Today conducted a very informative interview with Grant F. Smith of the Institute for Research on Middle Eastern Policy about recently declassified documents pertaining to Israeli lobbying and propaganda efforts in the U.S. (“Inquiry exposes massive Israeli media manipulation campaign in US,” Russia Today, Aug. 20, 2010.) Here is the transcript of the interview:

RT Anchorwoman: Files declassified in America have revealed covert public relations and lobbying activities of Israel in the U.S. The National Archive made the documents public following a Senate investigation. They suggest Israel has been trying to shape media coverage of issues it regards as important. You can download the files from the web-site of the Institute for Research on Middle Eastern policy. And we can cross to Washington now and talk to Grant F. Smith who is a director at that Institute. I’d like to begin by asking you what exactly do these files reveal?
Grant F. Smith: These files are from a sealed Senate investigation which was the result of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and the US Department of Justice looking into groups that brought $36 million into the US to plant stories in the US media and promote Israeli foreign policy objectives in the US. They’re extremely relevant because they revealed, for example, a vast effort to divert US attention from the Israeli Dimona nuclear weapons facility by saying it was merely a research center…

RT Anchorwoman: What about the media? How susceptible are they to Israeli lobbying?

Grant F. Smith: Well this reveals essentially that major US publications that were on the receiving end of the 36 million dollars really fell into line for a whole host of initiatives. Now, the Senate investigation was a failure, and they essentially heavily censored the Senate transcript and then put all of these extremely damning files into sealed records for years and years so that nobody could really see what was going on. And unfortunately the groups that were involved in this, that were ultimately forced to register as foreign agents and disclose their activities, they simply transferred all of these activities into a group called the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC). So, it’s extremely enlightening for Americans to see how media influence functions by looking at internal documents from this investigation.

RT Anchorwoman: Well it also makes you ask how much will Americans really see if the US media is so affected by these lobbyists, no?

Grant F. Smith: That’s exactly the case because once again we’re replaying history. Now, instead of trying to divert attention away from nuclear weapons in the Middle East, a magazine — again The Atlantic — is on the forefront of an AIPAC drive to get the United States to attack Iran’s nuclear facilities, again now under the pretext that they’re nuclear weapons sites. So, for the United States it’s extremely important for people to see this media influence and the mechanics of it because over the passage of time it has only gotten worse.

RT Anchorwoman: What does this suggest about US policy in the Middle East? Is it being shaped around the interests of Israel as you see it?

Grant F. Smith: Well, we’ve done a lot of work over the years obtaining documents about Israeli policy initiatives in the United States. And the documentary record suggests a heavy influence on the parts of Israeli politicians and various para-statal groups that work tightly with Israel without disclosing those relationships. They have successfully built a campaign financing system in the United States that’s extremely effective at pushing Israeli objectives by withholding or dispersing campaign funding to US politicians. So there is a high degree of control that’s in place, but for your average American it’s almost completely hidden.

On numerous television interviews, the former CIA agent Michael Scheuer has lambasted the Israeli lobby, identifying them as an extremely malignant domestic enemy that owns and
controls the U.S. Congress, guiding American presidents to do the bidding of the Israeli regime. In an interview with Lou Dobbs, the following exchange took place:

**Lou Dobbs:** Michael, both the British and the United States have reportedly made it very clear to Israel that they would prefer that there be no military action taken. What are your thoughts?

**Michael Scheuer:** Well, at least in the United States we’re pretty helpless. If the Israelis attacked Iran, the power of AIPAC and the lobby here, they own the Congress, will lead to our support to whatever the Israelis do I think. (“Michael Scheuer: Israel owns the Congress,” YouTube)

In another interview with Judge Napolitano of “Freedom Watch,” Scheuer accurately expressed the view that,

> “the Israelis are an immensely malign influence in the United States. They steal our technology, they suborn government employees to spy for them and transfer documents, and certainly their influence through U.S. citizen groups like AIPAC on the Congress is politically corrupting.” (“Retired CIA Chief: Israelis immensely malign influence in United States,” YouTube)

These sentiments were even reflected by U.S. President Richard Nixon himself. In declassified White House tapes that were recently released to the public, the former President was recorded expressing disdain for Jews and speaking ominously about the Jewish grip over the American mass media and government. In White House tapes from February and March 1973, Nixon is heard saying that “the Jews are just a very aggressive and abrasive and obnoxious personality… [they are] born spies.” (“Nixon’s Jewish Problem,” Slate Magazine, Dec. 13, 2010.) In a candid conversation, Richard Nixon and the Rev. Billy Graham had the following exchange regarding the Jewish stranglehold over the mass media:

**Nixon:** “… Newsweek is totally [Jewish]. It’s all run by Jews and dominated by them in their editorial pages. The New York Times, The Washington Post, totally Jewish too.”

**Graham:** “This [Jewish] stranglehold [over the media] has got to be broken or this country is going to go down the drain.”

**Nixon:** “Do you believe that?”

**Graham:** “Yes sir.”

**Nixon:** “I can’t ever say it, but I believe it.”

In addition to their daunting lobbying apparatus and media monopoly, Jews are deeply entrenched inside the highest levels of the American government, directly pulling the strings of whichever puppet president happens to be sitting in the Oval Office. Jews openly brag about the members of their tribe who hold high political office in America. In November of 2008, the
Jewish press was roaring with joy and glee after the new list of American Congressmen and Senators was released, showing that “[w]hen the new Congress debuts in January 2009, a record 45 Jews will take the oath of office: 32 in the House of Representatives and … 13 Jews in the Senate.” (“New Congress Has Record Number of Jews,” The Forward, Nov. 18 2008.) Real Jew News editor Brother Nathanael commented on the issue of Jewish infiltration of the U.S. Congress, stating:

“Jews now have capitol hill by the throat – both from the outside and from the inside. As if the powerful Jewish Lobbies from without are not enough for American Jewry to gain total control of the US Congress, Jews have infiltrated the halls of Capitol Hill with more seats than ever before in American history – in both the Senate and in the House. In fact, Jews chair many of the Senate and House committees which oversee every aspect of American affairs. [...] Making up less than 2% of the US population, Jews have insinuated themselves into the highest chairs of American government. How did they do this? … Money and media are the keys to Zionist political hegemony. In other words, America has the best Congress Jewish money can buy…” (“THE JEWS WHO RUN CONGRESS: ‘The Best Congress Jewish Money Can Buy,’ “ Real Jew News, July 07, 2010.)

The Zionist website Jewish Virtual Library routinely produces lists of Jews who serve in the American government. The website names thirty-four Jews who have served in the U.S. Senate since 1845. In his comprehensive book “The Jews of Capitol Hill: A Compendium of Jewish Congressional Members” rabbi Kurt F. Stone documented that there have been two hundred Jewish men and women who have served in the United States Congress since 1841. President Bill Clinton brought sixty-four (64) Jews into his two administrations from 1993 to 2001, fifty-three (53) Jews infested the administration of George W. Bush during his two terms in office, and thirty-seven (37) Jews have, at one time, held top positions in the current Obama regime. (“The JEWS who Run Clinton and the USA,” Bible Believers Website / “The JEWS who Run Bush and the USA,” Bible Believers Website / “The JEWS who Run Obama and the USA,” Bible Believers Website) Bill Clinton — a Vietnam War draft dodger — was so enamored by Jewish intrigue that he reputedly told a Jewish audience in Canada that if Iraq or Iran were to ever attack Israel he would personally “grab a rifle, get in the trench and fight and die” to defend the Jewish state. (“Bubba: I’d fight and die for Israel,” The New York Post, Aug. 2, 2002.) Barack Obama’s extreme subservience to Israel and Jewish supremacists led a prominent Jewish-Zionist bigwig named Abner Mikva to declare Obama America’s first “Jewish President.” (James Petras, “America’s First Jewish President,” Voltaire Net, Dec. 12, 2008.) Obama’s Vice President Joseph Biden told an Israeli reporter: “I am a Zionist, you don’t have to be a Jew
to be a Zionist.” ("Sen. Joe Biden on Shalom TV.,” YouTube) Suffice to say, George W. Bush was not the ‘black sheep’ among this crooked camp of Zionist traitors.

With Jews representing only between two and three percent of the American population, these numbers illustrate the massively disproportionate influence of Jews in the American government. There can be no doubt that Jewish supremacists have essentially achieved dictatorial power in the United States, ordering Presidents, Congressmen and Senators around at a whim, often defaming them in the press if they are not compliant with Jewish aims. Woodrow Wilson, the 28th President of the U.S. who was himself a dedicated Jewish servant, came to regret his treasonous actions against the American people. In 1913, Wilson published a book in which he warned that the U.S. government was controlled by a sinister force that was hidden from public view, stating:

“…we have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated, governments in the civilized world — no longer a government by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and the duress of small groups of dominant men.”


The famous French philosopher Voltaire wisely said, “To learn who rules over you, simply find out who you are not allowed to criticize.” The tremendous power of Israel and the Jews in America is perfectly illustrated by the fact that criticism of either the nation of Israel or the Jews as a group is staunchly opposed, suppressed and censored from mainstream discourse. In America and the broader Western world, publicly criticizing Israel, Jews or Zionism is considered taboo – it is socially, as well as politically, dangerous. Any prominent individual who criticizes Jews quickly find themselves in hot water. Who could forget the infamous debacle involving actor Mel Gibson and his ‘drunken rant’ against the Jews, where he was pulled over by a police officer, who just happened to be Jewish, and reportedly blurted out: “the Jews are responsible for all the wars in the world!” Organized Jewry had their sights set on Gibson long before that episode. After he produced and directed the popular film “Passion of the Christ” in which he depicts Jews as the instigators of the alleged crucifixion of Jesus Christ, Organized Jewry and the Jewish-run press labeled him an ‘anti-Semite’ and a ‘racist’. After his run-ins with Organized Jewry, and the subsequent media campaign to crucify him for his “anti-Semitic rant,” Gibson’s career has never fully recovered. To save himself from being completely black-listed in Hollywood, he was forced to issue a groveling public apology to the Jews for his ‘eternal sin’ of offending them.
The ‘unspoken rule’ of never criticizing Jews or pointing out their pernicious influence even trickles down to the working class of America. In 2010, the former CNN television host Rick Sanchez mentioned the heavy Jewish presence in the media on a radio interview and was predictably fired from his position at CNN the following day. (“Rick Sanchez: Jon Stewart A ‘Bigot,’ Jews Run CNN & All Media,” Huffington Post, Oct. 01 2010.) In 2011, at an ‘Occupy LA’ protest, a substitute school teacher named Patricia McAllister was recorded in a video interview saying: “I think that the Zionist Jews who are running these big banks and the Federal Reserve… they need to be run out of this country.” Because of her honest remarks, Patricia found herself relieved of her teaching duties the following week. (“Patricia McAllister, LAUSD Teacher, Fired After Airing Anti-Semitic Views,” Huffington Post, Oct. 19 2011.) Anyone brave enough to utter even slight criticism of Israel or Jews is publicly targeted by relentless media attacks and defamation. There are many more examples of people being sacked for commenting on Jewish influence, but they are too numerous to mention them all. This extensive Jewish power, opined conservative writer Joe Sobran, is highly visible but completely off-limits to discuss openly. Sobran explained the paradoxical nature of Jewish power in this way:

“Talking about American politics without mentioning the Jews is a little like talking about the NBA without mentioning the Chicago Bulls. Not that the Jews are all-powerful, let alone all bad. But they are successful, and therefore powerful enough: and their power is unique in being off-limits to normal criticism even when it’s highly visible. They themselves behave as if their success were a guilty secret, and they panic, and resort to accusations, as soon as the subject is raised. Jewish control of the major media in the media age makes the enforced silence both paradoxical and paralyzing. Survival in public life requires that you know all about it, but never refer to it. A hypocritical etiquette forces us to pretend that the Jews are powerless victims; and if you don’t respect their victimhood, they’ll destroy you. It’s a phenomenal display not of wickedness, really, but of fierce ethnocentrism, a sort of furtive racial superpatriotism.” (Quoted in K. MacDonald. Separation and Its Discontents: Toward an Evolutionary Theory of Anti-Semitism. Westport, CT: Praeger, 1998.)

In their standard tradition of subverting public opinion and pushing for tyrannical laws that prohibit free speech and free thought — such as the notorious ‘Holocaust Denial’ and ‘Hate Speech’ laws that plague dozens of nations in Europe — Jews have actually pressured the U.S. government to take on the task of “combating anti-Semitism” in America and around the globe! In 2004, the U.S. government passed the “Global Anti-Semitism Review Act” which orders the U.S. State Department to “monitor global anti-Semitism, reporting annually to the United States Congress.” (“Global Anti-Semitism Review Act (October 8, 2004),” Jewish Virtual Library) Not only does this villainous piece of legislation speak of “monitoring anti-Semitism” but specifically orders the U.S. government to “continue to strongly support efforts to combat anti-Semitism worldwide through bilateral relationships and interaction with international organizations such as the OSCE, the European Union, and the United Nations.” (Ibid.) This treasonous act created a political body within the State Department called the “Office To Monitor and Combat Anti-Semitism” which “advocates U.S. policy on anti-Semitism both in the United States and internationally, develops and implements policies and projects to
support efforts to combat anti-Semitism.” Included in the U.S. government’s ridiculous definition of ‘anti-Semitism’ is the “vilification of Zionism, the Jewish national movement, and incitement against Israel.” (Ibid.) In 2010, the Zionist Organization of America pressured members of the U.S. Congress to request a crackdown on criticism of Jews and Israel on college campuses across the United States. (“U.S. lawmakers seek action against campus anti-Semitism,” Jewish Telegraphic Agency, July 13, 2010.) In August of 2012, California’s state assembly passed a resolution equating criticism of Israel with generic ‘anti-Semitism’ in a delusional effort to delegitimize and silence all criticism of the rogue Zionist regime on college campuses. (“California State Assembly passes resolution equating criticism of Israel with anti-Semitism,” Mondoweiss, Aug. 29, 2012.) The Associated Press reported:

“An Assembly resolution urging California colleges and universities to squelch nascent anti-Semitism also encouraged educators to crack down on demonstrations against Israel, angering advocates for Muslim students. With no debate, lawmakers on Tuesday approved a resolution that encourages university leaders to combat a wide array of anti-Jewish and anti-Israel actions.”

If these Stalinist bureaucrats got their way, all criticism of Jews, Judaism, Israel and Zionism — no matter how mild or soft — would be strictly forbidden by law, globally! The same people behind this brutal suppression of free speech today perfected their heinous tactics of muzzling political opponents under the savage system of Bolshevism in Russia and Eastern Europe. It is no wonder that the communist mass murderers Lenin, Stalin, Trotsky (Bronstein), and Molotov all made public pronouncements condemning ‘anti-Semitism’ as a capital crime against the state. Considering that the Soviet ‘state’ was entirely run by Jewish communist criminals, resistance to Jewish power was ruthlessly crushed with a vengeance. Many of the leading Soviet-Communist leaders are on record stating that anti-Semitism (opposition to Jewish domination) would be punished with death! In 1918, Lenin ordered all Soviet Deputies to “take uncompromising measures to tear the anti-Semitic movement out by the roots. Pogromists and pogrom-agitators are to be placed outside the law.” (Baron, Salo Wittmayer. The Russian Jew Under Tsars and Soviets. Macmillan, (1976), p. 180.) Leon Trotsky-Bronstein, the genocidal Jewish-Bolshevik kingpin who founded the “Red Army” of the Soviet Union, issued the same murderous directive, announcing that under his leadership all “pogromists,” a Bolshevik catchphrase for Russian freedom fighters resisting the Jewish takeover of their country, “shall be shot down on the spot without trial.” (The Ogden Examiner, Aug. 11, 1918, p. 24.) In 1934, Stalin reiterated Lenin’s and Trotsky’s barbaric decrees, writing: “In the U.S.S.R. anti-semitism is punishable with the utmost severity of the law as a phenomenon deeply hostile to the Soviet system. Under U.S.S.R. law active anti-semites are liable to the death penalty.” (Josef Stalin, Works Vol. 13) The top Soviet-
Communist functionary Vyacheslav Molotov proudly acknowledged the fact that “in the Soviet Union actual anti-Semities are shot.” (Hunterberg, Max. Tragedy of the Ages: Anti-Semitism, the Root, Cause, and Cure. New York: Associated Press, 1937, p.144.)

Taking all of these facts together, it becomes a transparent reality that America is ruled by Jewish supremacists, not Jesuits, Luciferians, the Illuminati, WASP’s, Reptilians, Nazis, or a “Germanic Death Cult,” as some deceivers would have us believe. Criticizing other religious, ethnic, racial or political groups – such as Christians, Muslims, Mormons, Scientologists, Whites, the CIA, the FBI, the U.S. President or the U.S. government as a whole – is permissible to a great extent in America. The repercussions of criticizing or bad-mouthing any of those groups is certainly nowhere near as great as the consequences that are incurred when an individual publicly excoriates Jews or Israel – an observation which in and of itself illuminates the true power behind the throne of America, so to speak. Benjamin Freedman, a former Jew and great opponent of the Zionist conspiracy in his own right, said it best when he exclaimed: “Here in the United States, the Zionists and their co-religionists have complete control of our government… The Zionists and their co-religionists rule these United States as though they were the absolute monarchs of this country.” (1961 Speech at the Willard Hotel, Washington, D.C.) The foregoing proofs that I have furnished are strong enough to convince any logical person of the truth of that statement.
CHAPTER EIGHTEEN

Rupert Murdoch, Zionism and Predictive Programming

Rupert Murdoch was born in Melbourne, Australia on March 11, 1931, to an English father, Sir Keith Murdoch, and an Orthodox Jewish mother, Elizabeth Joy Greene. Murdoch has never publicly acknowledged his Jewish roots and has gone to great lengths to hide it. In 1974, Murdoch moved to New York and became an American citizen for business purposes. As the founder, Chairman and CEO of the international media giant ‘News Corporation,’ Murdoch has become one of the richest and most powerful media moguls in the world, owning 175 newspapers and dozens of television networks across the globe. Murdoch’s propaganda outlets masquerading as “media” organizations were the leading progenitors of Jewish-Zionist conceived propaganda that buttressed the official story of 9/11 and fomented the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Murdoch is an avowed Zionist fanatic who maintains close relationships with rich Zionist Jews from America, England and Australia. He is personal friends with a number of former Israeli Prime Ministers and other politicians of the Zionist state, including Ariel Sharon and Benjamin Netanyahu. Murdoch has a plethora of business ventures and investments in Israel and has made several trips to the country, hobnobbing with the Israeli elite. On one occasion he took a group of editors from New York and London for a weekend stay at Ariel Sharon's ranch, where they were taken on a bird’s-eye tour of Israel aboard a helicopter gunship. (15 Minutes Magazine, Issue 35, April 2002.) Speaking candidly about his undying love and support of Israel, Murdoch said:

“I’ve always had sympathy for Israel, but it certainly intensified when I moved to New York [from Australia] in 1973. I got to know Prime Minister Sharon, way back in the late ’70s. Through the years, the support intensified. It was just a matter of thinking about it. I’ve been [to Israel]. I liked it. I felt a tremendous excitement.” (Jonathan Mark, “Murdoch’s News: Fighting ‘Fair’ For Israel,” Jewish Week, Nov. 26, 2004.)

For his slavish support of Zionism, Pro-Israel groups have honored Murdoch with numerous awards. The American Jewish Congress voted Murdoch the “Communications Man of the Year” in 1982. In 1997, the United Jewish Appeal Federation handed Murdoch its “Humanitarian of the Year” award. At the America-Israel Friendship League Partners for Democracy Awards dinner, Murdoch’s News Corporation was one of only three U.S. companies to receive recognition for its rabid support of Israel. The New York Governor George Pataki is
quoted as having said, “There is no newspaper in the U.S. more supportive of Israel than [Murdoch’s] New York Post.” Indeed, Murdoch enforces an editorial policy forbidding criticism of Israel in all of his newspapers. (Richard H. Curtiss, “Rupert Murdoch and William Kristol: Using the Press to Advance Israel’s Interests,” Washington Report on the Middle East, June 2003, pp. 24–26.) At a spring fundraiser for the “Museum of Jewish Heritage” Murdoch proudly professed his Jewish supremacist ambitions, stating: “I have always believed in the future of Israel and the goals of the international Jewish community.” (“Rupert Murdoch Praises His PR Exec Howard Rubenstein,” 15 Minutes Magazine, Issue 26, July 1, 2001.) Murdoch also said that his company News Corp. has been “supportive of the Jewish national cause” since the founding of the company. (Ibid.) Murdoch serves on the dinner committee of the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith with fellow Jewish-Zionist elitists Henry Kissinger and Mortimer Zuckerman. In October of 2010, Murdoch received the ADL’s “International Leadership” award for his commitment to the Zionist cause. At the dinner, Murdoch gave an Orwellian speech in which he decried an “ongoing war against the Jews” and warned that “the battle ground is everywhere – the media, multinational organizations, NGOs. In this war, the aim is to make Israel a pariah.” (“Accepting ADL award, Murdoch decries ‘ongoing war against Jews’,” Haaretz, Oct. 18, 2010.) This delusional doublethink is standard fare for Jews who are drunk on power. They seek to mask their pervasive and pernicious influence behind a cloak of phony victimhood.

In relation to 9/11, Murdoch is directly connected to several key players in the attacks. Chris Bollyn explained that “it is through a network of Zionist organizations, in which Murdoch plays a central role, that Murdoch is connected to the individuals who arranged the privatization – and obtained control of the World Trade Center – shortly before its destruction.” (“Murdoch’s Deeply Hidden Jewish Roots — A Biography,” American Free Press, Oct. 22, 2005.) WTC Leaseholders Larry Silverstein and Frank Lowy, Port Authority Chairman Lewis Eisenberg, and lobbyist Ronald Lauder were all high-ranking members of the Zionist groups that have given Murdoch awards, including the ADL, UJA, AJC and Museum of Jewish Heritage. Lowy and Murdoch are particularly close, both having lavishly contributed financially to the Zionist fundraising outfit the United Jewish Appeal. Bollyn noted the long-standing friendship between the pair of billionaire Zionists from Australia:

“Murdoch and the Czechoslovakian-born Israeli commando Frank Lowy, a former fighter in Israel’s Golani Brigade, who emigrated to Australia in the 1950s, have had a long friendship, which Murdoch recounted during an American Australian Association fund-raising dinner in honor of Frank’s son, Peter S. Lowy, in New York on November 20, 2002. Larry Silverstein and his wife also attended the American Australian event.” (Ibid.)

In the U.S. Murdoch funds the neoconservative publication The Weekly Standard whose chief editor is the high priest of war himself, Bill Kristol. Referencing Kristol’s relentless beating of the war drums against Iraq, the Washington Post writer Richard Cohen dubbed it “Kristol’s War.” Murdoch’s flagship television station in the U.S. is Fox News, the most radical pro-war, anti-Arab, anti-Muslim and pro-Israel channel on the airwaves. Fox News provided a key platform for top neocon warmongers like Kristol, Kagan, Podhoretz and Krauthammer to promote their genocidal dreams to annihilate the Muslim world. In what can only be described as
surreal, Murdoch’s News Corp. produced a television show in 2000 which eerily mirrored the events of 9/11. About six months prior to 9/11 on March 4, 2001, Fox TV, a branch of Murdoch’s media empire, broadcast the pilot episode of a spin-off television series based on the hit show “The X-Files.” Entitled “The Lone Gunmen,” the debut show literally depicted a scenario of a false-flag terror attack orchestrated by a rogue faction in the U.S. government to boost a stagnant weapons market and launch wars in the Middle East. Part of the plotline entailed the hijacking of a commercial airliner by remote control and attempting to fly it into the World Trade Center. In the climactic scene, a passenger plane under remote guidance plunges toward one of the Twin Towers, but thanks to the efforts of the “Lone Gunmen” crew, the pilots are able to regain control of the aircraft and steer it over the WTC, missing the building by inches. (“9/11 Predicted on The Lone Gunman,” YouTube)

This pilot episode was produced by a production company called Canadian Millennium Productions, Ltd, 83 percent of which is owned by Murdoch’s News Corp. (Bollyn, “Did Rupert Murdoch have prior knowledge of 9/11?,” Rumor Mill News, Oct. 3, 2003.) Frank Spotnitz, an executive producer of the episode, admitted that he was “disturbed that if we could imagine it [crashing planes into the World Trade Center] our government didn’t, and I didn’t understand why we weren’t prepared for a tragedy like that.” (“Lone Gunmen Producer Questions Government on 9/11,” The Corbett Report, Feb. 25, 2008.) Dean Hoagland, an actor in the show, has also expressed doubts about the U.S. government’s version of 9/11. However, nobody in the mass media pointed out the striking similarity of the scenario presented in The Lone Gunmen episode and the events of 9/11. Does this prove anything regarding 9/11 and Rupert Murdoch? What it shows is that the architects of terror behind 9/11 and other false-flag events subliminally plant ideas and narratives in movies and television shows to condition the public to think in a particular way. This mind manipulation technique is called predictive programming. The mind control wiki website defines predictive programming as “the practice of saying something is going to happen (predicting) enough times that people assume it will without question. Once they have accepted this future event as a fait accompli their behaviors fall in line accordingly.” There are many other examples of subliminal references to and imagery of 9/11 in Hollywood movies and TV shows long before the attacks happened. (See the series “9/11 Hidden in Hollywood” on YouTube) The most blatant example of 9/11 symbolism hidden in plain view was a 1997 episode of The Simpsons where Lisa holds up a magazine featuring the title “New York,” a picture of lower Manhattan with the WTC Twin Towers in full view and the price of the magazine, nine dollars, positioned right next to the towers. Taken together the image displays “New York 9-11”.
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Another very revealing example of 9/11 predictive programming was the 1978 film “The Medusa Touch” in which the character Robert Morlar uses his telekinetic powers to create mayhem, which included causing a jetliner to crash into a high-rise building. That film was produced by the Hollywood mogul and staunch Zionist Jew, Arnon Milchan. Milchan is well-connected in the entertainment industry and the political realm. Among Milchan’s “famous friends” is none other than Rupert Murdoch, with whom he’s a business partner, and all of Israel’s leading politicians: Ariel Sharon, Benjamin Netanyahu, Shimon Peres, Ehud Barak and others. As a former Mossad agent, Milchan is known as “Israel’s biggest arms dealer” who procured nuclear triggers for Israel’s illegal nuclear weapons program. Current Biography notes that Milchan is a “go-between for American weapons manufacturers and the Israeli government, thus playing a major role in the strengthening of the Israeli military.”

Journalist Ann Bardach wrote a major article on Milchan in which she revealed that, “Throughout the 1970s, 1980s, and even up to the Gulf War in 1991, Milchan was Israel’s foremost weapons procurer.” (“The Last Tycoon,” Los Angeles Magazine, April, 2000.) Milchan told Bardach, “I’ll say it in my own words. I love Israel, and any way I can help Israel, I will. I’ll do it again and again. If you say I’m an arms dealer, that’s your problem.” Bardach noted that Arnon Milchan “has kept his secrets to himself.” (Ibid.) Perhaps one of his “secrets” was foreknowledge of 9/11. Referencing the storyline of Milchan’s 1978 film ‘The Medusa Touch,’ researcher Naveed Khan opined: “This prophetic, visionary plotline would come to fruition in 2001 through Milchan’s close associates in the Israeli political and intelligence apparatus.” (“9/11: Israel’s Masterpiece,” Lost Scribe Media, Mar. 14, 2012.)
CHAPTER NINETEEN

The 9/11 “Debunkers” Initiative: Zionist Jews at the Fore

If Israeli Intelligence planned and committed the 9/11 attacks, as all of the evidence shows, then it would make sense for people who are sympathetic to Israel to lead a campaign to suppress the truth about Israel’s treachery against America. In this way, a network of Zionist Jews in the media have been leading an initiative to put down alternative theories about 9/11, because such theories will often lead people to ascertain Israel’s central role in the crime. A majority of the leading figures attacking and seeking to “debunk” alternative 9/11 theories — using strawman arguments and pseudoscience — are Zionist Jews. The non-Jews who engage in this corrupt activity are on the Jewish payroll; through their words and actions they have proven themselves to be dedicated servants of Zion. Writer Maidhc Ó Cathail astutely observed that, “Whenever someone insists too strongly about something not being true, we tend to suspect that maybe it is.” He posed the question: “In their denials of involvement in 9/11, do Israel’s apologists “protest too much”?” ("Who’s Afraid of 9/11 Conspiracy Theories?,” Sabbah, Apr. 1, 2010.)

The holy grail of the 9/11 “debunkers” effort was the March 2005 issue of Popular Mechanics magazine which ran the headline “Debunking 9/11 Lies”. The magazine contained a series of articles purporting to ‘debunk’ all of the main assertions of the 9/11 truth movement using the most hopelessly flawed logic and absurd pseudoscience. Popular Mechanics magazine is part of the Hearst media empire. The term “yellow journalism” was coined in the late nineteenth century when William Randolph Hearst’s New York Journal used sensationalism in order to drive up circulation. How could this organization, with its sordid history of ‘yellow journalism,’ be the outlet that purports to be presenting a fair and honest account of what happened on 9/11? Only the most gullible of fools would fall for the flimsy arguments presented by this team of liars. Popular Mechanics’ editor in chief was James Meigs. Although his ethnic origin is not certain, his wife Jennifer Stern is Jewish. Chris Bollyn has written about Meigs, noting that throughout his media career he has “always worked for the big money media moguls.” (“James Meigs & the 9-11 Fairy Tale – Spinmeister or Slave?,” Rumor Mill News, Dec. 11, 2007.) Meigs has worked under a number of Jewish-Zionist media bosses, such as Ronald O. Perelman of Hachette Publications Inc. and Jann Wenner of US Magazine and Rolling Stone Magazine. A “senior researcher” for the Popular Mechanics hit-piece was Benjamin Chertoff. Chris Bollyn discovered that Benjamin is none other than the cousin of Michael Chertoff. This means that the Hearst Corporation paid the cousin of one of the key Zionist plotters in 9/11 to write a propagandistic cover story to advance his cousin’s discredited version of events. (“Chertoff Family Member Wrote 9-11 Propaganda,” Bollyn Website, Mar. 4, 2005.)

Another prominent pseudo-skeptic of note is Michael Shermer, the founder of the inane publication Skeptics Magazine. In his career as a professional apologist for the rich and powerful, Shermer has shown that he is only skeptical of theories posited by individuals outside of the government and media establishment, whereas anything that is held to be true by officialdom is dogmatically defended by Shermer in every conceivable way. He is a staunch supporter of the U.S. government’s official version of 9/11. As a paid shill, Shermer writes books and articles, gives speeches and makes television and radio appearances where he proudly tells lies to uphold
Zionist fables and bolster Jewish propaganda. It has become a very profitable business for him. Shermer has strangely taken a particular interest in "refuting" the findings of holocaust skeptics, or Revisionists. In a paltry book of porous research entitled, "Denying History: Who Says the Holocaust Never Happened and Why do They Say it?", the opportunist Shermer and his Jewish propaganda partner Alex Grobman fail miserably in their sly attempt to defend the ludicrous tales of holocaustianity.

Shermer’s “efforts” in this regard were exposed as a laughable farce in a live debate with the holocaust revisionist historian Mark Weber that took place in 1995. ("A Holocaust Debate — Mark Weber Vs Michael Shermer," Google Video) His shamefully dishonest anti-revisionist writings were utterly dismantled by revisionist author Paul Grubach in his essay, “A Revisionist Response To Shermerian Exterminationism, Part I, Why Does Michael Shermer Promote Weird Beliefs About the Holocaust?” Although he claims that he is not Jewish, Shermer has an unusual affinity for Jewish people. In an email exchange with the revisionist Paul Grubach, Shermer displayed an extremely pro-Jewish and pro-Zionist bias. Shermer vividly conveyed a passionate love for Jews and a vicious hatred of non-Jews, telling Grubach: “… like I tell all revisionists, why don’t you guys lay off the Jews and go pick on someone else. For God’s sake the Muslims and Christians, in my opinion, have done far more damage than just about any other group I can think of (though the Scientologists are not far behind).” (Ibid.) Whether he is ethnically Jewish or not is of little practical significance. What cannot be disputed is the distinctly pro-Zionist slant behind the deceptive pseudo-scholarly works of Michael Shermer.

The “Michael Shermer” of England is the so-called journalist David Aaronovitch, a Zionist-Neocon Jew who writes regular columns for The Times of London and The Jewish Chronicle. He has made regular appearances on television and radio to denounce skeptics of 9/11 and other controversial events. Aaronovitch has participated in the BBC’s “Conspiracy Files” program which is a series of hit-pieces on alternative theories about 9/11 and other contested historical events. In 2006, Aaronovitch hosted a documentary of vile Zionist propaganda called “No Excuses for Terror”. The 45-minute whine-fest is a collection of interviews with Jewish supremacists and Zionist extremists complaining about the growing criticism of Israel that has permeated the mainstream media and political discourse.” ("No Excuses For Terror," Back Spin, Sept. 28, 2006.) He made another documentary called “Blaming the Jews,” where he travels throughout the Arab and Muslim world to evaluate the level of anti-Jewish attitudes in these awakened countries. In 2009, he penned a propaganda text entitled “Voodoo Histories: The Role of Conspiracy Theory in Shaping Modern History” attacking alternative views on history. He dedicates a substantial portion of the book trying to combat theories of a Jewish global conspiracy for world domination. Like all neocon Jews, Aaronovitch was a strong advocate and supporter of the war in Iraq and other wars against Israel’s foes in the Middle East.

Jonathan Kay, a rapacious Zionist Jew of Canadian origin, is the editor of the neocon rag The National Post who functions as an attack dog for the Jewish state in the Canadian mainstream media. In his writings, Kay routinely dehumanizes Muslims to psychologically prepare Westerners for wars with Israel’s enemies. At the same time he has vehemently endeavored to suppress dissent against Israel, Zionism and Jewish power in Canada, vilifying people who oppose Jewish domination as “anti-Semites,” “bigots” and “neo-Nazis.” He authored a manifesto of Zionist deception called Among The Truthers, wherein he denigrates and lambasts
“truthers” and “conspiracy theorists,” especially “anti-Semitic” ones. Refusing to address the actual evidence, Kay’s method is to engage in Freudian-style psychoanalysis and label-mongering, attacking the “mindset” of those who refuse to accept Jewish propaganda as factual.

Worried and anxious about the growing awareness of the Zionist conspiracy, Jewish schemers in the U.S. government formulated a sinister plan to infiltrate and destroy dissenting groups that adhere to alternative theories about 9/11. The Jewish supremacist Cass Sunstein, a law professor at the University of Chicago who heads President Obama’s White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, co-authored a 2008 paper entitled “Conspiracy Theories”. In the paper, Sunstein and Adrian Vermeule call for the forced suppression of alternative theories about 9/11 and the people who promote them. Rather than debunk such theories, Sunstein and Vermeule resort to ad-hominem attack, stating that people who disbelieve the government’s version of 9/11, especially those who suspect Israeli involvement in the attacks, suffer from a “crippled epistemology.” One of Sunstein’s chief sources for his Orwellian report was Daniel Pipes, a notorious Jewish neocon and pro-Zionist Islamophobe who himself has written books denouncing “conspiracy theories,” which are, in reality, theories that contradict Zionist propaganda. To counter suspicions of Jewish malfeasance, Israeli complicity and U.S. government wrongdoing in the events of 9/11, Sunstein recommends:

“a distinctive tactic for breaking up the hard core of extremists who supply conspiracy theories: cognitive infiltration of extremist groups, whereby government agents or their allies (acting either virtually or in real space, and either openly or anonymously) will undermine the crippled epistemology of believers by planting doubts about the theories and stylized facts that circulate within such groups, thereby introducing beneficial cognitive diversity.” (Ibid., page 219.)

Far from a coincidence, the fact that Jews dedicated to Israeli supremacy in the Middle East are leading the deceitful campaign to suppress alternative 9/11 theories and demonize those who promote them is another strong indicator of Zionist guilt. We can see a clear pattern of criminal Jewish involvement, not only in the events of 9/11 itself, but in the cover-up of the truth in both the mainstream media and the alternative media. Speaking about this glaring pattern, Jonathan Azaziah explained that, “When a coincidence turns into a string of coincidences, it ceases to be a string of coincidences, and it becomes a pattern. In the case of 9/11, there is an undeniable pattern of criminal Zionist involvement.” (“9/11: Israel’s Grand Deception,” Mask of Zion, Sept. 17, 2010.)
CHAPTER TWENTY

9/11 “Truth” Movement Compromised: Zionist Agents at the Helm

A couple of years after 9/11, we started to see concerned citizens organizing demonstrations in which they held up placards and chanted the generic slogan: “9/11 was an inside job.” These individuals called themselves “9/11 truthers,” purportedly members of a “truth movement” endeavoring to get to the bottom of what really happened on 9/11. They organized meetings, rallies and conferences to draw attention to what they believed to be a U.S. government conspiracy behind 9/11. The primary message of these so-called truthers was that U.S. President George W. Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney orchestrated 9/11 from the bunkers of the White House and Pentagon, arguing that the attacks were an “inside job” by a rogue faction within the government to facilitate American imperialism and foreign wars for the purpose of hoarding the resources of other nations. Strangely, these people — either out of ignorance or purposeful neglect — ignored and studiously avoided the overwhelming amount of evidence that Israel was heavily involved in the September 11 attacks for the benefit of Zionism — Jewish expansionism in the Middle East. At most of the major 9/11 “truth” group meetings and conferences, any discussion of Israeli, Mossad and Jewish participation in the attacks was immediately chastised, attacked and suppressed, deemed ‘anti-Semitic’ and off-limits. If these 9/11 “truthers” were honestly seeking the truth of the matter, why were they so hesitant to examine the role of Israel and powerful Jewish interests in the events of 9/11? Some cowardly people, perhaps, were fearful of being labeled ‘anti-Semitic’ so they avoided the subject for that reason. Others, however, did so not out of fear but out of malice and an agenda to hide the truth.

To understand this brutal suppression of truth within the ranks of a self-styled “truth movement” we have to examine the individuals who are leading and controlling that movement. The so-called 9/11 “truth movement” has essentially been led and directed by agents of the very people who committed 9/11 — agents of Zion. The deceitful hucksters and conspiracy con-men who appointed themselves as leaders of the movement have worked to mislead unwitting truth seekers about who is really responsible for 9/11 rather than expose the true authors of this enormous crime. The self-professed “father” of the 9/11 truth movement is the kosher conspiracy king Alex Jones — an egotistical Judas Goat who has proudly proclaimed his undying love and support for the terrorist nation of Israel, which should pretty well explain his reluctance to pursue the truth on the issue. (“Alex Jones Supports Zionist Israel,” YouTube) Jones has tried
desperately to deflect attention away from Israel and Mossad in the 9/11 question, deliberately misleading his audience to think that they had no substantial role in the event. One blatant example of this took place on a May 8, 2006, broadcast of the Alex Jones radio show in which the following sickening dialogue transpired between Jones and Mike Berger, another Judas Goat masquerading as a 9/11 truth activist.

**Alex Jones:** You and I have both been criticized — I don’t know if you’re aware of this — for not saying that Israel carried out the [9/11] attacks. Now, I’m sorry folks, Israel can’t make NORAD stand down.

**911Truth.org spokesman Mike Berger (Jewish):** *obnoxious laughter*

**Alex Jones:** Israel… Israel… Israel can’t make the F-16s be off doing drills that day. Israel certainly is part of this whole global system, certainly involved in false-flag ops in Israel and Europe. They’ve been caught; it’s been in their major papers. I’ve reported on that, but from all the angles I’ve looked at this, it’s this multi-national crime syndicate manipulating our government that orchestrated 9/11, and to say that Israel did it is a poison pill. . . Folks, I’ve done the research, Israel could not carry out these attacks. And… and… again I’m just saying that on the record. I’m trying to go after who’s guilty. I’ll say who I believe did it and all the evidence shows it: it’s Dick Cheney and the people above him. (“Alex Jones claims Israel did not carry out 9-11 on their own,” YouTube)

In his fervent defense of Jews and Israel, Jones utilizes the tried and true techniques of a skilled propagandist. Instead of exposing the central role of Israel and Zionist Jews in 9/11, as any honest person who aims at simply getting to the truth of the matter would, he hysterically tries to shift the blame onto spooky mysterious entities that nobody can quite put their finger on (i.e., a “multi-national crime syndicate,” Dick Cheney and the elusive “people above him”). By invoking these kosher poltergeists at every turn, Jones ventures to divert blame and culpability away from those who are truly guilty — Israel and its evil helpers — thus revealing himself to be an active participant in the cover-up of the truth.

Another grotesque display of shameless apologism for International Jewry came in the form of a vile radio rant unleashed by a neanderthalic loudmouth named Jason Bermas — one of the producers of the kosher 9/11 conspiracy film *Loose Change*. During an April 13, 2009, radio broadcast Bermas viciously attacked a caller who merely mentioned the irrefutable fact that Jews own and control ninety percent of Hollywood and the news media in the USA. In a hissy fit of Jewish supremacist rage, Bermas screeched that “there is no Jewish conspiracy(!)” and said that anyone who criticizes Jews or merely points out the inordinate amount of power that they wield is an “anti-Semite” and a “Nazi” who should be subjected to a “population control” program, and that they should commit suicide by hanging or electrocution, adding that they should “stop breathing” immediately. Bermas’ psychotic rant illustrates the murderous bloodlust of those who support Judaism and Zionism. (Martin Hill, “’InfoWarrior’ Jason Bermas: Jew Critics Are ‘Anti-Semites’ Who Should Hang Or Electrocute Themselves,” Liberty Fight, Apr. 19, 2009.)
Other subversive Jewish personalities such as Jon Gold, Luke Rudkowski, Dylan Avery, Daniel Abrahamson and many others, quickly gained positions of influence in the 9/11 truth movement, working feverishly day and night to confuse, distract and divert our attention away from their murderous kinsmen in the Israeli Mossad, as well as their guilt-ridden brethren who occupied key positions in the American government. In an effort to sidetrack honest truth seekers with ridiculous dead-ends, these Zionist infiltrators launched a comical and childish campaign of disinformation, blaming 9/11 on a confusing deluge of intangible boogeymen (i.e., “rogue elements in the U.S. government,” globalists, the Bilderberg Group, the New World Order, the Illuminati, the Military Industrial Complex, bankers, imperialists, satanists, freemasons, Jesuits, the Vatican, etc.). When that tactic failed, they reverted to simply assigning all responsibility for 9/11 onto several non-Jewish criminal personalities in the U.S. government such as President George W. Bush, Vice President Dick Cheney, Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, and so on. Known as “Shabez Goyim,” these corrupted gentiles did lend Israel a hand in facilitating this bloodshed and covering up the deed afterwards, but in the grand scheme of things they served as nothing more than political instruments for Organized Jewry.
CHAPTER TWENTY-ONE

Experts Speak Out: “Israel Did 9/11”

When Alex Jones and Jason Bermas spread the false notion that Israel did not have the capability to carry out 9/11, they showed their hand as enemy agents. Not only did Israel’s military-intelligence apparatus have the capability to do it, they were the only ones with the power, the knowledge, the expertise, the organizational skills and the ruthlessness to pull off this dastardly deed and have it blamed on others. This is a view that is shared by many competent and credible experts in the military and intelligence field. Let’s hear what some real professionals had to say about the matter.

In an interview that took place only a couple of weeks after 9/11, Hamid Gul, the former chief of Pakistan’s military intelligence service (ISI), told United Press International’s editor at large, Arnaud de Borchgrave, that he does not believe Osama bin Laden or Al-Qaeda were involved in the attacks or even capable of mounting such a sophisticated operation. Gul theorized that the attacks were most likely engineered by the Israeli Mossad with the help of rogue elements within the U.S. military establishment who were sympathetic to the aims of the Zionist regime. Here is a short excerpt from their conversation:

De Borchgrave: So who did Black Sept. 11?

Gul: Mossad and its accomplices. The U.S. spends $40 billion a year on its 11 intelligence agencies. That’s $400 billion in 10 years. Yet the Bush administration says it was taken by surprise. I don’t believe it. Within 10 minutes of the second twin tower being hit in the World Trade Center CNN said Osama bin Laden had done it. That was a planned piece of disinformation by the real perpetrators. It created an instant mindset and put public opinion into a trance, which prevented even intelligent people from thinking for themselves.

De Borchgrave: So what makes you think Osama wasn’t behind Sept. 11?

Gul: From a cave inside a mountain or a peasant’s hovel? Let’s be serious. Osama inspires countless millions by standing up for Islam against American and Israeli imperialism. He doesn’t have the means for such a sophisticated operation. (“Arnaud de Borchgrave’s exclusive September 2001 interview with Hamid Gul,” The Washington Times, July 28, 2010.)
In 2008, Hamid Gul reiterated his original assertions about 9/11 in an interview with CNN’s Fareed Zakaria. Zakaria posed the question, “What is your hunch as to who perpetrated the 9/11 attacks?” Gul replied, “Well I have been on record and I said it is the Zionists and the Neocons. They have done it, it is an inside job and they wanted to go on the world conquers.” (“Former head of ISI: 9/11 “Inside Job” by Zionists and Neocons,” YouTube)

These sentiments were echoed by the late Francesco Cossiga, the former President of Italy, who stated that the 9/11 terrorist attacks were run by the American CIA and Israeli Mossad with the aid of a broader network of Zionists, and that this was common knowledge among global intelligence agencies. Cossiga told the newspaper Corriere della Sera that “all of the democratic circles of America and of Europe... now know well that the disastrous attack was planned and realized by the American CIA and Mossad with the help of the Zionist world to place the blame on Arabic countries and to persuade the Western powers to intervene in Iraq and Afghanistan.” (“Osama-Berlusconi? Trap Journalism,” Corriere della Sera, Nov. 30, 2007.)

Andreas von Bülow is a German politician who served on the parliamentary commission which oversees the three branches of the German secret service while a member of the Bundestag (German parliament) from 1969 to 1994. He wrote a book titled Im Namen des Staates (In the Name of the State) about the criminal activities of secret services. In an interview with American Free Press, Von Bülow stated that he believes the Israeli secret service, Mossad, is behind the September 11 terror attacks. He explained that “these attacks were carried out to turn public opinion against the Arabs, and boost military and security spending.” Von Bülow noted that the “architectural structure” which masterminds such terror attacks always has an intelligence agency at the top directing everything that happens while remaining in the shadows. “At this level the organization doing the planning, such as Mossad, is primarily interested in affecting public opinion,” Von Bülow told AFP. (Christopher Bollyn, “Intel Expert Says 9-11 Looks Like A Hollywood Show,” American Free Press, Mar. 22, 2004.)

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, the honorable and courageous president of Iran, has also expressed serious doubts about the U.S. government’s fairy tale version of what happened on 9/11. Ahmadinejad has called into question the official story of the attacks during several speeches he has given at various United Nations general assembly meetings. In 2010, Ahmadinejad said that the 9/11 attacks were orchestrated by forces within the American government in order to prop up the Zionist regime in Tel Aviv. (“Ahmadinejad accuses US of ‘orchestrating’ 9/11 attacks to aid Israel,” The Guardian, Sept. 23, 2010.) In 2011, Ahmadinejad stated that as an engineer he was sure that two jetliners could not have caused the collapse of the Twin Towers, theorizing that controlled explosions within the buildings must have taken place. He also accurately added that, “By using their imperialistic media network which is under the influence of colonialism they [the Jewish-controlled West] threaten anyone who questions the Holocaust and the September 11 event with sanctions and military actions.” (“Ahmadinejad espouses 9/11 truther theory,” CBS News, Sept. 22, 2011.)

Ahmadinejad is a dedicated and outspoken advocate of historical truth who has publicly challenged the two greatest lies of the 20th and 21st centuries underpinning the Jewish supremacist drive for a “New World Order” — the holocaust and 9/11. For his fearless dedication to expose the great evils and lies of our age, Ahmadinejad and his nation have been
subjected to barbaric economic sanctions inflicted by the USA, Britain, Canada, France and other Western proxy regimes of Israel and International Jewry. Iran has been the target of repeated threats of military aggression by Israel and its puppet state, the USA. Israel and the U.S. have followed through on their threats against Iran, unleashing dozens of terrorist attacks on Iranian soil through the use of front groups and mercenaries. (“Israel teams with terror group to kill Iran’s nuclear scientists, U.S. officials tell NBC News,” MSNBC, Feb. 9, 2012.) These Israeli/U.S. sponsored attacks have killed dozens of Iranian civilians and have targeted and killed at least five of Iran’s top nuclear scientists in the past few years. (“Iran hangs accused Mossad agent for 2010 killing of nuclear scientist,” The Associated Press, May 15, 2012.)

**Dr. Alan Sabrosky** is a former director of the Strategic Studies Institute at the prestigious U.S. Army War College. In 2010, Sabrosky was interviewed by radio host and political commentator Mark Glenn. In the interview, Sabrosky explained that he discussed the issue of who really perpetrated 9/11 with his former colleagues at the Army War College and during his conversations “made it absolutely clear in both cases that it is 100 percent certain that 9/11 was a Mossad operation. Period.” The Zionists, said Sabrosky, “are playing this as truly an all-or-nothing exercise, because if they lose this one, if the American people ever realize what [really] happened [on 9/11], they’re done.” He went on to vividly verbalize his view that the Israeli Mossad was the mastermind of the attacks and urged people to stand up and speak out about it.

Taking the statements and views of these qualified experts into account, who do you believe on the question of who carried out 9/11? Do you believe a former Pakistani intelligence chief, two former high-level European politicians, the president of a major Middle Eastern nation, and a distinguished American expert in military studies, who all attest that Israel planned and conducted this operation? Or do you trust the die-hard Zionist apologists Alex Jones and Jason Bermas, a couple of crackpot radio hosts who want you to take their word for it that Israel could not have done it? The decision is yours.
CHAPTER TWENTY-TWO

The Ancient Dream of Judaism: World Domination

The Jewish religion is a corrupt doctrine of ethnocentrism and supremacism. The fundamental teaching of Judaism is that the Jews are a holy “chosen people” above all others who have been ordained by God himself to rule the earth, possess all the wealth of the world and exploit the gentiles. Those who resist the Jewish will are designated for complete annihilation. These supremacist edicts are vividly expressed in all Jewish holy texts. There are a great many passages from the Old Testament which illustrate the Jewish supremacist vision of world domination and subjugation of non-Jews. For example, in Isaiah 60:16 it says that the Jews “shall also suck the milk of the Gentiles, and shalt suck the breast of kings.” Isaiah 61:5 reiterates this concept of gentile subordination to the Jews, stating that “strangers shall stand and feed your flocks, and the sons of the alien shall be your plowmen and your vinedressers.” Isaiah 60:5 and Isaiah 61:6 prophesied that the Jews will come to possess all the wealth of the world, announcing that “the wealth of the nations shall come unto thee. … You will feed on the wealth of nations, and in their riches you will boast.” Here are a few other potent passages that exemplify Judaism’s ancient dream of world dominion:

“the Lord of hosts will reign in mount Zion, and in Jerusalem, and before His elders shall be Glory.” – Isaiah 24:23

“Nations shall serve you and kingdoms shall bow down to you.” – Genesis 27:29

“For the nation or kingdom that will not serve you will perish; it will be utterly ruined.” – Isaiah 60:12

“For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth.” – Deuteronomy 7:6

“And I will shake all nations, and the desire of all nations shall come: and I will fill this house with glory, saith the LORD of hosts. The silver is mine, and the gold is mine, saith the LORD of hosts.” – Haggai 2:7-8
“Kings will be your foster fathers, and their queens your nursing mothers. They will bow down before you with their faces to the ground; they will lick the dust at your feet.” – Isaiah 49:23

“I will make your oppressors eat their own flesh; they will be drunk on their own blood, as with wine.” – Isaiah 49:26

“As one reaches into a nest, so my hand reached for the wealth of the nations; as men gather abandoned eggs, so I gathered all the countries.” – Isaiah 10:14

“This is the plan determined for the whole world; this is the hand stretched out over all nations. For the LORD Almighty has purposed, and who can thwart him? His hand is stretched out, and who can turn it back?” – Isaiah 14:26-27

“The Lord will extend your mighty sceptre from Zion; you will rule in the midst of your enemies.” – Psalms 110:2

“He will judge nations, heaping up the dead and crushing the rulers of the whole earth.” – Psalms 110:6

“And may he reign from sea to sea, and from the river to the ends of the earth. May nobles kneel before him, and may his enemies lick the dust.” – Psalms 72:8-9

“The LORD reigns, let the nations tremble; he sits enthroned between the cherubim, let the earth shake. Great is the LORD in Zion; he is exalted over all the nations. Let them praise your great and awesome name—he is holy.” – Psalms 99:1-3

“All the ends of the earth shall remember and return to the Lord, and all the families of the nations shall prostrate themselves before You. For the kingship is the Lord’s, and He rules over the nations.” – Psalms 22:28-29

“Request of Me, and I will make nations your inheritance, and the ends of the earth your possession.” – Psalms 2:8

“And the Lord, your God, will drive out those nations from before you, little by little. You will not be able to destroy them quickly, lest the beasts of the field outnumber you. But the Lord, your God, will deliver them to you, and He will confound them with great confusion, until they are destroyed. And He will deliver their kings into your hand, and you will destroy their name from beneath the heavens; no man will be able to stand up before you, until you have destroyed them.” – Deuteronomy 7:22-24

“And it will be, when the Lord, your God, brings you to the land He swore to your fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give you, great and good cities that you did not build, and houses full of all good things that you did not fill, and hewn cisterns that you did not hew, vineyards and olive trees that you did not plant, and you will eat and be satisfied. … Deuteronomy 2:25 Today I will begin to put the dread of you and the fear of
you upon the nations that are under the entire heaven, who will hear reports of you and shake and be in trepidation because of you.” – Deuteronomy 6:11-10

“The Lord will establish you as His holy people as He swore to you, if you observe the commandments of the Lord, your God, and walk in His ways. Then all the peoples of the earth will see that the name of the Lord is called upon you, and they will fear you.” – Deuteronomy 28:9-10

“the God of heaven will set up a kingdom that will never be destroyed, nor will it be left to another people. It will crush all those kingdoms and bring them to an end, but it will itself endure forever.” – Daniel 2:44

According to Jewish scripture, the primary means by which the Jews will conquer the world is through usury, the lending of money to gentiles at exorbitant interest rates. Through the usury racket, Jews will economically enslave the non-Jewish nations. The book of Deuteronomy of the Jewish Torah, chapter 15, verse 6, states: “For the LORD thy God blesseth thee, as he promised thee: and thou shalt lend unto many nations, but thou shalt not borrow; and thou shalt reign over many nations, but they shall not reign over thee.” Deuteronomy 23:20 again tells us that the Jews are obliged to exact usury from the gentiles but that fellow Jews must be spared from this devious practice: “Unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon usury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury: that the LORD thy God may bless thee in all that thou settest thine hand to in the land whither thou goest to possess it.” Author Christopher Jon Bjerknes surmised that the Jewish religion is nothing more than a manifesto of criminal activity, stating:

“From start to finish, the Old Testament tells the Jews that they should steal the wealth of non-Jews, enslave non-Jews, murder non-Jews and own all the property of the Earth. The Talmud justifies these inhuman and genocidal doctrines by claiming that God offered the Goyim the Law when Moses accepted it on behalf of the Hebrews on Sinai, but the Goyim refused the Law and failed to obey even the Noahide Laws, and therefore God gave the Jews all of the wealth of the Goyim as their prize for being God’s chosen People.” (“The Jewish Problem, Part 3: The Jews in Banking,” Jewish Racism Blogspot, Jan. 5, 2009.)

Many Jews themselves have freely admitted the truth about the rampant racism and sinister supremacism inherent in their religion and culture. In a 2003 documentary called the “Protocols of Zion,” a Jewish author named Douglas Rushkoff proudly exclaimed that,

“the thing that makes Judaism dangerous to everybody — to every race, to every nation, to every idea — is that we smash things that aren’t true. We don’t believe in the boundaries of nation-states… In a sense our detractors have us right in that we are a corrosive force. We’re breaking down the false gods of all nations and all people because they’re not real, and that’s very upsetting to people.”

The Jewish writer Maurice Samuel made the same startling admission. Writing on page 155 of his book You Gentiles he contemptuously acknowledges the desire of Jewish supremacists
to destroy their surroundings, proposing that “[w]e Jews, we, the destroyers, will remain the destroyers for ever. Nothing that you do will meet our needs and demands. We will for ever destroy because we need a world of our own, a God-world, which it is not in your nature to build.” Harry Waton, a prolific Jewish writer and rabbi, expressed the same views in his 1939 book “A Program for The Jews and An Answer To All Anti-Semites: A Program for Humanity”. Overt Jewish supremacy is dripping from every word and sentence of Waton’s booklet. At one point he suggests that “the Jews are the highest and most cultured people on earth” and that they “have a right to subordinate to themselves the rest of mankind and to be the masters over the whole earth,” exclaiming that this is the “historic destiny of the Jews.” (p. 99) The ‘good rabbi’ also confessed that Marxist-Communism is a Jewish mechanism for world domination and the subjugation of all non-Jews, stating: “Judaism is communism, internationalism… It is with these spiritual weapons that the Jews will conquer the world and the human race.” (p. 100, 148) Baruch Levy, a rabid Jewish supremacist and Talmudist, wrote a letter to communist ideologue Karl Marx in which he laid out a plan whereby the Jews would engulf the whole world and establish a “New World Order” of Jewish domination to fulfill the messianic prophesies of the Babylonian Talmud. He wrote:

“The Jewish people as a whole will be its own Messiah. It will attain world dominion by the dissolution of other races, by the abolition of frontiers, the annihilation of monarchy, and by the establishment of a world republic in which the Jews will everywhere exercise the privilege of citizenship. In this new world order the Children of Israel will furnish all the leaders without encountering opposition. The Governments of the different peoples forming the world republic will fall without difficulty into the hands of the Jews. It will then be possible for the Jewish rulers to abolish private property, and everywhere to make use of the resources of the state. Thus will the promise of the Talmud be fulfilled, in which is said that when the Messianic time is come the Jews will have all the property of the whole world in their hands.” (La Revue de Paris, p. 54, June 1, 1928.)

This Jewish supremacist worldview is also outlined in an unscrupulous manner in Michael Higger’s text “The Jewish Utopia”. Besides these Jewish writers, many very prominent Jewish leaders have made public statements voicing their devilish desire to conquer the world and overpower the gentiles. One of these kingpins was Dr. David Wolffsohn, the late de-facto head of World Zionism as the second president of the Zionist Organization (ZO), following Theodore Herzl’s death. In 1907, Wolffsohn gave the opening and closing speeches at the eighth
Zionist Congress held at The Hague. In his closing remarks, he passionately addressed his fellow Zionist fanatics, imploring the Jews to put aside their differences and unite to “conquer the world.” (“Says Jews Must Conquer: Dr. Wolffsohn Delivers Closing Speech at Zionist Congress,” New York Times, Aug. 22, 1907.) The most well-known and influential of these Jewish leaders was David Ben-Gurion, the genocidal architect of the ethnic cleansing of Palestine in 1948 and the first Prime Minister of Israel. In 1957, Ben-Gurion made a remarkable statement articulating in plain English the Jewish predilection for world governance. He proclaimed that the Jewish people will lead a world government centered in Jerusalem where “the United Nations (a truly United Nations) will build a shrine of the Prophets to serve the federated union of all continents; this will be the scene of the Supreme Court of Mankind, to settle all controversies among the federated continents, as prophesied by Isaiah.” (Gurion, David Ben and Ducovny, Amram. David Ben-Gurion, In His Own Words. Fleet Press Corp., 1969, p. 116.) Albert Einstein, the widely revered Jewish scientist who plagiarized most of the work he claimed credit for, was an avowed Zionist and a committed communist who maintained a close personal friendship with David Ben-Gurion. He similarly espoused a fervent lust for the creation of a “world government” in a letter to the General Assembly of the United Nations. In the letter Einstein said that,

“the United Nations must act with utmost speed to create the necessary conditions for international security by laying the foundations for a real world government. … In order to achieve the final aim – which is one world, and not two hostile worlds – such a partial world government must never act as an alliance against the rest of the world. The only real step toward world government is world government itself.”

David Ben-Gurion proudly proclaimed that the United Nations, operating as a mechanism for global governance, was a “Jewish ideal.” (“Israel: The Watchman,” Time Magazine, Aug. 16, 1948.) The UN was preceded by the “League of Nations” which was set up by Jewish power brokers shortly after World War One. In 1922, at a Zionist gathering in Carlsbad, California, the Jewish leader Nahum Sokolow gloated boastfully that the League of Nations was a “Jewish idea, and Jerusalem some day will become the capital of the world’s peace.” He further proclaimed that the “Jews throughout the world will make the League’s struggle our own and will not rest until there is ultimate victory.” (“Says Jews of World Will Back League: Dr. Sokolow Tells Zionist Congress Jerusalem Will Be International Peace Capital,” New York Times, Aug. 28, 1922.) Of course, there are many more statements, proclamations and writings from Jews demonstrating that the ancient dream of Judaism is indeed some form of world domination. Using Communism, Zionism, Neoconservatism, Cultural Marxism, and other dangerously subversive and destructive ideologies, the Jewish power elite are slowly but surely overtaking the entire planet to fulfill the psychopathic prophesies of their depraved religion.
CONCLUSION

Endgame: How to Defeat the Zionist World Order

It will be painfully difficult for many people to come to terms with the harsh reality that has been detailed in these pages. Accepting the fact that we have been deceived on such a colossal scale is not an easy task. But we know who did 9/11. The Zionist criminals responsible for this monumental hoax against the American people and the genocidal wars of aggression that have extinguished the lives of millions in the Middle East must be hunted down and brought to justice for their bloodcurdling crimes against humanity. The cover-up of 9/11, which continues to this day, is an outrageous scandal that is tearing the world apart. The endless bloodshed that has resulted from this false-flag attack will not cease until the truth about 9/11 is revealed to the general public of the Western world. Only when this happens will things start to change for the better.

International Zionism and its many gentile servants who have sold their souls for the sake of money, power and prestige, have proven themselves to be the most dangerous threat to the peace, security and prosperity of the entire world. It is incumbent upon all people with a conscience to warn our fellow countrymen and world citizens of the sinister aims of International Zionism. To defeat this mountainous evil there are a number of things that we all can do. Firstly, the truth about 9/11 must be told. Spread the facts that you have learned in this book far and wide. Secondly, stop spending your hard-earned dollars on worthless materialistic trash that serves no other purpose than to feed the enemy’s bank accounts, thereby strengthening their grip over us. Third, turn off your television and avoid mainstream media — seek alternative news sources to find out what is really going on in the world. Fourth, stop viewing the Zionist poison that is churned out of the Hollywood entertainment industry which is under the domination of the enemy. The brainwashing of future generations must be curtailed. Fifth, we must demand of our governments to end all diplomatic and military support of Israel — all relations with this rogue nuclear-armed terrorist state must be totally severed, immediately. The sixth thing we need to do is demand that all Jewish-Zionist lobbyists who operate as emissaries of Israel in Western nations, either be deported or indicted for treason.

It will constitute a great and epic struggle to bring down the Zionist Power Configuration whose death-grip over the world grows stronger and stronger every passing day. They will stop at nothing to achieve their quest of a world government under Jewish control and will resort to
brutal criminal means to hold on to their power. These maniacal goals and desires have been repeatedly articulated by the leaders of World Jewry. It is about time that people took their statements seriously and recognize that International Zionism represents the greatest threat that mankind has ever faced in its history. If you cherish your family, your friends, your country, your rights, your freedoms, and want to secure a decent future for your children, then you must now make a stand against this most perilous threat to our civilization. As George Orwell famously said, “During times of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act.” This is what we need to do if we are to ever free ourselves from the shackles of Zion. In this sea of darkness that we are forced to endure, the bright light of truth will guide us down the path to victory.
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